



Modern Agro Techniques for Carbon Sequestration to Mitigate Climate Change

P. Elavarasi ^{a++*}, Kasinam Doruk ^{b++},
K. Subash Chandra Bose ^{c++}, P. Ramamoorthy ^{d++},
Manojkumar ^{a++} and Nakeertha Venu ^e

^a Adhiparasakthi Agricultural College, Ranipet, Tamil Nadu- 632 506, India.

^b Himalayan University, Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh -791111, India.

^c Mother Teresa College of Agriculture, Pudukottai, Tamil Nadu- 622 102, India.

^d Don Bosco College of Agriculture, Tamil Nadu – 631151, India.

^e ICAR-National Academy of Agricultural Research Management, Hyderabad -500030, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2024/v14i34083

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/114703>

Mini-review Article

Received: 21/01/2024

Accepted: 24/03/2024

Published: 28/03/2024

ABSTRACT

The review discusses both abiotic and biotic technologies and describes the mechanics involved in sequestering carbon dioxide (CO₂). In an attempt to reduce the net rate of rise in atmospheric CO₂, carbon sequestration entails transporting or storing CO₂ into various long-lived global reservoirs, such as biotic, geological, pedologic, and marine layers. Carbon sequestration is the process of removing carbon dioxide from the atmosphere by biological or geological mechanisms. The method of keeping carbon in a stable, solid state is known as sequestration. Technologies are being developed which is explained in the main body of review to reduce the rate at which land-use change, energy, process industries, and the process of cultivating soil in raising the atmospheric concentration of carbon dioxide.

⁺⁺ Assistant Professor;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: elavarasi.praba94@gmail.com;

Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 755-759, 2024

Keywords: Climate change; greenhouse impact; soil management; geological; oceanic; forest; agriculture and mineral sequestration.

1. INTRODUCTION

From controlling the earth's temperature to producing the food that keeps us alive and powering the global economy, carbon has both advantages and disadvantages. It is the main contributor to ozone depletion, global warming, ocean acidification, climate change, and other health hazards. One of the most significant elements in the universe, carbon is derived from a variety of sources. Soil organic matter contains more microorganisms, including microflora such as bacteria, fungus, and actinomycetes. It is a multifaceted composition that is mostly enhanced with carbon. The fundamental element of all organic materials is carbon. Every aspect of existence depends on it, according to Winowiecki et al. [1].

The planet's largest carbon store outside of solid organic carbon (SOC) is rocks, which provide all of the carbon in the atmosphere, plants, and seas. The carbon cycle includes the transfer of carbon from one terrestrial deposit to another. A few years in the atmosphere, decades in plants, hundreds to thousands of years in soils and seas, and millions of years in rocks are its usual residence times. These organic evidences show that minerals store carbon in the most stable way [2].

2. CARBON CAPTURE AND STORAGE (CCS) TECHNOLOGIES

It's a technique for slowing down global warming that depends on sequestering carbon dioxide (CO₂) as opposed to allowing large point sources, such as fossil fuel power plants, to spew it into the sky. CCS can cut CO₂ emissions to the atmosphere in modern conventional power plants by 80–90% when added to a plant without it. CO₂ capture and compression (CCS), an energy-intensive process, would raise a coal-fired plant's fuel requirements by 25% to 40%. The process of capturing atmospheric carbon (C) that would otherwise be released into or remain in the atmosphere and safely storing it in biotic and pedologic carbon banks is known as terrestrial carbon sequestration [3] Plants, soil, and other terrestrial organisms all sequester or retain carbon.

2.1 Geological Storage

Using this method, industrial CO₂ is transported, liquefied, and injected into deep geological layers. CO₂ can be used to treat coal seams, stable rock layers, aged oil wells (to boost yield), and sealed aquifers. This process, called geo-sequestration, usually involves injecting supercritical carbon dioxide straight into underground geological formations. Ajayi et al. [4] state that carbon capture and storage, which immobilizes CO₂ permanently in underground geological storage formations, provides an appropriate means of removing it from the atmosphere. These geological formations' sedimentary rocks are widely recognized for having limited porosity and being impermeable.

2.2 Oceans as Carbon Sequestrators

About 40% of these CO₂ emissions that are the product of human activity have been able to remain in the atmosphere, however. The remaining 60% of anthropogenic carbon has been rather evenly absorbed by the terrestrial and oceanic carbon stocks, according to Le quere et al. (2017). Direct CO₂ injection into deep oceans, some of which are isolated from the atmosphere for hundreds of millions of years, may speed up this natural process.

