

**Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science**

**36(4): 15-22, 2021; Article no.JAMCS.67775** *ISSN: 2456-9968 (Past name: British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science, Past ISSN: 2231-0851)*

# **The Solutions of Generalized Euler Function Equation**  $\varphi_2(n \varphi_2(n)$  = 2<sup> $\omega(n)$ </sup>

## **Xu Yifan<sup>1</sup> and Shen Zhongyan1\***

*<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang International Studies University, Hangzhou 310023, P.R. China.*

*Authors' contributions*

*This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

#### *Article Information*

DOI: 10.9734/JAMCS/2021/v36i430353 *Editor(s):* (1) Prof. Jinyun Yuan, Federal University of Parana, Brazil. (2) Dr. Francisco Welington de Sousa Lima, Universidade Federal do Piauí, Brazil. (3) Dr. Paul Bracken, The University of Texas RGV, USA. *Reviewers:* (1) Farheen Ibraheem, Forman Christian College-A Chartered University, Pakistan. (2) Ugur Duran, Iskenderun Technical University, Turkey. Complete Peer review History: http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/67775

*Original Research Article*

*Received 15 February 2021 Accepted 25 April 2021 Published 29 April 2021*

## **Abstract**

By using the properties of Euler function, an upper bound of solutions of Euler function equation  $\varphi(x) = 2^s$ is given, where s is a positive integer. By using the classification discussion and the upper bound we obtained, all positive integer solutions of the generalized Euler function equation  $\varphi_2(n - \varphi_2(n)) = 2^{\omega(n)}$  are given, where  $\omega(n)$  is the number of distinct prime factors of n.

**\_**

*Keywords: Euler functions; generalized Euler functions; solutions.*

\_

*<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Email: huanchenszyan@163.com;*

## **1 Introduction**

Euler function  $\varphi(n)$  is a relatively important content in elementary number theory, and Euler function is the number of positive integers not greater than *n* and prime to *n*. According to the definition,  $\varphi(1) = 1$ . If  $n > 1$ , let canonical form of *n* be  $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}$ , where  $p_i$  is a prime and  $\alpha_i$  is a positive integer, then

$$
\varphi(n) = \sum_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_{i-1}}(p_i - 1).
$$

Lv Zhihong [1,2] get the solutions of  $\varphi(n) = 2^{\omega(n)}$  and  $\varphi(\varphi(n)) = 2^{\omega(n)}$ , where  $\omega(n)$  is the number of distinct prime factors of *n*. Li Yijun<sup>[3]</sup> calculated the solutions of  $\varphi(\varphi(\varphi(n))) = 2^{\omega(n)}$ , and studied the properties of  $(\varphi(\varphi(n)))$ .

For positive integer *n*, the generalized Euler function [4]  $\varphi_e(n)$  is defined as the number of positive integers not exceeding  $\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$  $\frac{n}{e}$  and prime to *n*, namely

$$
\varphi_e(n) = \sum_{i=1, \gcd(i,n)=1}^{\left[\frac{n}{e}\right]} 1,
$$

where  $[x]$  is the greatest integer not greater than x.

In particular, when  $e = 2$ , the generalized Euler function  $\varphi_2(1) = 0$ ,  $\varphi_2(2) = 1$ . When  $n \ge 3$ , then  $\varphi_2(n) =$ 1  $\frac{1}{2}\varphi(n).$ 

Ding [5] introduced the generalized Euler function  $\varphi_e(n)$  and its properties in detail. Cai [6,7] et. studied the parity of  $\varphi_e(n)$  for  $e = 2, 3, 4$  and 6. Zhang [8] solved the generalized Euler function  $\varphi_2(x - \varphi_2(x)) = 2$  and  $\varphi_2(\varphi_2(x-\varphi_2(x))) = 2$ . At the same time, when  $e = 6$  was selected in Reference [9], the equation  $\varphi_6(n) =$  $2^{\omega(n)}$  was solved.

