



## **Studies on Phosphorous Dynamics in Soils**

**Sumana Balo <sup>a++\*</sup> and Debasis Mahata <sup>b#</sup>**

<sup>a</sup> Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, School of Agriculture, Giet University, Gunupur District: Rayagada, Odisha-765022, India.

<sup>b</sup> Uttar Dinajpur Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Uttar Banga Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Chopra, Uttar Dinajpur, West Bengal-733207, India.

### **Authors' contributions**

*This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

### **Article Information**

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i232546

### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/93659>

**Review Article**

**Received 17 September 2022**

**Accepted 21 November 2022**

**Published 22 November 2022**

## **ABSTRACT**

Over two-thirds of the soils in the world suffer from phosphorus (P) shortages. Due to phosphorus being unavailable to all but a small portion of plants, agricultural productivity diminishes. Phosphorus-deficient soils have traditionally been fertilized in order to decrease the severity of the environmental impact. Improving agricultural management practices for sustainable crop output, including reduction in phosphorus loss in terms of runoff, is the emphasis of this study. Investigating chemical fractionation mechanisms to distinguish between occluded P, acid-extractable calcium-bonded P, and non-occluded P is necessary for increasing inorganic phosphorous (Pi) in mung bean (*Vigna radiata*) types and establishing net grazing systems. Phosphate (P) is known to be transferred between pools due to weathering, with the highest P retention rates found in clay-rich soils. Soil with a finer texture is better able to absorb and fix phosphorus, which means more nutrients and water can be made available to the plant when mungbean varieties are inoculated into it. More photosynthesis means more accumulated dry stuff. The P-treated variety of mungbean had the greatest yield index (13.28). Pods per plant (46.02), pods per crop (8.20), test weight (40.63 gm), pod weight (8.0 g), and seed weight (1.0 g) were all significantly increased when fertilization done with Diammonium phosphate (DAP). An increase in nodule count, leaf area index, plant height, grain yield, total chlorophyll content, and straw output of up to 40% was observed at higher P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> concentrations (2988 kilograms per hectare). Each plant developed the maximum

<sup>++</sup> Assistant Professor;

<sup>#</sup> Subject Matter Specialist (Agronomy);

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: balosumanaagri@gmail.com, balosumana95@gmail.com;

number of nodules after receiving injections of Phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) and *Aspergillus awamori*. Its plants were the biggest and most productive overall, and its leaves contained the highest concentrations of chlorophyll. In acidic piedmont soil, liming increased mungbean yields by adjusting other chemical properties and boosting pH. They contend that expanding India's production of pulses is essential for the country to eventually achieve food security. P nutrition needs to be incorporated into farmers' and extension workers' balanced nutrient management programs if they wish to see higher quality pulse yields and greater long-term profitability.

**Keywords:** Grain yield; phosphatic fertilizer; plant productivity; photosynthetic yield.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

“Phosphorus (P) is a macronutrient required by plants for its growth. It accounts for between 0.2 and 0.8 percent of the dry weight of a plant. Phosphorus is necessary for a wide variety of physiological and biochemical processes in plants. Phosphorous can be present in the form of Phospholipids, nucleic acids, coenzymes, enzymes, and nucleotides in soil” [1,2]. “In the early stages of plant growth, enough P availability is also necessary for the laying down of the plant's reproductive parts' primordial” [3,4]. “The typical amount of phosphorus found in soil is 0.05 percent (w/w). However, only 0.1 percent of this phosphorus is available for plant uptake” [5]. “Phosphorus fertilizers have traditionally been used as a method for addressing phosphorus deficiency in the soil. To maintain consistent amounts of phosphorus in soil and plant systems, fertilizers in 52,3 billion tons are sprayed yearly” [6]. This tremendous quantity of phosphorus fertilizer which provides readily available inorganic phosphorus to plants. But, plants can only used 0.2 percent of applied P and rest P was precipitated by metal cations in the soil such as iron, aluminium, magnesium, and calcium [7]. “The phosphate deposit in agricultural soils is sufficient to ensure that optimum crop production may be maintained worldwide for about 100 years” [4]. “In addition, the use of fertilizers contributes to a variety of environmental problems, including the contamination of groundwater and the eutrophication of streams” [8 and 9]. Agricultural management strategies are needed to develop and improve the efficiency of phosphorus fertilization for increasing crop productivity, and reducing environmental pollution caused by phosphorus loss from the soil. Also these goals will be achieved by –

1. Nutrient yield response curves can be calculated using multiple regression, quadratic, or linear equations. From this

the regression equation of yield response optimum fertilizer rate is estimated depending upon different soil fertility conditions. Thus, strategy has helped to secure good crop yields and optimise fertiliser use on a broad scale providing economic management and fertiliser distribution.