2.3 Mineral Storage

One of the many types of mineral entrapment is when CO₂ combines with organic materials and minerals in geologic formations to form part of the solid matrix (Chang et al., 2007). Mineral phases that contain carbonate, like siderite, calcite, magnesite, and dawsonite, precipitate and retain CO₂. CO₂ is trapped when metal cations and dissolved carbonate anions precipitate as solids. This technique creates stable carbonates by reacting easily available metal oxides with carbon dioxide exothermically. Much of the surface-visible limestone is produced by this process, which takes place naturally over several years. Although these methods can use more energy, they can speed up the rate of reaction by pre-treating the minerals or reacting at higher temperatures and/or pressures. Because divalent metal cations are abundant in silicates and basaltic and ultramafic rocks are highly reactive, they are best suited for natural mineral carbonation [5].

2.4 Forest as Carbon Sequestrators

The greatest carbon store and an essential component of terrestrial ecosystems is the forest. Forest resources are employed, particularly in developing nations to combat poverty despite carbon sequestration [6]. Through biological development, which can increase forest stocks, deforestation, which can increase carbon emissions, or the storage or emission of carbon, a significant greenhouse gas, and forests can have a significant impact on climate change. Forest soils not only hold onto biomass from the trees but also store carbon.

2.5 Liquid Tree using Microalgae

When biomass is produced and used using environmentally friendly methods, the method of storing carbon dioxide is very beneficial since it may guarantee the net elimination of excess carbon dioxide. Because algae have a bigger surface area, develop more quickly than trees, and are easier to manage in bioreactors, they absorb more carbon dioxide than trees. Carbon dioxide recycling can help minimize a number of environmental issues by providing food for algae. Photobioreactors serve to facilitate the process of growing algae. The algae employ the carbon dioxide they take in to create the skeletons of proteins, lipids, carbohydrates, and colors [7].

2.6 Reusing CO₂

One potentially useful tactic could be to transform CO₂ from industrial sources into hydrocarbons, which can then be stored, repurposed as fuel, or used to make polymers. The reliable process of producing methanol is one way to produce a hydrocarbon. CO₂ and H₂ can be readily converted to methanol. According to Hussein and Aroua [8], carbon dioxide is

transformed into beneficial compounds including 2, 3 furandiol and methylglyoxal, which are utilized as raw materials for medicines, adhesives, and plastics. We are getting closer to a low-carbon, sustainable economy thanks to this latest revelation.

2.7 A Few Technological Solutions to Reduce the Amount of CO₂ that Power Plants Release into the Environment are as Follows

Reducing the amount of carbon dioxide emissions by using (a) fuels with lower carbon emissions, like natural gas instead of coal (b) utilizing nuclear or renewable energy sources more frequently (c) looking into the possibility of CO₂ storage and capture.

2.8 Afforestation

One of the practical methods for sequestering carbon in ecosystems on land [9]. Another viable alternative is to restore damaged tropical forests. Thus, the establishment of productive monoculture Acacia, Eucalyptus, and Pinus plantations can improve the terrestrial C pool in these environments. There is also an opportunity to enhance secondary or regeneration forest management in degraded tropical environments.

2.9 Biofuels

It is possible to reduce the use of fossil fuels and produce alternative, sustainable energy sources by converting sugars from biomass into ethanol and oils and fats from plants into bio-diesel [10]. Transforming atmospheric CO₂ into biomass-based biofuels. Energy plantations can create biofuels that trap carbon in the soil, offset

Table 1. An overview of the CO₂ sequestration techniques (IPCC, 2005)

Storage types	Benefits	Drawbacks	Potential Gt
Mineral carbonation	ecologically secure Thermodynamic processes Plenty of feedstock	Expensive Slow kinetics of reactions Preparing the feedstock	>10,000 to 1,000,000
	Continuous Non-monitoring Use of Industrial Waste	High energy content Uncertain future prospects Poor additive chemical recovery Issues with using or disposing of the process product if it is used extensively Feedstock mining causes environmental problems.	Poor

the emissions of fossil fuels, and reduce the increase of atmospheric CO₂ and other greenhouse gases by using specific crops including poplar, willow, switch grass, miscanthus, Karnal grass, and Pennisetum. All of these benefits can be achieved with careful species selection and management. Some contend that despite the advantages, there would be more rivalry for the land and water needed to set up energy plantations.

What can agricultural producers do to enhance carbon sequestration?

The ramifications of climate change are far-reaching and diverse, impacting not only agricultural and water supplies but also economic stability and health. Moving on to the source of global warming, According to Manna *et al.* [11], deforestation, changes in land use, and agricultural practices account for roughly 14%, 20%, and 17% of greenhouse gas emissions, respectively. Cias *et al.* (2013) state that carbon is stored in soils and living organisms through biotic and abiotic processes, and that through plant photosynthesis, terrestrial ecosystems absorb CO₂ from the atmosphere.

2.10 Wetlands Restored for Carbon Sequestration

According to Griscom *et al.* (2016), this strategy uses wetlands, grasslands, and forests as natural ecosystems to absorb and store CO₂. Wetlands are of great significance for their potential to store carbon because of their long residency times. Anaerobic circumstances allow vegetation to continue absorbing ambient carbon dioxide (CO₂) while preserving the carbon (C) in the soil.