Yu and Shen [10] extended  $\varphi(\varphi(n)) = 2^{\omega(n)}$  to the generalized Euler function, and obtained the positive integer solutions  $\varphi_2(n) = 2^{\omega(n)}$  and  $\varphi_2(\varphi_2(n)) = 2^{\omega(n)}$ . Jin and Shen [11] changed  $\omega(n)$  into  $\Omega(n)$  on the basis of [10], and calculated the positive integer solutions of  $\varphi_2(n) = 2^{\Omega(n)}$  and  $\varphi_2(\varphi_2(n)) = 2^{\Omega(n)}$ , where  $\Omega(n)$  is the number of prime factors of *n*, counting repetitions.

In this paper, we consider the equation  $\varphi_2(n - \varphi_2(n)) = 2^{\omega(n)}$ , and obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** All positive integer solutions of the equation

$$
\varphi_2(n-\varphi_2(n))=2^{\omega(n)}
$$

are  $n = 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 26, 36$ .

#### **2 Lemmas**

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we need to use the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1** Let  $t_i$   $(1 \leq i \leq k)$  be distinct positive integers, then

$$
\prod_{i=1}^k \left( 2^{2^{t_i}} + 1 \right) \le 2^{\sum_{i=1}^k 2^{t_i} + 1}.
$$

**Proof** Set  $n \ge max\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k\}$ , then

$$
\prod_{i=0}^{n} (2^{2^{i}} + 1) = (2^{2^{0}} + 1)(2^{2^{1}} + 1) \cdots (2^{2^{n}} + 1)
$$

$$
= (2^{2^{0}} - 1)(2^{2^{0}} + 1)(2^{2^{1}} + 1) \cdots (2^{2^{n}} + 1)
$$

$$
= 2^{2^{n+1}} - 1
$$

$$
= 2^{\sum_{i=0}^{n} 2^{i} + 1} - 1
$$

$$
\leq 2^{\sum_{i=0}^{n} 2^{i} + 1}.
$$

Hence

$$
\prod_{i=1}^k \left(2^{2^{t_i}} + 1\right) = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^n \left(2^{2^i} + 1\right)}{\prod_{i=0,i \neq t_i}^n \left(2^{2^i} + 1\right)} \leq \frac{2^{\sum_{i=0}^n 2^{t_i} + 1}}{\prod_{i=0,i \neq t_i}^n 2^{2^i}} = 2^{\sum_{i=1}^k 2^{t_i} + 1},
$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1.

**Lemma 2.2** Let *s* be a positive integer, then the equation  $\varphi(x) = 2^s$  has at most one odd solution.

**Proof** Let  $x = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{\alpha_i}$  be an odd solution of  $\varphi(x) = 2^s$ , then

$$
\prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i-1}(p_i-1)=2^s,
$$

Hence,  $\alpha_i = 1 (1 \le i \le k)$ ,  $p_i - 1 = 2^{s_i}$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^k s_i = s$ . If  $p_i = 2^{s_i} + 1$  is a prime, then there is  $t_i$  such that  $s_i =$  $2^{t_i}$ . According to binary representation, there are unique  $t_i$  ( $1 \le i \le k$ ) such that

$$
s = \sum_{i=1}^{k} s_i = \sum_{i=1}^{k} 2^{t_i}.
$$

Therefore if there is an odd solution x such that  $\varphi(x) = 2^s$ , then the expression is unique. If  $2^{2^{t_i}} + 1$  is not a prime, then the equation  $\varphi(x) = 2^s$  has no solution. Hence, the equation  $\varphi(x) = 2^s$  has at most one odd solution.

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2.

**Lemma 2.3** Let  $s$  be a positive integer, and  $x$  be a solution to equation

 $\varphi(x) = 2^s$ .

Then

$$
x \le 2^{s+2}.
$$

**Proof** For  $s = 1$ , then  $x = 3.4$  or  $6 \le 2^{1+2}$ , the proposition holds.

Assume that the proposition is true for  $s$ , and we attempt to prove the validity of the proposition for  $s + 1$ .