2. Localized applications of phosphorus (P) and ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) enhanced P absorption and development of maize in a high-intensity agricultural system in Northern China [10]. In this aspect, rhizosphere interactions are essential for improving crop yield and enhancing P use efficiency.
3. Gene modification for enhancing P use efficiency. White clover (*Trifolium repens* L.) was genetically modified by the introduction of a phytase gene (MtPHY1) and a purple acid phosphatase gene (MtPAP1) obtained from *Medicago truncatula*. Higher accumulation of total P (up to 2.6-fold) was achieved in transgenic plants compared to wild-type plants due to the tripled activity of phytase or acid phosphatase in root apoplasts [11].

## 2. FORMS OF PHOSPHOROUS IN SOIL SYSTEM

It is common for soils to have greater concentrations of total P than other key nutrients, such as N or K. More than 80% of P, on the other hand, is inert and so inaccessible to plants [12]. Fig. 1 shows that inorganic and organic forms of P (Pi/Po) are found in soil, and the quantities of each alter over time. Most soil P comes from biological tissues where phosphorus is found in organic substances such as nucleotides, phospholipids, phosphoproteins, and coenzymes [13]. It is also possible that soil nutrient cycle mechanisms (e.g., nitrogen cycling) are responsible for the dispersion of primary Pi into Po forms. Because of the widespread usage of

Po-containing products, including fire retardants, plasticizers, insecticides, and antifoam agents, new Po sources have emerged in the environment, increasing soil Po concentrations and diversity [14].

Due to its weak connections with the soil particles, Po is more quickly leached than the more stable forms of primary (variscite, apatite, and stringier) and secondary minerals (calcium, aluminum phosphates, and iron) found in soil [15]. Chemical fractionation processes were created in the early studies to determine the three forms of Pi: occluded P (containing 2nd NaOH extractable P and residual Pi), acid-extractable calcium-bonded P and non-occluded P. Fe and Al oxide coatings and concretions absorb occluded P during diffusive penetration and the development of the soil [16-20]. Occluded P is more difficult to remove from Fe, and Al oxide surfaces than non-occluded P ions that make up non-occluded P. Phosphorus (P)

may be absorbed by plants or soil microbes for use in the secondary Po cycle, or it can flow into streams and accumulate as ocean sediments [21]. A variety of types and amounts of Pi may be found in the soil. Plant structures (such stems, roots, and leaves), plant debris, and microbes gradually return the P they absorbed to the soil when mineralization has occurred. It is important to note that even though P has a wide range of biochemical activities in soil that are crucial to the health of the ecosystem, its cycles are slower than those of C, N, and S. A wide range of geochemical processes (weathering, precipitation/dissolution, and solid-phase transitions) have a long-term impact on soil phosphorus availability and distribution. Soil microorganisms immobilize and mineralize plant-available phosphorus (P) within the soil in a cyclic manner. However, very little is known about the function these biological activities play in limiting soil phosphorus availability due to geochemical processes [22 and 23].




Fig. 1. The phosphorous cycle [24]

### 3. RELEASE OF INORGANIC P IN SOIL FROM FERTILIZER APPLICATION

Despite the fact that the soil contains a large amount of total P, only a little amount (less than 1%) gets dissolved in it [25]. There are many different forms of phosphorus, including organic P which includes nucleic acids, phospholipids, and orthophosphate), Al/Fe-bound inorganic P (which is found in acidic soils), and Ca-bound inorganic P (found mostly in alkaline soils). Occluding-P and Fe/Al-P percentages rise with rising P concentrations in the soil [26]. Progressively, these compounds release soluble P (0.1 percent of the total soil P), which is slowly released over time. Soluble P, labile soil P, and nonlabile soil P are all various types of P in the soil. For plants to flourish they must have both primary and secondary orthophosphate ( $\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4$ )<sup>-1</sup> and  $\text{HPO}_4$ <sup>-2</sup> forms of P [27]. Acidic soils contain primary orthophosphates, whereas alkaline soils have secondary orthophosphates [28]. Stunted growth, delayed maturity of crops, and poor seed development are all symptoms of a phosphorus deficit in plants. A shortage of total phosphorus in the soil, along with the fixation of extra phosphorus via the use of chemical fertilizers and organic sources such as manure, results in a deficiency of phosphorus in the soil [29-33]. Several variables, including pH, organic matter content, particle size distribution, and mineralogy of the parent material, may influence the phosphorus concentration in soil [34]. The most important controls on P dynamics in soil are the sorption and desorption of P by soil matrix, particle size distribution, metal oxides, and soil pH. [35]. It has been shown that weathering is a factor in the movement of P across various pools. The largest phosphate retention capacity is found in clay-rich soils, which subsequently adsorbs/fixes more P than coarse-textured soils [36].

