



Academic and Administrative Perceptions on Total Quality Management Practices

Milad Abdelnabi Salem ^{a,b*}, Fekri Shawtari ^a and Hasan Abdulsalam Ali Emran ^c

^a *Community College of Qatar, Doha, Qatar.*

^b *Fezzan University, Libya.*

^c *Faculty of Economics, Fezzan University, Murzuk, Libya.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JSRR/2023/v29i21729

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/95819>

Original Research Article

Received: 15/11/2022

Accepted: 21/01/2023

Published: 25/02/2023

ABSTRACT

Many studies in the field of TQM have been conducted in recent years. The majority of these studies have addressed the concept in manufacturing. Although few studies have considered the TQM in service sectors such as in the education section, none have considered the role of subculture in implementing TQM practices. This study aims to fill this gap by highlighting the role of subculture in the adoption of TQM practices. The study uses a questionnaire adapted from previous studies that were sent to 399 staff at the Community College of Qatar. The returned questionnaires represent 35% of the sample. The data were analyzed by SPSS 20 version. After ensuring the reliability and validity of the data, an independent-samples t-test was conducted to determine if there is any difference between the two groups (academic and administrative staff) with regard to the implementation of TQM practices. The results revealed that the two groups agree only on the implementation of leadership and vision practice. However, the analysis showed a significant

*Corresponding author: E-mail: Milad.Salem@ccq.edu.qa;

difference between the academic and administrative staff in regard to other dimensions of TQM implementation (quality management system recognition, stakeholders focus, and measuring and continuous improvement). The results open the door for future studies to consider the different cultures within the institution when studying or applying the concept of TQM.

Keywords: TQM practices; academician; administrative; differences.

1. INTRODUCTION

“TQM is a systematic quality improvement approach for firm-wide management to improve performance in terms of quality, productivity, customer satisfaction, and profitability” [1-4]. “The concept has attracted the attention of many researchers from different areas. The focus of this research was on using TQM as a tool for maintaining competitive advantage and ensuring the overall effectiveness in the manufacturing sector” [5-7]. Nevertheless, there is still a concern as to whether the concept is beneficial for the organizations or not. For instance, some organizations consider TQM as something critical to competitive advantages while others believe that TQM is merely a management fad [8].

Additionally, TQM is a vague concept [9]. As highlighted by Van der Wiele and Brown (1998), some companies consider themselves TQM organizations but they are only trying to create an image to market their brand and improve their image, while other organizations do not describe themselves as TQM but practice many principles related to TQM using their own terms. The concept might vary even within the same organization. For instance, Jaeger and Adair [10] compared the total group of project managers with the total group of quality managers' representatives and found that the two groups disagree on their perception of the most important TQM benefit.

Although TQM practices have been discussed at length in the literature, the majority of studies were industry-oriented, [11-17], and only a few studies have been conducted in the educational field [18,19,20,7,21,22]. There are calls for the applicability of TQM in educational institutions. The literature indicates that there is a need for TQM in educational institutions [23,7,24,25]. Consequently, the concept has made its way into higher education institutions (HEIs) in many developed countries; [9]. For instance, the quality of education became “the heart of education that influences what students learn, how well they learn, and what benefits they get from their education” [26]. In a meta-analysis of online

database searches from 2012-2021, Yusuf [27] found that TQM is a powerful influence and is believable.

“In terms of practice, many higher educational institutions started implementing TQM in the early 1990s and have been successful. In UK higher education, the progress of TQM is rather slow, with examples represented by only a few new universities. These institutions have benefited from a TQM process similar to their counterparts in the US, such as improved student performance, better services, reduced costs, and customer satisfaction” [28,19].

Achieving the mission of any institution relies on multiple resources used by this institution. In the educational field, the administrators and academician staff are considered to be among the resources that play major roles in higher education institutions in full filling their mission, research advancement, and public services [29]. Although they usually behave toward each other by expressing a high degree of respect for their contributions, some argue that the two groups often lack sufficient appreciation of each other's job and they consider each other as (opposing camps) on campus [30]. This could be influenced by the organizational culture or other related factors [31].