2.11 Remote Sensing and Sensors

Asner *et al.* [12] have identified significant remote sensing applications that can aid in the decision-making process related to carbon sequestration. The ability to estimate geographical variation and temporal change in terrestrial C dynamics has been significantly improved by the use of data sources generated from satellite-based remote sensing. These measures, which are widespread and conducted at a high frequency, are crucial for promoting climate action and policy. Comprehensive mapping of the vegetation and our comprehension of complicated eco-physiological processes across changing

environments has substantially increased due to gross primary production (GPP) and its spatiotemporal variation throughout the biosphere.

2.12 Economics and Policies

To stop "carbon leakage," which occurs when businesses relocate their operations to nations with lax environmental rules, the pricing systems must be harmonized globally. The implementation of a national carbon inventory-based approach, as opposed to one that rewards project-level gains, may reduce issues related to leakage, baseline definition, and information asymmetries [13-17].

3. CONCLUSION

The accumulation of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere is making the problem of climate change increasingly urgent, necessitating swift action to mitigate its adverse effects. One potential result of lowering greenhouse gas emissions by carbon capture and sequestration is the removal of carbon dioxide from the environment. The fact that CCS has been used on projects spanning several decades and has demonstrated technological viability is undoubtedly one of its advantages. Its primary shortcoming is that it remains too costly and opaque for the economic actors to be fully engaged. We can regulate CO₂ by enacting strict policies on carbon trading. Choose a plan that benefits the local economy and area. Further study is required.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Winowiecki L, Vågen TG, Massawe B, Jelinski NA, Lyamchai C, Sayula G, Msoka E. Landscape-scale variability of soil health indicators: Effects of cultivation on soil organic carbon in the Usambara Mountains of Tanzania. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*. 2016;105: 263-274.
2. Lal R. Sequestering atmospheric carbon dioxide. *Critical Reviews in Plant Science*. 2009;28(3):90-96.
3. Lal R. Carbon sequestration. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B:*

- Biological Sciences. 2007;363(1492):815-830.
4. Ajayi T, Awolayo A, Gomes JS, Parra H, Hu J. Large scale modeling and assessment of the feasibility of CO₂ storage onshore Abu Dhabi. *Energy*. 2019;185:653-670.
 5. Wolff-Boenisch D, Gislason SR, Oelkers EH. The effect of crystallinity on dissolution rates and CO₂ consumption capacity of silicates. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*. 2006;70(4):858-870.
 6. Voituriez T, Morita KT, Giordano T, Bakkour N, Shimizu N. Financing the 2030 agenda for sustainable development, Governing Trough Goals: Sustainable Development Goals as Governance Innovation. 2017;259.
 7. Sydney EB, Sturm W, de Carvalho JC, Thomaz-Soccol V, Larroche C, Pandey A, Soccol CR. Potential carbon dioxide fixation by industrially important microalgae. *Bioresource Technology*. 2010;101(15):5892-5896.
 8. Hussin F, Aroua MK. Recent development in the electrochemical conversion of carbon dioxide: Short review. In *AIP Conference Proceedings*. AIP Publishing. 2019;2124(1).
 9. Lamb D, Erskine PD, Parrotta JA. Restoration of degraded tropical forest landscapes. *Science*. 2005;310(5754):1628-1632.
 10. Himmel ME, Ding SY, Johnson DK, Adney WS, Nimlos MR, Brady JW, Foust TD. Biomass recalcitrance: Engineering plants and enzymes for biofuels production. *Science*. 2007;315(5813):804-807.
 11. Manna MC, Mandal A, Singh YV. Soil carbon sequestration in different land use systems. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*. 2013;12(4):277-283.
 12. Asner GP, Hughes RF, Mascaró J, Uowolo AL, Knapp DE, Jacobson J, Clark JK. High-resolution carbon mapping on the million-hectare Island of Hawaii. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*. 2011;9(8):434-439.
 13. Andersson K, Richards KR. Implementing an international carbon sequestration program: Can the leaky sink be fixed. *Climate Policy*. 2001;1(2):173-188.
 14. Al-Baz H. Climate resilience of refugees in Jordan, Master's thesis, University of Twente; 2021.
 15. Ciais P, Sabine C, Bala G, Bopp L, Brovkin V, Canadell J, Thornton P. Carbon and other biogeochemical cycles. In *Climate change 2013: The physical science basis*; 2014.
 16. Griscom BW, Adams J, Ellis PW, Houghton RA, Lomax G, Miteva DA, Fargione J. Natural climate solutions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2017;114(44):11645-11650.
 17. Le Quéré C, Andrew RM, Friedlingstein P, Sitch S, Pongratz J, Manning AC, Zhu D. Global carbon budget 2017. *Earth System Science Data*. 2018;10(1):405-448.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/114703>