Phosphorus fertilizer is very necessary to take full use of the greatest production potentials offered by various crop plants. Since the ratio of phosphorus that is applied determines the amount of phosphorus that is fixed, the amount of phosphorus that is fixed by fertilizer that is broadcast is significantly higher than the amount of phosphorus that is fixed by fertilizer that is applied in bands due to the narrow soil to fertilizer ratio in the latter situation [37]. One of the primary reasons for the low output in crops is the poor performance of phosphatic fertilizer as sometimes it may include uranium as an impurity). One possible reason will be uranium

extraction from rock phosphate. The economic feasibility of uranium extraction from phosphate rock may be improved by using incentives like subsidies for "cleaner fertilizers" or "greener uranium mining." Alternately, regulatory limitations for uranium in fertilizers may be established, as they were for cadmium many years ago [38-41]. Politicians and government officials that advocated for cadmium in mineral fertilizers to be restricted by law in their individual countries ultimately had to choose "whether to extract uranium from phosphate rock or not" [42]. Another reason may be fixation of soluble phosphorous by soil matrix whenever they get penetrate into the soil solution formed initial reaction product (sparingly soluble compound). Proper fertilization method resulted in a more significant reaction than broadcast and banding. Mungbean fertigation yielded the maximum mungbean production, as well as P absorption, P recovery, and agronomic efficiency, compared to broadcasting methods. Phosphate fertilizer costs have risen worldwide due to the rising demand for greater yields, which has placed a strain on land resources [43,44]. Soil P has been replenished by using phosphate fertilizers. Rock phosphate mining is the primary source for making phosphate fertilizers. Chemical methods must dissolve the phosphate that can only be obtained from mined rock phosphate [45]. Because crops have a high capacity to fix phosphate and a low ability to utilize it, the rock phosphate is applied to the soil each cropping season [46]. Phosphate fertilizers that have been applied indiscriminately have created a fixed P pool that may be explored and used by various bacteria, enzymes, organic acids, manures, and humic substances. Organic acids and enzymatic activity released by phosphate solubilizing microorganisms aid plant absorption [47]. However, soil type, parent material, organic matter concentration, soil pH, soil salinity, and metal oxides all influence phosphate dynamics in the soil [48-50].

In this aspect, Ahmed *et al.*, [51] observed that significant increase in P uptake and grain yield of rice under High NPK treatment (HNPK) accounts 10.02% followed by low NPK treatment (LNPK) by 35.20% compared with control (CT) in silty loam textured soils of Southern China and P use efficiency indices were also higher under HNPK than under LNPK. There was a strong positive relationship between grain yield and P use efficiency ( $R^2 = 0.97$ ). He also proposed the combined effect of long term NPK enhances P uptake by plant by increasing the biomass

production and the soil carbon inputs [52]. The long term application of NPK mineral fertilizer promotes P mobility, mechanism of phosphorus transformation and P cycling in paddy soils.

#### 4. DYNAMICS OF PHOSPHOROUS IN THE SOIL AFFECTED BY ORGANIC SOURCES

Various phosphorus pools in the soil, such as Al/Fe<sub>2</sub> bound P or Ca-bound P, replenish the P that plants take up from the soil solution [53]. With mass flow taking over from diffusion, the

function of P in the process is diminished [54]. The availability of Fe, Al, and Ca, which aid in the fixation of P, is also influenced by soil pH. Sodium phosphate is reduced in alkaline soils by forming several insoluble phosphate derivatives. Phosphorus is depleted from acidic soils by precipitates of hydroxyl phosphates generated by the interaction of iron and aluminum with phosphate ions [55]. Cation and anion concentrations at the exchange sites influence soil adsorption capacity for phosphate, although divalent cations (Ca<sup>2+</sup>) are significantly more attracted to P adsorption in clays than monovalent cations (Na<sup>+</sup>) are in soils.




Fig. 2. Phosphorus uptake, grain yield, phosphorus use efficiency (PUE), phosphorus recovery efficiency (PRE), and partial factor productivity for P (PFP) under different inorganic fertilizer treatments by rice crop in Jiangxi, Southern China [51]

Alkaline calcareous soils have a high concentration of calcium phosphate minerals (CaP) that plants may take up, although most of the P is fixed as CaP. In addition to supplying P, clay minerals in soil play a significant role [56]. Both the fixation and transformation of phosphorus are intricate processes, and it may be challenging to pin down appropriate kinetics for them. Phosphate may be in the soil in several different forms, including solution P, active P, as well as fixed P. Phospholipids, inositol phosphates, and nucleic acids are the three different kinds of organic P compounds [57-61]. Phospholipids are the most common kind. Inositol phosphates include mono- to hexaphosphate esters as their primary building blocks [47]. One of the most common organic phosphates (Ca-Mg salts of phytic acid) is also phytin. There are three kinds of enzymes that can break down organic P, which include phosphatases and phytases as well as phosphatases [62].