Kuo [29] mentioned that academic and administrative staff could be considered as two cultural organizations that communicate and interact with each other regularly. The difference between the two cultures might raise a degree of conflict between academic and administrative staff.

“The conflict has been addressed in the research on organizational conflict and attributed to that differences in individual personalities, values, goals, and interests contribute to conflict, as do subunit differences in cultures, values, goals, interests, and opinions about the proper allocation of organizational resources” [32]. Additionally, different jobs and activities create separate and distinct cultures which might affect the way used to sustain and survive [30,29].

“Many researchers agree that TQM needs an organizational culture where all employees are concerned with quality and want to produce quality products” [33-36]. Therefore, the question that arises here is how does each group consider and perceive the implementation of TQM practices?

2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

“The study adopts a survey questionnaire design using an email survey. The email survey requires that the respondents have email access and the researcher has access to the targeted network” [37-39]. All CCQ staff have valid and accessible email addresses. Therefore, the email survey is appropriate for this study.

“The questionnaire is adopted from previous related literature to measure the variables of this study. It contains two sections. The first section represents the sample characteristics (Gender, position, experience, and qualification), while the second section covers the items of TQM. Total quality management implementation will be measured using 64 items adopted from Almurshidee [40]. The respondents are asked to rate the extent to which they agree/disagree with the statements of the questionnaire on a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (Strongly disagree), 2 (Disagree), 3 (Neutral), 4 (Agree) and 5 (Strongly agree)” [41].

“Additionally, the study uses exploratory factor analysis to identify the most important factors that form the TQM practices. Factor analysis is the most commonly used test to determine the construct validity of the data” [42-45]. It takes a large set of variables and looks for a way the data may be “reduced” or summarised using a smaller set of factors or components [43]. The

study applies the independent-samples t-test to investigate if there are any differences between the two groups.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analyzing the data requires that it reflects the phenomena under study. Screening the data considers aspects such as the response rate, non-response bias, and outliers. All previous tests were conducted, and data validity and reliability are confirmed. The following sections discuss the results of analyzing the data.

“There was a legitimate concern as to whether non-respondents did not respond due to a systematic reason which might raise a question about the validity of the results” [42]. “As Armstrong and Overton [46] recommended, the study considered the last respondents as a prediction of the non-respondents for cases in which there were a priori grounds. A Non-response bias was tested using SPSS version (18). The result showed that there were small deviations between the early and late respondents. Such a result reduced the probability of the existence of a systematic reason for the non-respondents” [47,46,42].

In addition, Armstrong and Overton [46], Hair et al. [48], and Bluman [49] suggested “using the p-value to determine if there are any differences between the two samples (early and late). An independent-samples t-test was conducted to investigate the differences between the early and late respondents. The results showed that the p-value was greater than 0.05 for all continuous variables, which indicated that there were no systematic differences between the early and late respondents”.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of Response Bias

| | Response bias | N | Mean | Std. Deviation |
|------|----------------------|----------|-------------|-----------------------|
| TQM1 | Early response | 66 | 3.1797 | .95511 |
| | Late response | 72 | 3.1993 | .92771 |
| TQM2 | Early response | 66 | 3.3000 | 1.01727 |
| | Late response | 72 | 3.4541 | .87006 |
| TQM3 | Early response | 66 | 3.3625 | .93317 |
| | Late response | 72 | 3.3243 | 1.02886 |
| TQM4 | Early response | 66 | 3.3375 | .90081 |
| | Late response | 72 | 3.3243 | .91330 |

Table 2. An Independent-sample t-test (response bias)

| Continues variables | Levene's Test for Equality of Variances | |
|-------------------------------------|---|----------------|
| | F | Sig. (p-value) |
| TQM recognition | 0.026 | 0.871 |
| Stakeholders focus | 1.204 | 0.276 |
| Leadership and vision | 0.408 | 0.525 |
| Measuring and continues improvement | 0.071 | 0.791 |

