

Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology

Volume 42, Issue 5, Page 255-265, 2024; Article no.AJAEES.115501 ISSN: 2320-7027

Comparision of Consumer Preferences in Regards to Food Retail Formats in Tirupati City, India

M. Vasanthakrishna Reddy a*, A. Lalitha a, K.S. Purnima a, S.K. Nafeez Umar a and M. Venkata Ramulu a

^a Institute of Agribusiness Management, S.V. Agricultural College, Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, Tirupati–517 502, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Author MVR designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors AL and KSP managed the analyses of the study. Authors Sk.NU and MVR managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJAEES/2024/v42i52435

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here:

https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/115501

Original Research Article

Received: 05/02/2024 Accepted: 10/04/2024 Published: 13/04/2024

ABSTRACT

This study conducted in the year 2023 aimed to compare consumer preferences for various food retail formats in Tirupati city, focusing on organized and unorganized sectors, and to identify factors influencing their choices. A representative sample of 120 consumers was selected using simple random sampling, and primary data was collected through personal interviews. The findings revealed that 73.33 percent of the consumers in showed a moderate perception of both organized (62.50%) and unorganized (73.33%) food retail formats. *Kirana* stores (unorganized) and supermarkets (organized) were the preferred choices for purchasing food and groceries. The reasons for preferring organized retail formats included a wide range of products and better product presentation, while unorganized retail formats were favoured for more bargaining opportunities and locally sourced products. Income levels were found to influence retail format

*Corresponding author: E-mail: vasanthkrishna2501 @gmail.com;

Asian J. Agric. Ext. Econ. Soc., vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 255-265, 2024

choices, with lower-income groups more likely to shop at unorganized formats. The SWOT analysis indicated that organized stores benefit from a wide range of products but face competition from unorganized formats and online platforms, while, unorganized stores build strong customer relationships but struggle with limited product variety and changing consumer preferences.

Keywords: consumer preferences; consumer perception; food retail formats; SWOT analysis; Tirupati city.

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the Indian retail sector has expanded rapidly, with the food grocery segments playing a significant role. According to the IBEF Report (2022), the food industry, which is currently valued at 26.33 trillion USD, is expected to grow at a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of reach 38.7 trillion 11.3% to USD by 2026 [1].

The overall division of retailing can be categorized as organized and unorganized sectors. Unorganized/traditional retailing refers to low-cost retailing formats such as local *Kirana shops*, owner-managed general stores, pan shops, handcart and pavement vendors, *etc* [2]. The vast majority of unorganized retail outlets in India rely on family members for employment and lack the capacity to procure or transport products in large quantities at a wholesale level [3].

This study conducted in the year aimed to compare consumer preferences to various food retail formats in Tirupati city (organized and unorganized) and also to determine the factors that influence consumer's choice of food retail their formats and perception about purchases daily/weekly/monthly and overall analysis of the organized and unorganized retail formats to identify opportunities and threats for near future [4]. This research is significant because contribute to the existing body of knowledge on consumer's behaviour and preferences with retail reference to the food formats.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted in 2023, this study focused on Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh, renowned for its religious significance and tourist influx. Purposeful sampling targeted semi-urban and urban areas to understand consumer perceptions and preferences in food retail formats. A

representative sample of 120 consumers (60 females, 60 males) purchasing groceries was chosen using simple random sampling. Primary data was collected through personal interviews with a structured schedule. Statistical tools such as frequency analysis, Chi-square, and Garrett ranking were employed to analyze the data, scored on a scale of 1 to 3 [5].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The study, involving 120 respondents, examined consumer preferences by gathering data on purchase preferred sources, reasons choosing organized or unorganized retail, ranking preferences among various formats, and influencing choices. retail Demographically, 53.30% of respondents were aged 25-35, with an even split between urban and semi-urban residences. Educationally, 88.40% held a graduate degree or higher, and 34.20% were self-employed. In terms of income, 37.50% earned between Rs.20.001 Rs.100.000 monthly. Socio-economically, 57.50% were classified as lower middle class per the Kuppuswamy scale [6]. The sample's demographic breakdown reveals a diverse group, with a significant portion in the 25-35 age range, an equal urban-semi-urban split, a graduate majority holding degrees, notable proportions being self-employed and earning between Rs.20.001 Rs.100.000 monthly. The socio-economic status of 57.50% as lower middle class suggests a nuanced consumer base with preferences varied and purchasing behaviors [7].