As a result of using goat dung in combination with low-rates of P fertilizers, the amount of the less-labile NaOH-Pi fraction in the soil may be reduced, ensuring that the soil's labile P levels are maintained at an adequate level. P needs for Smallholder farmers may be met with this cost-effective technique for enhancing fertilizer P usage efficiency [63].

After two and 16 weeks of composting in labile pools, it was shown that the Pi percentage soil

was more likely to be dominated by compost P. However, the amount of labile P (51-58 percent), as well as total Pi (61-98 percent) in soil, was the same or nearly identical to that obtained from inorganic  $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$  alone. Compost from potato trash had a higher proportion of organic P (Po) than those from the other composts investigated when Po was extracted using  $\text{NaHCO}_3$ . While this study indicated that Pi was the most necessary form of compost P, it is still hard to assess the availability of compost P after it is applied to soil [64].

Additionally, it was shown that the soil formed from Basalt might lose some of its ability to bind P when organic molecules were introduced through the treatments. The intensity of P adsorption decreased when chicken dung as compost was added to soils originating from granite and river alluvial deposits but rose following the application of organic fertilizer [65-68]. The three adsorption isotherm equations for basalt-derived soils all fit well. Langmuir > Freundlich > Temkin adsorption models were used for soils generated from granite and alluvial deposits as parent materials. It was shown that organic fertilizer and chicken manure had no effect on soils generated from basalt's MPBC or SPR, however organic fertilizer and incubation time had a significant impact on these parameters in soils formed from granite and alluvial deposits [69].




Fig. 3. Various types of total Phosphorous in the soil matrix (modified from [70])

As in both soils, a sandy loam acidic soil (Aquepts) and a silt loam alkaline soil, extraction efficiency was in the sequence of Bray and Kurtz-II>Mehlich-3> Kelowna>Olsen amended with diverse organic sources, such as cow manure (CM), poultry manure (PM), city waste (CW), and triple superphosphate (TSP). As evaluated by  $\text{NaHCO}_3$ , organic additions improve the effectiveness of the additional P, but the efficiency of Triple superphosphate with days of incubation decreases [71].

Nitrogen (N), as well as Leaf litter, had an effect on the bacterial action and this enhances to increase the orthophosphate form of phosphorus ( $\text{Po}$ ), which resulted in a rise in the  $\text{NaOH-Pi}$  fractions, as a result, the quantity of  $\text{Po}$  detected in the  $\text{NaOH-Pi}$  portion increased overall [72]. To increase P availability, organic matter may reduce P-selectivity and the maximum phosphate buffering capacity. At a soil organic matter (SOM) concentration of 75.3 grams per kilogram (g/kg), there is a growing tendency toward greater availability.

The relationship between different sources and sinks of phosphorus by using an intense cropping system that included rice, maize, and green gram (residual) was determined. Inorganic P fractions were for 71.9–86.0 percent of total P in surface soil, whereas organic P fractions accounted for 14.0–28.1 percent. The percentages in the subsoil ranged from 75.9–81 percent and 18.1–24.1 percent. The following is

the order of the pools: Up to the first 15 centimetres of the soil, the order is  $\text{Fe-P} > \text{RS-P} > \text{Al} > \text{Ca} > \text{Occluded} > \text{Saloid P}$ ; however, the lowest 15–30 centimetres of soil have the following order: "Occluded P" = "Al-P" > "saloid P" in the RS-P Both fractions provide a large quantity of phosphorus to the surface layer of the soil. In terms of inorganic phosphorus forms, there is a positive association between surface soil P absorption and Fe-P as well as a significant relation between the subsurface soil P uptake and Al-P [73].

## 5. STRATEGIES FOR ENHANCING P USE EFFICIENCY BY PLANTS IN RHIZOSPHERE ZONE

Improvements in phosphorus management, for the purpose of increasing phosphorus use efficiency in crop production, need an understanding of the dynamics of phosphorus in the soil-rhizosphere-plant continuum. Successful techniques for P fertility management may include a variety of multi-level approaches that work in conjunction with soil, rhizosphere, and plant processes. P input into agricultural land may be optimized depending on the balance between P inputs and outputs. Due to the relative stability of P in soils, soil-based P management needs a long-term management approach to maintain an adequate soil-available P supply via monitoring soil P fertility. While comparing with conventional farming practices, this strategy might result in a 20% reduction in the amount of