3.1 Response Rate

After using the reminders and follow-up by email, 138 questionnaires were returned, which represented a response rate of 35% of the sample of the study. According to Bhattacharjee [42], “a response rate of 15-20% is typical for mail survey” [42]. “Therefore, the response rate of 35% used in this study can be considered a good response rate, specifically with respect to developing countries in which the response rate is lower than that of developed countries” [50]. Additionally, the sample size of 138 can be considered enough, according to the rule of thumb of Hair, Black, Babin, Andersen and Tatham [48], who said that for maintaining power at 0.80 in multiple regressions, a sample size of 50 is required and preferably 100 observations for most research situations. The amount and proportion of the distributed and returned questionnaires are elaborated in Table 3.

Table 3. Response Rate of the Survey Study

| Questionnaires | Rate |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| Distributed questionnaires | 399 |
| Returned and questionnaires | 138 |
| The response rate of the sample | (138/399) = 35% |

In addition to the points noted above, for Arabic countries, Rettab [51] used a response rate of 13% to study corporate social responsibility in Dubai. Such a case might give strong evidence that the response rate used in this study is large enough to analyse the data. Additionally, Roscoe [47] recommended that a sample size larger than 30 and less than 500 was appropriate for most researchers. Tables 4, 5, 6 and 7 show the characteristics of the sample included in this study.

3.2 Characteristics of the Sample

The questionnaire was sent to the sample population to be answered by fullfull-tim

employees at CCQ. As mentioned earlier, only 138 employees returned their questionnaires. The respondents were classified according to their gender, job, qualification and, experience. Tables 4, 5, 6 and 7 show the characteristics of the sample included in this study.

3.3 TQM Practices

This section considered the activities undertaken by the college to adopt the TQM practices. First, the dimension TQM recognition scored a mean value of 3.19 for all items that measuring this concept with standard deviation of 1.102. Because the mean value is above that of the average of 5-point scale, it can be accepted that these practices have been given acceptable attention.

Second, the descriptive analysis of stakeholders' focus resulted in a mean value of 3.384 for this concept with standard deviation of 1.1056. The given mean value indicated that there is an agreement that these practices are implemented by CCQ, which indicates that CCQ pays attention to its internal and external stakeholders.

“Third, the descriptive analysis of the five items of Leadership and vision resulted in an overall mean value of 3.342 and a standard deviation of 1.1188. This concept is above the average level of the 5-point scale, which indicated that the majority of respondents believe that the top management and vision of CCQ support the implementation of TQM practices” [41].

Fourth; the Measurement and continuous improvement process scored a mean value of 3.328 and a standard deviation of 1.0696. Such results indicated that CCQ maintains its performance and implements practices toward continues improvements.

3.4 Factor Analysis for TMQ Practices

Table 1 illustrates the results of factor analysis. “To determine whether factor analysis was appropriate for TQM, KMO and Bartlett tests

were applied. The results in Table 8 indicate that the KMO measure for the items has a value of 0.850 which indicated meritorious adequacy [48], and thus was appropriate for using factor analysis. The value of Bartlett test was also very high (3438.500) and its associated significance

level is very low (0.000). Both the KMO measure and the Bartlett test of Sphericity results showed that the items used in the instrument met the conditions for factor analysis. This meant that factor analysis could be applied to the variable” [41].