(1) If x is an odd solution of  $\varphi(x) = 2^{s+1}$ , it can be seen from the proof of Lemma 2.2 that there are unique positive integers  $t_i$  ( $1 \le i \le k$ ) which distinct, such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} 2^{t_i} = s+1$ , and  $x = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left( 2^{2^{t_i}} + 1 \right)$ . By Lemma 2.1 we have

$$
x = \prod_{i=1}^k \left( 2^{2^{t_i}} + 1 \right) \le 2^{\sum_{i=1}^k 2^{t_i} + 1} = 2^{s+2} \le 2^{s+3}.
$$

(2) If  $x(2||x)$  is an even solution to equation  $\varphi(x) = 2^{s+1}$ , then  $\frac{x}{2}$  is exactly the unique odd solution of  $\varphi(x) =$  $2^{s+1}$ . We can obtain  $\frac{x}{2} \le 2^{s+2}$  by case (1), therefore

$$
x \le 2^{s+3} = 2^{(s+1)+2}.
$$

(3) If  $x(4|x)$  is an even solution of  $\varphi(x) = 2^{s+1}$ , then  $\frac{x}{2}$  is also an even solution of  $\varphi(x) = 2^s$ , then we know  $\boldsymbol{\chi}$  $\frac{x}{2} \le 2^{s+2}$ by assumption, then we have

$$
x \le 2^{s+3} = 2^{(s+1)+2}.
$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.3.

**Lemma 2.4** When  $\omega(n) = 2$ , all positive integer solutions of  $\varphi_2(n - \varphi_2(n)) = 2^{\omega(n)}$  are

$$
n = 18,20,21,24,26,36.
$$

**Proof** When  $\omega(n) = 2$ , the equation

$$
\varphi_2(n-\varphi_2(n))=2^{\omega(n)}
$$

is

$$
\varphi_2(n-\varphi_2(n))=4.
$$

By Lemma 4 of [10], we obtain  $n - \varphi_2(n) = 15,16,20,24$  or 30, i.e.,

$$
2n - \varphi(n) = 30,32,40,48 \text{ or } 60. \tag{1}
$$

Set the standard decomposition formula of *n* as  $n = 2^{\beta} p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}$ , where  $p_i$  is prime and  $3 \leq p_1 < p_2$  $\cdots < p_k$ .

Since  $\omega(n) = 2$ , if  $\beta = 0$ , then  $k = 2$ , (1) is equivalent to

$$
2p_1^{\alpha_1}p_2^{\alpha_2} - p_1^{\alpha_1-1}p_2^{\alpha_2-1}(p_1-1)(p_2-1) = 30,32,40,48 \text{ or } 60.
$$

We can obtain  $2p_1^{\alpha_1}p_2^{\alpha_2} - p_1^{\alpha_1-1}p_2^{\alpha_2-1}(p_1-1)(p_2-1) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , thus we only need to solve the equation  $2p_1^{\alpha_1}p_2^{\alpha_2} - p_1^{\alpha_1-1}p_2^{\alpha_2-1}(p_1-1)(p_2-1) = 30$ . That is  $p_1^{\alpha_1-1}p_2^{\alpha_2-1}[(p_1+1)(p_2+1)-2] = 30$ , we have  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1$ ,  $p_1 = 3$ ,  $p_2 = 7$ ,  $n = 21$ .

If  $\beta \ge 1$ , then  $k = 1$ , (1) can be simplified to

$$
2^{\beta+1}p_1^{\alpha_1} - 2^{\beta-1}p_1^{\alpha_1-1}(p_1-1) = 30{,}32{,}40{,}48 \text{ or } 60.
$$

After simplification, we get

$$
2^{\beta-1}p_1^{\alpha_1-1}(3p_1+1) = 30{,}32{,}40{,}48 \text{ or } 60.
$$

If  $2^{\beta-1}p_1^{\alpha_1-1}(3p_1+1) = 30$ , then  $3p_1 + 1 \le 30$  and  $(3p_1 + 1)|30$ , we can get  $p_1 = 3$ ,  $\alpha_1 = 2$ ,  $\beta = 1$ , then

$$
n=2\times 3^2=18.
$$

If  $2^{\beta-1}p_1^{\alpha_1-1}(3p_1+1) = 32$ , then  $3p_1 + 1 \le 32$  and  $(3p_1 + 1)|32$ , we can get  $p_1 = 5$ ,  $\alpha_1 = 1$ ,  $\beta = 2$ , then  $n = 2^2 \times 5 = 20.$ 