Fig. 4. Conceptual model of root/rhizosphere and soil-based nutrient managements for enhancing P usage efficiency and crop yield in intensive agriculture

phosphorus fertilizer used to high-yielding cereal crops in the North China Plain (Zhang et al., 2010). This may be of great relevance for conserving P resources without sacrificing crop yields. Rhizosphere-based P management provides a cost-effective strategy to improve P-use efficiency and crop production, through the use of biological potential for effective mobilization and acquisition of P by crops, and decreasing the excessive dependence on application of chemical fertilizer P. According to Jones and Oburger (2011), field experiments with phosphorous solubilizing microorganism (PSM) demonstrate improvements in crop production of 0% to 20%. Coapplication of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) and PSM also demonstrates synergistic benefits in P acquisition (Babana and Antoun, 2006) due to interaction of microorganism with the root of higher plants contribute to more P mobilization as well as acquisition.

By optimizing P supply to fulfill crop demand, soil-based nutrient management may close the first P input saving gap. Gap 2 can be overcome by root/rhizosphere management by exploiting root/rhizosphere efficiency and further reducing P resource input. This would improve P-use efficiency and crop yield. The solid red line depicts the response of crop production to increased P inputs in intensive agriculture. The blue line (dotted curve) depicts the response of crop production to P input in soil-based P management. Under root/rhizosphere management, the green line (dashed curve) shows the crop production response to P input (Adopted from Shen *et al.*, 2011).

## 6. CONCLUSION

Phosphorus is crucial to the nutrition of pulses, especially for the growth of mungbeans. Since pulses play a significant role in India's food and nutritional security, the majority of Indian soils are low in phosphorus, which has an impact on the nutrition of pulse crops. Since independence, India's annual production of foodgrains has increased by a factor of four to nearly 280 million tonnes. Increased efforts to produce more food have resulted in tremendous shift in cropping systems towards cereal-cereal based systems. Accordingly, vision of Indian Institute of Pulse Research, 2030, the projected pulse requirement by the year 2030 would be 32 million tons with an anticipated required growth rate of 4.2%. The growing Indian population increased the demand for pulses. The growing human population in

India necessitates increased pulse production to meet the dietary protein requirement. It is necessary to reduce protein demand. In this regard, balanced fertilization with NPK alongwith biofertilizers has been proved beneficial in pulses both under rainfed and irrigated conditions. Hence, phosphorous needs to be taken care of while balanced fertilization in pulses. Farmers, researchers, development organizations, and the government must collaborate in order to ensure that India becomes self-sufficient in pulses in the future. As a result, farmers and extension personnel must recognize the critical importance of incorporating P nutrition into their nutrient management practices in a balanced manner in modern intensive farming in order to harvest higher pulse yields of superior quality while earning maximum sustainable profitability.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Mehrvarz S, Chaichi MR, Alikhani HA. Effects of phosphate solubilizing microorganisms and phosphorus chemical fertilizer on yield and yield components of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). J Agric Environ Sci. 2008;3(6):822-8.
2. Balo S. Studies on response of mung bean on phosphorous nutrition: a review. The Pharm Innov J. 2022;11(10):902-6.
3. T. Nikitha MS, B. Sadhana EUBR, Vani SS. Phosphorous and phosphate solubilising bacteria and their role in plant nutrition. Int. J Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci. 2017;6(4):2133-44.
4. Kalayu G. Phosphate solubilizing microorganisms: promising approach as biofertilizers. Int J Agron. 2019;2019:1-7.
5. Zhu F, Qu L, Hong X, Sun X. Isolation and characterization of a phosphate-solubilizing halophilic bacterium *Kushneria* sp. YCWA18 from Daqiao Saltern on the coast of Yellow Sea of China. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med. 2011;2011:615032.
6. FAO IFAD, UNICEF, WFP, WHO. Building resilience for peace and food security. The state of food security and nutrition in the world. Rome: food and agricultural organization of the United Nations; 2017 [cited Jun 25, 2020]. Available: <http://www.fao.org/3/a-17695e.pdf>.