Table 4. Gender of respondents

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|--------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Male | 72 | 52.17 | 52.17 | 52.7 |
| Female | 66 | 47.83 | 47.83 | 100.0 |
| Total | 138 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

Table 5. Job of Respondents

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|----------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Academician | 66 | 47.83 | 47.83 | 47.83 |
| Administrative | 72 | 52.17 | 52.17 | 100.0 |
| Total | 138 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

Table 6. Qualification of Respondents

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|---------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Phd and above | 38 | 27.5 | 27.5 | 27.5 |
| Master | 32 | 23.2 | 23.2 | 50.7 |
| Diploma | 22 | 15.9 | 15.9 | 66.7 |
| Others | 46 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 138 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

Table 7. Experience of respondents

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------------------------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Less than one year | 36 | 26.1 | 26.1 | 26.1 |
| 1year to less than 5 years | 60 | 43.5 | 43.5 | 69.6 |
| 5 years to less than 10 years | 42 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 138 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

Table 8. KMO and Bartlett's Test for TQM Practices

| | | |
|---|--------------------|----------|
| Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy. | | .850 |
| Bartlett's Test of Sphericity | Approx. Chi-Square | 3438.500 |
| | df | 253 |
| | Sig. | .000 |

Table 9. The Relationship between Sample Size and Factor Loadings Values

| Factor loading | Sample size needed for significance |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| 0.30 | 350 |
| 0.35 | 250 |
| 0.40 | 200 |
| 0.45 | 150 |
| 0.50 | 120 |
| 0.55 | 100 |
| 0.60 | 85 |

| Factor loading | Sample size needed for significance |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| 0.65 | 70 |
| 0.70 | 60 |
| 0.75 | 50 |

Source: Hair, et al. [48]

Table 10. Rotated component matrix^a

| Dimensions | Code | Factor analysis | | | | Cronbach alpha | Descriptive statistics | |
|--|------|-----------------|------|------|------|----------------|------------------------|--------|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | Mean | Std |
| Quality management system recognition | Q35 | .820 | | | | 0.942 | 3.19 | 1.102 |
| | Q47 | .775 | | | | | | |
| | Q46 | .766 | | | | | | |
| | Q38 | .764 | | | | | | |
| | Q21 | .715 | | | | | | |
| | Q40 | .694 | | | | | | |
| | Q18 | .625 | | | | | | |
| | Q27 | .592 | | | | | | |
| Stakeholders focus | Q64 | | .851 | | | 0.883 | 3.384 | 1.1056 |
| | Q63 | | .817 | | | | | |
| | Q57 | | .766 | | | | | |
| | Q60 | | .757 | | | | | |
| | Q61 | | .737 | | | | | |
| Measuring and continues improvement | Q4 | | | .784 | | 0.981 | 3.328 | 1.0696 |
| | Q1 | | | .740 | | | | |
| | Q2 | | | .695 | | | | |
| | Q16 | | | .692 | | | | |
| | Q53 | | | .540 | | | | |
| Leadership and vision | Q34 | | | | .758 | 0.873 | 3.342 | 1.1188 |
| | Q24 | | | | .636 | | | |
| | Q51 | | | | .628 | | | |
| | Q49 | | | | .606 | | | |
| | Q43 | | | | .576 | | | |

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalisation.

a. Rotation converged in seven iterations.

According to the rule of thumb of Hair et al. [48], for practical significance, the factor loadings should have values greater than 0.50. They recommended that the sample size could be a determinant of the accepted value of factor loading. In other words, when the sample size was small, a higher value is required for significant factor loadings. Table 9 summarises the relationship between sample size and factor loadings values according to Hair et al. [48].

Following the criteria listed in Table 9, the factor loading values for the analysis in this study should be higher than 0.50 because of the sample size s 138. Therefore, items exhibiting low factor loadings (< 0.50), high cross-loadings (> 0.50), or low communalities (< 0.30) were candidates for elimination [48]. Conducting a factor analysis resulted in four factors that

explained variance of 75.095%. These factors were quality management system recognition (8 items), stakeholders focus (5 items), leadership and vision (5 items), and measuring and continues improvement (5 items). Some items were deleted due to having either a low factor loading, low communalities, or high cross-loading (for the loading of items, refer to Table 10).