If 
$$
2^{\beta-1}p_1^{\alpha_1-1}(3p_1+1) = 40
$$
, then  $3p_1 + 1 \le 40$  and  $(3p_1 + 1)|40$ , we can get  $p_1 = 3$ ,  $\alpha_1 = 1$ ,  $\beta = 3$ ,

$$
n=2^3\times 3=24,
$$

or

$$
p_1 = 13, \alpha_1 = 1, \beta = 1,
$$
  
 $n = 2 \times 13 = 26.$ 

If  $2^{\beta-1}p_1^{\alpha_1-1}(3p_1+1) = 48$ , then  $3p_1 + 1 \le 48$  and  $(3p_1 + 1)|48$ , we know there is no solution in this case. If  $2^{\beta-1}p_1^{\alpha_1-1}(3p_1+1) = 60$ , then  $3p_1 + 1 \le 60$  and  $(3p_1 + 1)|60$ , we can get  $p_1 = 3$ ,  $\alpha_1 = 2$ ,  $\beta = 2$ ,

$$
n=2^2\times 3^2=36.
$$

In summary, when  $\omega(n) = 2$ , the solutions of equation  $\varphi_2(n - \varphi_2(n)) = 2^{\omega(n)}$  are  $n = 18,20,21,24,26,36$ .

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.4.

**Lemma 2.5** When  $\omega(n) = 3$ , the equation  $\varphi_2(n - \varphi_2(n)) = 2^{\omega(n)}$  has no solution.

**Proof** When  $\omega(n) = 3$ , equation  $\varphi_2(n - \varphi_2(n)) = 2^{\omega(n)}$  is

$$
\varphi_2(n-\varphi_2(n))=8.
$$

By Lemma 5 of [10], we can get  $n - \varphi_2(n) = 17,32,34,40,48,60$ , then

$$
2n - \varphi(n) = 34,64,68,80,96 \text{ or } 120. \tag{2}
$$

Set the standard decomposition formula of *n* as  $n = 2^{\beta} p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}$ , where  $p_i$  is prime and  $3 \leq p_1 < p_2$  $\cdots < p_k$ .

Since  $\omega(n) = 3$ , if  $\beta = 0$ , then  $k = 3$ , we can get (2) to

$$
2p_1^{\alpha_1}p_2^{\alpha_2}p_3^{\alpha_3} - p_1^{\alpha_1-1}p_2^{\alpha_2-1}p_3^{\alpha_3-1}(p_1-1)(p_2-1)(p_3-1) = 34,64,68,80,96 \text{ or } 120,
$$

Since

$$
2p_1^{\alpha_1}p_2^{\alpha_2}p_3^{\alpha_3}-p_1^{\alpha_1-1}p_2^{\alpha_2-1}p_3^{\alpha_3-1}(p_1-1)(p_2-1)(p_3-1)\equiv 2(mod 4),
$$

thus we only need to solve the equation

 $2p_1^{\alpha_1}p_2^{\alpha_2}p_3^{\alpha_3} - p_1^{\alpha_1-1}p_2^{\alpha_2-1}p_3^{\alpha_3-1}(p_1-1)(p_2-1)(p_3-1)=34.$ 

By calculation, there is no solution.