7. Soumare A, Boubekri K, Lyamlouli K, Hafidi M, Ouhdouch Y, Kouisni L. From isolation of phosphate solubilizing microbes to their formulation and use as biofertilizers: status and needs. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol.* 2020;7:425.
8. Yu X, Liu X, Zhu TH, Liu GH, Mao C. Isolation and characterization of phosphate-solubilizing bacteria from walnut and their effect on growth and phosphorus mobilization. *Biol Fertil Soils.* 2011;47:437-46.
9. Alori ET, Glick BR, Babalola OO. Microbial phosphorus solubilization and its potential for use in sustainable agriculture. *Front Microbiol.* 2017;8:971.
10. Jing J, Rui Y, Zhang F, Rengel Z, Shen J. Localized application of phosphorus and ammonium improves growth of maize seedlings by stimulating root proliferation and rhizosphere acidification. *Field Crops Res.* 2010;119(2-3):355-64.
11. Ma L, Ma WQ, Velthof GL, Wang FH, Qin W, Zhang FS et al. Modeling nutrient flows in the food chain of China. *J Environ Qual.* 2010;39(4):1279-89.
12. Xu X, Mao X, Van Zwieten L, Niazi NK, Lu K, Bolan NS et al. Wetting-drying cycles during a rice-wheat crop rotation rapidly (IM) mobilize recalcitrant soil phosphorus. *J Soils Sediments.* 2020;20(11):3921-30.
13. Cross AF, Schlesinger WH. A literature review and evaluation of the Hedley fractionation: applications to the biogeochemical cycle of soil phosphorus in natural ecosystems. *Geoderma.* 1995;64(3-4):197-214.
14. Adams MA, Pate JS. Availability of organic and inorganic forms of phosphorus to lupins (*Lupinus spp.*). *Plant Soil.* 1992; 145(1):107-13.
15. Gebrim FdO, Novais RF, Silva IRd, Schulthais F, Vergütz L, Procópio LC et al. Mobility of inorganic and organic phosphorus forms under different levels of phosphate and poultry litter fertilization in soils. *Rev Bras Cien Solo.* 2010;34(4):1195-205.
16. Kumawat N, Kumar R, Sharma OP. Nutrient uptake and yield of mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek] as influenced by organic manures, PSB and phosphorus fertilization. *Environ Ecol.* 2009;27(4B):2002-5.
17. Kumawat PK, Tiwari RC, Golada SL, Godara AS, Garhwal RS, Choudhary R. Effect of phosphorus sources, levels and biofertilizers on yield attributes, yield and economics of black gram (*Phaseolus mungo* L.). *Legume Res Int J.* 2013;36(1).
18. Mahmud K, Makaju S, Ibrahim R, Missaoui A. Current progress in nitrogen fixing plants and microbiome research. *Plants (Basel).* 2020;9(1):97.
19. Margenot AJ, Singh BR, Rao IM, Sommer R. Phosphorus fertilization and management in soils of Sub-Saharan Africa. *Soil phosphorus.* 2016;151-208.
20. Meena RS, Dhakal Y, Bohra JS, Singh SP, Singh MK, Sanodiya P et al. Influence of bioinorganic combinations on yield, quality and economics of Mungbean. *Am J Exp Agric.* 2015;8(3):159-66.
21. Maltais-Landry G, Scow K, Brennan E. Soil phosphorus mobilization in the rhizosphere of cover crops has little effect on phosphorus cycling in California agricultural soils. *Soil Biol Biochem.* 2014;78:255-62.
22. Hesterberg D, Zhou W, Hutchison KJ, Beauchemin S, Sayers DE. XAFS study of adsorbed and mineral forms of phosphate. *J Synchrotron Radiat.* 1999;6(3):636-8.
23. Hao J, Knoll AH, Huang F, Schieber J, Hazen RM, Daniel I. Cycling phosphorus on the Archean Earth: Part II. Phosphorus limitation on primary production in Archean ecosystems. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta.* 2020;280:360-77.
24. Prietzel J, Harrington G, Häusler W, Heister K, Werner F, Klysubun W. Reference spectra of important adsorbed organic and inorganic phosphate binding forms for soil P speciation using synchrotron-based K-edge XANES spectroscopy. *J Synchrotron Radiat.* 2016; 23(2):532-44.
25. Bünemann EK. Assessment of gross and net mineralization rates of soil organic phosphorus—A review. *Soil Biol Biochem.* 2015;89:82-98.
26. Bai J, Ye X, Jia J, Zhang G, Zhao Q, Cui B et al. Phosphorus sorption-desorption and effects of temperature, pH and salinity on phosphorus sorption in marsh soils from coastal wetlands with different flooding conditions. *Chemosphere.* 2017;188:677-88.
27. Zhu J, Li M, Whelan M. Phosphorus activators contribute to legacy phosphorus availability in agricultural soils: a review. *Sci Total Environ.* 2018;612:522-37.
28. Rashid A. Effect of intercropping on the yield and net monetary return of