3.5 T-Test for the Difference between Groups (Academic and Administrative staff)

This paper investigates for differences between academic and administrative staff in their perceptions of the implementation of TQM practices. The study uses the t-test method to identify such differences which resulted in the following:

Table 11. Group statistics

| | Job | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean |
|--------------|----------------|----|--------|----------------|-----------------|
| TQMRECOGN | Academician | 66 | 3.5322 | 1.01368 | .12478 |
| | Administrative | 72 | 2.8472 | .72500 | .08544 |
| LEADVISION | Academician | 66 | 3.2909 | 1.10115 | .13554 |
| | Administrative | 72 | 3.4194 | .83052 | .09788 |
| MEASURIMPROV | Academician | 66 | 3.6242 | .85899 | .10573 |
| | Administrative | 72 | 3.0806 | .97499 | .11490 |
| STAKEFOCUS | Academician | 66 | 3.4818 | .92552 | .11392 |
| | Administrative | 72 | 3.1583 | .85246 | .10046 |

Table 12. An independent-samples t-test

| | | Levene's Test for Equality of Variances | | t-test for Equality of Means | | | |
|--------------|-------------|---|------|------------------------------|---------|------|-----------------|
| | | Equal variances | F | Sig. | t | df | Sig. (2-tailed) |
| TQMRECOGN | Assumed | 18.145 | .000 | 4.594 | 136 | .000 | .68497 |
| | Not assumed | | | 4.529 | 116.749 | .000 | .68497 |
| LEADVISION | Assumed | 11.817 | .001 | -.778 | 136 | .438 | -.12854 |
| | Not assumed | | | -.769 | 120.473 | .444 | -.12854 |
| MEASURIMPROV | Assumed | .114 | .736 | 3.463 | 136 | .001 | .54369 |
| | Not assumed | | | 3.482 | 135.795 | .001 | .54369 |
| STAKEFOCUS | Assumed | .111 | .740 | 2.137 | 136 | .034 | .32348 |
| | Not assumed | | | 2.130 | 132.208 | .035 | .32348 |

An independent-samples t-test was conducted to compare perceptions of implementing TQM practices of the academic and administrative staff of CCQ.

The test indicated different results. First, in term of leadership and vision, there was an insignificant difference between academicians (M=3.2909, SD=1.10115) and administrative (M=3.4194, SD=0.83052), p=.0438 (p >0.05). However, the results of other TQM practices show differences between the perceptions of the two groups. First, in the TQM recognition dimension, there was a significant difference between academicians (M=3.5322, SD=1.10368) and administrative (M=2.8472, SD=0.72500), p=.000 (p < 0.05). Second, in the measuring and continuous improvement dimension, there was a significant difference between academicians (M=3.6242, SD=1.85899) and administrative (M=3.0806, SD=0.97499), p=.001 (p < 0.05). Third, with regard to the stakeholders' focus dimension, there was a significant difference

between academicians (M=3.4818, SD=1.92552) and administrative (M=3.1583, SD=0.85246), p=.034 (p < 0.05).

The result of t-test shows that academic and administrative staff have different perceptions of the implementation of TQM practices except for leadership and vision. Such results could be indicators that CCQ management has succeeded in integrating its leadership and vision into the perceptions of all staff. However, the result clearly indicates that both groups perceive the implementation of other practices differently.

The variance between the two groups could be attributed to the roles of each group. Krymets et al. [52] articulated that faculty staff and administrative staff are two different of costumers, which make their needs different. Additionally, Ahmed, Ming, and Sapry [53] articulated that the priority of administrative staff is usually lower than faculties in higher education institutions. There are differences between the

needs of the administrative staff (student affairs, finance, accounting, purchasing, procurement, etc.) and academic staff whose needs are more linked to education, training, and research [54]. The academicians usually are the nucleus of scientific production and the basic internal constituency without which the educational institution cannot function properly [55]. Accordingly, the perception of TQM practices could be varying between the two groups. The results support previous studies that organisational culture plays a major role in implementing TQM [31,35,36].