3.1 Overall Perception of the Sample Consumers About Organized Food Retail Formats

More than half of respondents (62.50%) have a moderate perception of organized retail formats (Table 1). These consumers seem to have a somewhat positive outlook but might still have

some reservations or mixed feelings about shopping at organized food retail outlets. Only 20.83% of the respondents fell into the low category indicating that they have a relatively low perception of organized food retail formats. These consumers might not be organized retail formats favorably and may have reservations or concerns about shopping at such outlets. A total of 16.67% of the sample respondents fell under high perception category. indicating a high perception of the organized food formats. Interestingly, high perception might be due to the reasons that they offer a one-stop-shop experience to those consumers [8].

3.2 Overall Perception of the Sample Consumers About Unorganized Food Retail Formats

The Table 2 highlights that 73.33% of surveyed consumers hold a moderate perception of unorganized retail formats, indicating a balanced stance. Conversely, 12.50% express perception, suggesting negative opinions or experiences. On the positive side, 14.17% exhibit a high perception, showcasing a smaller but noteworthy segment with favorable views. The mean perception score is 44.29, with a standard deviation of 6.8, indicating variability in consumer opinions. The data underscores both substantial moderate perception (73.33%) and diverse opinions within the sample, influenced by individual preferences, exposure levels. regional differences, and cultural variations.

3.3 Preferred Retail Formats to Purchase Food and Groceries by the Sample Consumers

The information regarding the preference of retail formats by the sample consumers was collected under five categories viz., kirana stores, super online markets, cart wheel vendors, apps/websites and wholesale markets (Table 3). Thus, it was observed that most of the consumers preferred to buy food and groceries from kirana stores which is unorganized retail followed format supermarkets (organized retail format) of the Tirupati city. The reasons for kirana stores as "top choice" might be due to the fact that close proximity to people's home, credit services, flexibility and adaptability, community

connection and support, convenience and accessibility [9].

3.4 Perception of Consumers Shopping at Organized Retail Formats

The study aimed to understand consumer preferences for food and grocery shopping at organized retail formats. Eighteen statements were used to gauge these preferences, employing a three-point rating scale, 3 for Agree, 2 for Can't Say, 1 for Disagree [10]. Mean scores were calculated, and statements were weighted accordingly (Table 4). Thus it indicates that the top three reasons for favoring organized retail formats 'Wide range/variety products' (mean: 2.68, weightage: 322), 'Better product presentation and display' (mean: 2.60, weightage: 312), and 'Quality of the products' (mean: 2.58, weightage: These factors contribute to an enhanced Consumers overall experience. shopping appreciate convenience finding the of diverse products in one place, along with the appealing presentation and high quality of the

3.5 Perception of Consumers Who Were Shopping at Unorganized Retail Formats

The data on preferences for unorganized retail formats were gathered through 18 statements, utilizing a three-point rating scale. Mean scores and weightages (see Table 5) highlighted the top three reasons for favoring unorganized retail: 1) 'More bargaining opportunities' (mean: 2.43, weightage: 291), 2) 'Wider range of locally sourced products' (mean: 2.36, weightage: 283), and 3) 'Affordability of products' (mean: 2.29, weightage: 275). These factors emphasize the economic advantages of unorganized retail, with bargaining saving consumers money, a diverse local product range, and affordable pricing. In essence, the study revealed that consumers are drawn to unorganized retail for economic benefits. The ability to bargain, access a variety of locally sourced products, and the affordability factor emerged as key motivators. These elements contribute to a perception that unorganized retail offers economic advantages, allowing consumers to save money, access local products, and afford their desired items at lower prices or through services.

3.6 Relationship between Different Income Groups and Their Retail Format Choice

The research explored retail format choices across five income groups, and the chi-square test (Table 6) revealed a significant association between income levels and retail format preferences (χ 2= 19.42) at a 5 percent significance level [11]. Table 7 further illustrated that respondent with incomes below Rs. 10,000 (12.50%) and Rs. 10,001 to Rs. 20,000 (13.30%) were more inclined to prefer unorganized formats. In contrast, those with incomes ranging from Rs. 20,001 to Rs. 1,00,000 (28.30%) and above Rs. 1,00,000 (12.50%) were more likely to opt for organized formats. This indicates a clear hierarchy in retail format unorganized preferences. with formats favored by lower-income groups and organized formats preferred by higher-income individuals.