- sorghum production. Sarhad J Agric (Pakistan); 2005.
29. Amsalu S, Beyene S. Effects of lime and phosphorous application on chemical properties of soil, dry matter yield, and phosphorus concentration of barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) grown on nitosols of Emdibir, Southern Ethiopia. J Soil Sci Environ Manag. 2020;11(4):131-41.
  30. Batjes NH, Sombroek WG. Possibilities for carbon sequestration in tropical and subtropical soils. Glob Change Biol. 1997;3(2):161-73.
  31. Branch S, Maria S. Evaluation of the functional properties of mung bean protein isolate for development of textured vegetable protein. Int Food Res J. 2017;24(4):1595-605.
  32. Chen YS, Chen SC, Kao CM, Chen YL. Effects of soil pH, temperature and water content on the growth of *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. Folia Microbiol. 2003;48(2): 253-6.
  33. Halim A, Siddique MNEA, Sarker BC, Islam MJ, Hossain MF, Kamaruzzaman M. Assessment of nutrient dynamics affected by different levels of lime in a mungbean field of the Old Himalayan Piedmont soil in Bangladesh. J Agric Vet Sci. 2014;7:101-12.
  34. Havlin JL, Beaton JD, Tisdale SL, Nelson WL. Soil fertility and fertilizers: an introduction to nutrient management. 7th ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall; 2005.
  35. Li W, Zheng Y, Zhang H, Liu Z, Su W, Chen S et al. Phytotoxicity, uptake, and translocation of fluorescent carbon dots in mung bean plants. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces. 2016;8(31):19939-45.
  36. Brady NC, Weil RR. The nature and properties of soils. 14th ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall; 2008.
  37. Rashid A, Din J. Phosphorus deficiency diagnosis and fertilizer placement for mungbean grown in alkaline soils. J Agron Crop Sci. 1993;12:135-72.
  38. Haynes RJ, Ludecke TE. Yield, root morphology and chemical composition of two pasture legumes as affected by lime and phosphorus applications to an acid soil. Plant Soil. 1981;62(2): 241-54.
  39. Hinsinger P, Betencourt E, Bernard L, Brauman A, Plassard C, Shen J et al. P for two, sharing a scarce resource: soil phosphorus acquisition in the rhizosphere of intercropped species. Plant Physiol. 2011;156(3):1078-86.
  40. Hossain MA, Hamid A. Influence of N and P fertilizer application on root growth, leaf photosynthesis and yield performance of groundnut. Bangladesh J Agric Res. 2007;32(3):369-74.
  41. Kumar S, Wani JA, Panotra N, Lone BA, Qayoom S, Fayaz A. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur on nutrient and amino acids content of soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill] under 'Eutrochrepts'. Legume Res. 2017;40:716-20.
  42. Haneklaus N, Sun Y, Bol R, Lottermoser B, Schnug E. To extract, or not to extract uranium from phosphate rock, that is the question. Environ Sci Technol. 2017;51(2): 753-4.
  43. Weber O, Delince J, Duan Y, Maene L, McDaniels T, Mew M et al. Trade and finance as cross-cutting issues in the global phosphate and fertilizer market. In: Sustainable phosphorus management. Dordrecht: Springer; 2014;275-99.
  44. Chowdhury RB, Moore GA, Weatherley AJ, Arora M. Key sustainability challenges for the global phosphorus resource, their implications for global food security, and options for mitigation. J Clean Prod. 2017;140:945-63.
  45. Khan AA, Jilani G, Akhtar MS, Naqvi SMS, Rasheed M. Phosphorus solubilizing bacteria: occurrence, mechanisms and their role in crop production. J Agric Biol Sci. 2009;1(1):48-58.
  46. Withers PJA, Withers PJA, Edwards AC, Foy RH. Phosphorus cycling in UK agriculture and implications for phosphorus loss from soil. Soil Use Manag. 2001;17:139-49.
  47. Dash N, Pahari A, Dangar TK. Functionalities of phosphate-solubilizing bacteria of rice rhizosphere: techniques and perspectives. In: Recent advances in Applied Microbiology. Singapore: Springer. 2017;151-63.
  48. Mondal AK, Mondal S. Circumscription of the families within Leguminales as determined by cladistic analysis based on seed protein. Afr J Biotechnol. 2011; 10(15):2850-6.
  49. Penn CJ, Camberato JJ. A critical review on soil chemical processes that control how soil pH affects phosphorus availability to plants. Agriculture. 2019;9(6):120.
  50. Rasool B, Ur-Rahman M, Adnan Ramzani PM, Zubair M, Khan MA, Lewińska K et al.