4. CONCLUSION

The study investigates differences between academic and administrative staff regarding the implementation of TQM practices in the Community College of Qatar. Such an issue has been widely neglected in the previous literature. The study relies on the call that academic and administrative staff reflect two cultures within the organization. Therefore, their perceptions might be different due to their types of activities.

Using a self-reported questionnaire, the data has been collected from 66 academicians and 72 administrative staff. The descriptive statistics of the characteristics of the sample shows that the sample includes different genders, qualification, experiences and job types. Such result might inform that the respondents are in level of knowledge that enables them to give an actual picture of the phenomena of study. Additionally, a descriptive analysis was conducted for the constructs of the study TQM. The results of the analysis indicate that the constructs have reached acceptable levels. It shows that there are good levels of implementation of total quality management practices [56].

The result of the independent-samples t-test revealed that both groups have the same perception with regard to leadership and vision implementation as a dimension of TQM. However, the groups have different perceptions regarding the implementation of other dimensions of TQM practices (recognition of TQM, measurement and continues improvement practices and stakeholder focus). The results support previous studies that organisational culture plays a major role in implementing TQM [31,35,36].

This is the first study to consider the role of subculture in influencing the implementation of

TQM. Doing so will help decision-makers in choosing the right practices that fit with each group. Additionally, researchers have to rethink about implementing a new approach to study TQM, and the difference in the results of previous studies might be because they have ignored the difference in the subcultures and their roles in determining the effects of TQM practices on different outcomes.

Although this study provides many contributions to the field of TQM, it suffers from some limitations. Despite its contributions, the paper has several limitations that should be taken into consideration. First, the study used a self-reported questionnaire filled in by staff in TQM and, therefore, the survey data might be subject to social desirability bias (Sharma, 2001; Baba, 2004). Second, since this study was conducted in Qatar, which is considered a developing country, caution should be taken when generalizing the results of the study, and the results may be generalized only to a similar environment and stage of development. Finally, although 168 respondents can represent an acceptable sample size for this type of study, future studies should increase the sample size.

CONSENT

As per international standard or university standard, respondents' written consent has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Lemak DJ, Reed R, Satish PK. Commitment to total quality management: is there a relationship with firm performance? *J Qual Manag.* 1997;2(1):67-86.
2. Mukhopadhyay M. Total Quality Management in education. SAGE Publications Pvt. Limited; 2020.
3. Sadikoglu E, Zehir C. Investigating the effects of innovation and employee performance on the relationship between total quality management practices and firm performance: an empirical study of Turkish firms. *Int J Prod Econ.* 2010;127(1):13-26.