When  $\beta \geq 1$ ,  $k = 2$ , (2) is equivalent to  $2^{\beta+1}p_1^{\alpha_1}p_2^{\alpha_2} - 2^{\beta-1}p_1^{\alpha_1-1}p_2^{\alpha_2-1}(p_1-1)(p_2-1) = 34{,}64{,}68{,}80{,}96 \text{ or } 120$ 

Since  $2^{\beta+1}p_1^{\alpha_1}p_2^{\alpha_2} - 2^{\beta-1}p_1^{\alpha_1-1}p_2^{\alpha_2-1}(p_1-1)(p_2-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , we only need to consider the

equations

$$
2^{\beta+1}p_1^{\alpha_1}p_2^{\alpha_2} - 2^{\beta-1}p_1^{\alpha_1-1}p_2^{\alpha_2-1}(p_1-1)(p_2-1) = 64,68,80,96 \text{ or } 120.
$$

After simplification we get

$$
2^{\beta-1}p_1^{\alpha_1-1}p_2^{\alpha_2-1}(3p_1p_2+p_1+p_2-1)=64,68,80,96,120.
$$

By calculation, there is no corresponding odd primes  $p_1, p_2$  such that

 $(3p_1p_2 + p_1 + p_2 - 1)$ |64,68,80,96 or 120.

Therefore there is no solution.

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.5.

**Lemma 2.6** When  $\omega(n) = 4$ , equation  $\varphi_2(n - \varphi_2(n)) = 2^{\omega(n)}$  has no solution.

**Proof** When  $\omega(n) = 4$ , equation  $\varphi_2(n - \varphi_2(n)) = 2^{\omega(n)}$  is

$$
\varphi_2(n-\varphi_2(n))=16.
$$

By Lemma 6 of [10], we can get  $n - \varphi_2(n) = 51,64,68,80,96,102,120$ , then

$$
2n - \varphi(n) = 102,128,136,160,192,204 \text{ or } 240. \tag{3}
$$

Set the standard decomposition formula of *n* as  $n = 2^{\beta} p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}$ , where  $p_i$  is prime and  $3 \leq p_1 < p_2$  $\cdots < p_k$ .

Since  $\omega(n) = 4$ , if  $\beta = 0$ , then  $k = 4$ , (3) is equivalent to

$$
2\prod_{i=1}^{4} p_i^{\alpha_i} - \prod_{i=1}^{4} p_i^{\alpha_i-1} (p_i - 1) = 102,128,136,160,192,204 \text{ or } 240.
$$

However,

$$
2\prod_{i=1}^{4} p_i^{\alpha_i} - \prod_{i=1}^{4} p_i^{\alpha_i - 1} (p_i - 1) > \prod_{i=1}^{4} p_i^{\alpha_i} > 3 \times 5 \times 7 \times 11 = 1155,
$$

therefore, when  $\beta = 0, k = 4$ , equations (3) have no solution.

If  $\beta \ge 1$ , then  $k = 3$ , (3) is equivalent to

$$
2^{\beta+1}p_1^{\alpha_1}p_2^{\alpha_2}p_3^{\alpha_3} - 2^{\beta-1}p_1^{\alpha_1-1}p_2^{\alpha_2-1}p_3^{\alpha_3-1}(p_1-1)(p_2-1)(p_3-1) = 102,128,136,160,192,204,240.
$$

However,

$$
2^{\beta+1}p_1{}^{\alpha_1}p_2{}^{\alpha_2}p_3{}^{\alpha_3} - 2^{\beta-1}p_1{}^{\alpha_1-1}p_2{}^{\alpha_2-1}p_3{}^{\alpha_3-1}(p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1)(p_3 - 1)
$$
  
= 
$$
2^{\beta-1}p_1{}^{\alpha_1-1}p_2{}^{\alpha_2-1}p_3{}^{\alpha_3-1}[4p_1p_2p_3 - (p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1)(p_3 - 1)]
$$
  

$$
\geq 2^{\beta-1} \times 3p_1{}^{\alpha_1}p_2{}^{\alpha_2}p_3{}^{\alpha_3} \geq 3 \times 3 \times 5 \times 7 = 315.
$$

Hence when  $\beta \ge 1$ ,  $k = 3$ , (3) has no solution.

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.6.

### **3 Proof of Theorems**

**Proof of Theorem1.1** When  $n = 1$ , we can know that the left side of the original formula  $\varphi_2(1 - \varphi_2(1)) =$  $\varphi_2(1-0) = \varphi_2(1) = 0$ , and the right side of the original formula  $2^0 = 1$ . The original equation has no solution.