- Impacts of oxalic acid-activated phosphate rock and root-induced changes on Pb bioavailability in the rhizosphere and its distribution in mung bean plant. *Environ Pollut.* 2021;280:116903.
51. Ahmed W, Liu K, Qaswar M, Huang J, Huang Q, Xu Y et al. Long-term mineral fertilization improved the grain yield and phosphorus use efficiency by changing soil P fractions in ferralic cambisol. *Agronomy.* 2019;9(12):784.
  52. Joshi RV, Patel BJ, Patel KM. Effect of nitrogen levels and time of application on growth, yield, quality, nitrogen, phosphorus content and uptake for seed production of oat (*Avena sativa* L.). *Forage Res.* 2015;41(2):104-8.
  53. Saleque MA, Naher UA, Islam A, Pathan ABMBU, Hossain ATMS, Meisner CA. Inorganic and organic phosphorus fertilizer effects on the phosphorus fractionation in wetland rice soils. *Soil Sci Soc Am J.* 2004;68(5):1635-44.
  54. Hinsinger P. Bioavailability of soil inorganic P in the rhizosphere as affected by root-induced chemical changes: a review. *Plant Soil.* 2001;237(2):173-95.
  55. Harris JN, New PB, Martin PM. Laboratory tests can predict beneficial effects of phosphate-solubilising bacteria on plants. *Soil Biol Biochem.* 2006;38(7):1521-6.
  56. Mehdi SM, Obaid-ur-Rehman A, Ranjha M, Sarfaraz M. Adsorption capacities and availability of phosphorus in soil solution for rice wheat cropping system. *World Appl Sci J.* 2007;2(4):244-65.
  57. Swaminathan R, Singh K, Nepalia V. Insect-pests of green gram *Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek and their management. *Agric Sci.* 2012;10:197-222.
  58. Uebersax MA, Urrea C, Siddiq M. Physical and physiological characteristics and market classes of common beans. *Dry beans and pulses: production, processing, and nutrition*; 2022. p. 57-80.
  59. Varma D, Meena RS, Kumar S. Response of mungbean to fertility and lime levels under soil acidity in an alley cropping system of Vindhyan Region, India. *Int J Chem Stud.* 2017;5(4):1558-60.
  60. Venkatarao CV, Naga SR, Yadav BL, Koli DK, Jagga Rao I. Effect of phosphorus and biofertilizers on growth and yield of mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. *Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci.* 2017;6(7):3992-7.
  61. Yi-Shen Z, Shuai S, FitzGerald R. Mung bean proteins and peptides: nutritional, functional and bioactive properties. *Food Nutr Res.* 2018;62.
  62. Ingle KP, Padole DA. Phosphate solubilizing microbes: an overview. *Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci.* 2017;6(1):844-52.
  63. Gichangi EM, Mnkeni PN, Brookes PC. Effects of goat manure and inorganic phosphate addition on soil inorganic and microbial biomass phosphorus fractions under laboratory incubation conditions. *Soil Sci Plant Nutr.* 2009;55(6):764-71.
  64. Gagnon B, Demers I, Ziadi N, Chantigny MH, Parent LE, Forge TA et al. Forms of phosphorus in composts and in compost-amended soils following incubation. *Can J Soil Sci.* 2012;92(5):711-21.
  65. Shen J, Yuan L, Zhang J, Li H, Bai Z, Chen X et al. Phosphorus dynamics: from soil to plant. *Plant Physiol.* 2011;156(3):997-1005.
  66. Singh A, Baoule AL, Ahmed HG, Dikko AU, Aliyu U, Sokoto MB et al. Influence of phosphorus on the performance of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L) Walp.) varieties in the Sudan savanna of Nigeria. *Agric Sci.* 2011;02(3):313-7.
  67. Singh R, Singh P, Singh V, Yadav RA. Effect of phosphorus and PSB on yield attributes, quality and economics of summer greengram (*Vigna radiata* L.). *J Pharmacogn Phytochem.* 2018;7(2):404-8.
  68. Speiser C, Baumann T, Niessner R. Morphological and chemical characterization of calcium-hydrate phases formed in alteration processes of deposited municipal solid waste incinerator bottom ash. *Environ Sci Technol.* 2000;34(23):5030-7.
  69. Yu W, Ding X, Xue S, Li S, Liao X, Wang R. Effects of organic-matter application on phosphorus adsorption of three soil parent materials. *J Soil Sci Plant Nutr.* 2013;13(4):1003-17.
  70. Syers JK, Johnston AE, Curtin D. Efficiency of soil and fertilizer phosphorus use. *FAO Fertilizer and plant nutrition bulletin.* 2008;18(108).
  71. Ara I, Islam M, Kashem M, Osman KT. A comparative study of phosphorus availability in an acidic soil and an alkaline soil amended with organic and inorganic

- phosphorus sources. J Soil Sci Plant Nutr. 2018;18(2):466-78.
72. Kunito T, Hiruta N, Miyagishi Y, Sumi H, Moro H. Changes in phosphorus fractions caused by increased microbial activity in forest soil in a short-term incubation study. Chem Speciation Bioavailability. 2018; 30(1):9-13.
73. Chauhan BS, Mahajan G, Sardana V, Timsina J, Jat ML. Productivity and sustainability of the rice-wheat cropping system in the Indo-Gangetic Plains of the Indian sub-continent: problems, opportunities, and strategies. Adv Agron. 2012;117: 315-69.

---

© 2022 Balo and Mahata; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/93659>