4. Patmawati S, Dewi VM, Asbari M, Sasono I, Purwanto A. THE implementation of integrated quality management in education institutions. *J Inf Syst Manag (JISMA)*. 2023;2(1):27-32.
5. Ahmed A, Ferdousi F. TQM components as a source of competitive advantage in a beverage organization: a resource based view. *Int J Bus Strategy Autom*. 2020;1(2):25-36.
6. Alsughayir A. Does practicing total quality management affect employee job satisfaction in Saudi Arabian organizations? *Eur J Bus Manag*. 2014;6(3):169-75.
7. Motwani J, Kumar A. The need for implementing total quality management in education. *Int J Educ Manag*. 1997;11(3):131-5.
8. Hellsten U, Klefsjö B. TQM as a management system consisting of values, techniques and tools. *TQM Mag*. 2000;12(4):238-44.
9. Al Mohaimen A, Jahan FG, Sharma PR, Subba JR, Bahar H, Hoque MN et al. Analysis of academic Total Quality Management in higher education. *Eur J Educ Stud*. 2022;9(5).
10. Jaeger M, Adair D. Perception of TQM benefits, practices and obstacles: the case of project managers and quality management representatives in Kuwait. *TQM J*. 2016;28(2):317-36.
11. Cua KO, McKone KE, Schroeder RG. Relationships between implementation of TQM, JIT, and TPM and manufacturing performance. *J Oper Manag*. 2001;19(6):675-94.
12. Howard LW, Foster ST. The influence of human resource practices on empowerment and employee perceptions of management commitment to quality. *J Qual Manag*. 1999;4(1):5-22.
13. Iqbal T, Huq F, Bhutta MKS. Agile manufacturing relationship building with TQM, JIT, and firm performance: an exploratory study in apparel export industry of Pakistan. *Int J Prod Econ*. 2018;203:24-37.
14. Imran M, Hamid SNbA, Aziz Ab. The influence of TQM on export performance of SMEs: empirical evidence from manufacturing sector in Pakistan using PLS-SEM. *Manag Sci Lett*. 2018;8(5):483-96.
15. Kaynak H. The relationship between total quality management practices and their effects on firm performance. *J Oper Manag*. 2003;21(4):405-35.
16. Youssef MA, Youssef EM. The synergistic impact of ISO 9000 and TQM on operational performance and competitiveness. *Int J Qual Reliab Manag*. 2018;35(3):614-34.
17. Zatzick CD, Moliterno TP, Fang T. Strategic (MIS) FIT: the implementation of TQM in manufacturing organizations. *Strateg Manag J*. 2012;33(11):1321-30.
18. Karageorgos C, Kriemadis A, Travlos A, Kokaridas D. Planning and implementing total quality management in education: the case of Cyprus. *Int J Educ Manag Innov*. 2021;2(1):1-12.
19. Kanji GK, Malek A, Tambi BA. Total Quality Management in UK higher education institutions. *Total Qual Manag*. 1999;10(1):129-53.
20. Largosen S, Hashemi S, Leitner M. M (2004). Examination of the dimensions of quality in higher education. *Qual Assur Educ*. 2004;12(2):61-9.
21. Shauchenka H, Busłowska E. Methods and tools for higher education service quality assessment (survey). *Zesz Nauk Politehn Białostockiej Informatyka*. 2010:87-102.
22. Psomas E, Psomas E, Antony J, Antony J. Total Quality Management elements and results in higher education institutions: the Greek case. *Qual Assur Educ*. 2017;25(2):206-23.
23. Azizov NP. Prospects for the introduction of the concept of TQM (Total Quality Management) in higher educational institutions of Uzbekistan. *Texas J Multidiscip Stud*. 2022;7:92-6.
24. Jasti NVK, Venkateswaran V, Kota S, Sangwan KS. A literature review on total quality management (models, frameworks, and tools and techniques) in higher education. *TQM J*. 2022;34(5):1298-319.
25. Kwarteng AJ. An assessment of outcome criteria associated with the implementation of TQM in a higher education institution in Ghana. *Cogent Educ*. 2021;8(1):1859198.
26. Khurniawan AW, Sailah I, Muljono P, Indriyanto B, Maarif MS. An analysis of implementing Total Quality Management in education: succes and challenging factors. *Int J Learn Dev*. 2020;10(2):44-59.
27. Yusuf FA. Total quality management (TQM) and quality of higher education: A meta-analysis study. *Int J Instruction*. 2023;16(2):161-78.