When  $\omega(n) = 1$ , the equation can be reduced to  $\varphi_2(n - \varphi_2(n)) = 2$ . In [9], five solutions of this formula have been obtained  $n = 6,10,12,19,23$  to  $\varphi_2(n - \varphi_2(n)) = 2$ , of which  $n = 19,23$  satisfies the condition of  $\omega(n) = 1$ .

When  $\omega(n) \ge 5$ , equation  $\varphi_2(n - \varphi_2(n)) = 2^{\omega(n)}$  can be transformed to  $\varphi(n - \varphi_2(n)) = 2^{\omega(n)+1}$ .

By lemma 2.3, we can know  $n - \varphi_2(n) \leq 2^{\omega(n)+3}$ , then

 $n \leq 2n - \varphi(n) \leq 2^{\omega(n)+4}$ .  $(4)$ 

However, when  $\omega(n) \geq 5$ ,

$$
n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} p_3^{\alpha_3} p_4^{\alpha_4} p_5^{\alpha_5} \cdots p_{\omega(n)}^{\alpha_{\omega(n)}}
$$
  
\n
$$
\ge 2 \times 3 \times 5 \times 7 \times 11 \times \cdots \times p_{\omega(n)}
$$
  
\n
$$
= 2310 \times \cdots \times p_{\omega(n)} > 2^9 \times 2^{\omega(n)-5} = 2^{\omega(n)+4}.
$$

This contradicts (4), therefore when  $\omega(n) \ge 5$ , equation  $\varphi_2(n - \varphi_2(n)) = 2^{\omega(n)}$  has no solution.

Together with Lemma 2.4 – 2.6, all positive integer solutions of equation  $\varphi_2(n - \varphi_2(n)) = 2^{\omega(n)}$  are

$$
n = 18,19,20,21,23,24,26,36.
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

#### **Acknowledgements**

This work is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province, Project (No. LY18A010016) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China, Project (No. 12071421).

#### **Competing Interests**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### **References**

- [1] Lv Zhihong. An equation involving the Euler function [J](Chinese). Journal of Northwest University (Natural Science Edition). 2006;(01):17-20.
- [2] Lv Zhihong. Two functions of number theory and the equations [J](Chinese). Pure and Applied Mathematices. 2006;(03):303-306.
- [3] Li Yijun. Properties of some kind of number theoretic function [J](Chinese). Journal of Shangqiu Teachers College. 2007;(09):20-22.
- [4] Cai TX, Fu XD, Zhou X. A congruence involving the quotients of Euler and its application (II), Acta Arithmetic. 2007;130(3):203-214.
- [5] Ding Yu. Generalized Euler function and its properties [D]. Zhejiang University; 2008.
- [6] Tianxin Cai, Zhongyan Shen, Mengjun Hu. On the Parity of the Generalized Euler Function [J]. Advances in Mathematics. 2013;42(04):505-510.
- [7] Shen Zhongyan, CAI Tianxin, HU Mengjun. On the Parity of the Generalized Euler Function (II), Advances in Mathematics (China). 2016;45(4):509-519.
- [8] Zhang Sibao, Adim Yoldax. Two Equation of Generalized Euler Function  $\varphi_2(n)[J]$  (Chinese). Journal of Northeast Normal University (Natural Science Edition). 2019;51(02):7-12.
- [9] Zhang Sibao. Solutions of Equation  $\varphi_6(n) = 2^{\omega(n)}$  on Generalized Euler function [J] (Chinese). Journal of Southwest China Normal University (Natural Science Edition). 2018;43(02):36-41.
- [10] Yu Honglin, Shen Zhongyan. The Equations Related with Generalized Euler Function [J](Chinese). Journal of Zhejiang International Studies University. 2012;(03):91-97.
- [11] Jin Mingyan, Shen Zhongyan. Solvability of Equations  $\varphi_2(n) = 2^{\Omega(n)}$  and  $\varphi_2(\varphi_2(n)) = 2^{\Omega(n)}$  [J] (Chinese). Journal of Zhejiang International Studies University. 2013;(04):47-52. \_

*© 2021 Yifan and Zhongyan; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

*Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar) http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/67775*