28. Nasim K, Sikander A, Tian X. Twenty years of research on total quality management in Higher Education: A systematic literature review. *Higher Educ Q.* 2020;74(1):75-97.
29. Kuo HM. Understanding relationships between academic staff and administrators: an organisational culture perspective. *J Higher Educ Policy Manag.* 2009;31(1):43-54.
30. Holton SA, Phillips G. Can't live with them, can't live without them: faculty and administrators in conflict. *New Dir Higher Educ.* 1995;1995(92):43-50.
31. Martínez-Lorente AR, Dewhurst F, Dale BG. Total Quality Management: origins and evolution of the term. *Int J Educ Manag.* 11(3). 1998;10(5):131-5.
32. Hearn JC, Anderson MS. Conflict in academic departments: an analysis of disputes over faculty promotion and tenure. *Res Higher Educ.* 2002;43(5):503-29.
33. Erkan I, Mehmet UNAL. Total Quality Management practices in health services. *Res J Bus Manag.* 2022;9(4):197-205.
34. Riaz H, Iqbal Ahmad Khan K, Ullah F, Bilal Tahir M, Alqurashi M, Alsulami BT. Key factors for implementation of total quality management in construction Sector: A system dynamics approach. *Ain Shams Eng J.* 2023;14(3):101903.
35. Reed R, Lemak DJ, Mero NP. Total Quality Management and sustainable competitive advantage. *J Qual Manag.* 2000;5(1):5-26.
36. Ugboro IO, Obeng K. Top management leadership, employee empowerment, job satisfaction, and customer satisfaction in TQM organizations: an empirical study. *J Qual Manag.* 2000;5(2):247-72.
37. Hair JF, Money AH, Samouel P, Page M. *Research methods for business.* West Sussex: John Wiley & Sons Ltd; 2007.
38. Thach L. Using electronic mail to conduct survey research. *Educ Technol.* 1995;35(2):27-31.
39. Watson SC. A primer in survey research. *J Contin Higher Educ.* 1998;46(1):31-40.
40. Almurshidee KA. The implementation of TQM in higher education institutions in Saudi Arabia: marketing prospective. *Global Journal of Management and Business Research;* 2017.
41. Salem M, Shawtari F, Hussain H, Shamsudin M. The aspects of Total Quality Management in higher education institutions. *Opcion.* 2018;34(16):359-68.
42. Bhattacharjee A. *Social science research: principles, methods, and practices.* USF Open Access textbooks collection; 2012. Available: http://scholarcommons.usf.edu/oa_textbooks.
43. Pallant J. *SPSS Survival Manual: A Step by Step Guide to Data Analysis Using SPSS for Windows (4rd ed.).* England. Maidenhead: Open University Press; 2011.
44. Sekaran U. *Research methods for business: A skill building approach.* India: Wiley; 2006.
45. Sekaran U, Bougie R. *Research methods for business: A skill building approach.* 5th ed. United Kingdom: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.; 2009.
46. Armstrong JS, Overton TS. Estimating nonresponse bias in mail surveys. *J Mark Res.* 1977;14(3):396-402.
47. Roscoe JT. *Fundamental research statistics for the Behavioral Science.* 2nd ed. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston; 1975.
48. Hair JF, Jr., Black WC, Babin BJ, Andersen RE, Tatham RL. *Multivariate data analysis.* 7th ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Prentice Hall; 2010.
49. Bluman A. *E-study Guide for: elementary statistics: A step by step approach: Cram101 textbook Reviews;* 2011.
50. World B. *the state in a changing world. Selected world development indicators.* World Dev Rep;1997.
51. Rettab B, Brik AB, Mellahi K. A study of management perceptions of the impact of corporate social responsibility on organisational performance in emerging economies: the case of Dubai. *J Bus Ethics.* 2009;89(3):371-90.
52. Krymets LV, Saienko OH, Bilyakovska OO, Zakharov OY, Ivanova DH. Quality management in higher education: developing the methodology on the basis of total quality management. *Rev Educ.* 2022;10(1):e3322.
53. Ahmad AR, Ming TZ, Sapry HRM. Effective strategy for succession planning in higher education institutions. *Journal of Education and E-Learning Research.* 2020;7(2):203-8.
54. Ercan T. Effective use of cloud computing in educational institutions. *Procedia Soc Behav Sci.* 2010;2(2):938-42.
55. Jongbloed B, Enders J, Salerno C. Higher education and its communities: interconnections, interdependencies and a

- research agenda. Higher Educ. 2008;56(3):303-24.
56. Osazevbaru HO, Oyibo F. Conceptualising Total Quality Management as a predictor of performance in Nigerian microfinance institutions. Eur J Bus Manag Research. 2023;8(1):86-92.

© 2023 Salem et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/95819>