3.7 SWOT Analysis of the Organized Retail Formats

In the SWOT analysis of organized retail formats (Table 8), the key factors identified as strengths include the wide product range meeting diverse customer needs, emphasizing consumer preference for convenience and variety. Conversely, the primary weakness is the limited presence in rural areas, indicating potential inconvenience for rural consumers who must travel farther to access organized retail. An opportunity highlighted is the expansion of organized stores to tier-2 and tier-3 cities, signaling significant growth potential in these areas [12]. The main threat identified is intense competition from unorganized retail formats and online grocery platforms, with concerns about pricing competitiveness from unorganized retailers and the convenience and product variety offered bγ platforms.

Table 1. Overall perception of the sample consumers about organized food retail formats (n=120)

S.No.	Parameter	Frequency	Percentage
1	Low (< 37.48)	25	20.83
2	Moderate (37.48 to 51.10)	75	62.50
3	High (> 51.10)	20	16.67
Total		120	100

Mean = 44.29; Standard Deviation = 6.81

Table 2. Overall perception of the sample consumers about unorganized food retail formats (n=120)

S.No.	Parameter	Frequency	Percentage
1	Low (< 30.18)	15	12.50
2	Moderate (30.18 to 46.42)	88	73.33
3	High (> 46.42)	17	14.17
Total		120	100

Mean = 38.30; Standard Deviation = 8.12

Table 3. Preferred retail formats to purchase food and groceries by the sample consumers (n=120)

S.No.	Order of Preference	Garret Mean Score	Rank	
1	Kirana stores	60.63		
2	Supermarkets	54.95	II	
3	Cart-wheel vendors	49.70	III	
4	Online apps/website	41.84	IV	
5	Wholesale markets	40.87	V	

Table 4. Perception of consumers who were shopping at organized retail formats (n = 120)

S.No.	Perception statement	Agree	(3)	Can't	t say (2)	Disagree (1)		Total weightage	Mean	Rank
	•		S	n	S	n	S	_		
1	Wide range / variety of products	93	279	16	32	11	11	322	2.68	1
2	Better product presentation and display	81	243	30	60	9	9	312	2.60	II
3	Quality of the products	81	243	27	54	12	12	309	2.58	Ш
4	Hygienic ambience	82	246	23	46	15	15	307	2.56	IV
5	Freshness of the products	73	219	39	78	8	8	305	2.54	V
6	Good personalized shopping experience	75	225	33	66	12	12	303	2.53	VI
7	Flexibility of store timings	73	219	36	72	11	11	302	2.52	VII
8	Better product labeling and information	77	231	28	56	15	15	302	2.52	VIII
9	Affordability of products	70	210	41	82	9	9	301	2.51	IX
10	One-stop-shop experience	72	216	37	74	11	11	301	2.51	Χ
11	Discounts at the time of festivals	74	222	32	64	14	14	300	2.50	ΧI
12	Discount on MRP	78	234	23	46	19	19	299	2.49	XII
13	More convenient payment options	76	228	26	52	18	18	298	2.48	XIII
14	Better inventory management	67	201	34	68	19	19	288	2.40	XIV
15	Personalized service	64	192	36	72	20	20	284	2.37	XV
16	Wider range of locally sourced products	66	198	32	64	22	22	284	2.37	XVI
17	Cheaper price	48	144	41	82	31	31	257	2.14	XVII
18	More bargaining opportunities	46	138	29	58	45	45	241	2.01	XVIII

Table 5. Perception of consumers shopping at unorganized retail formats (n=120)

S.No.	Perception statements	Agre	e (3)	Can'	t say (2)	Disa	gree (1)	Total	Mean	Rank
	·	n	S	n	S	n	S	Weightage		
1	More bargaining opportunities	67	201	37	74	16	16	291	2.43	
2	Wider range of locally sourced products	61	183	41	82	18	18	283	2.36	II
3	Affordability of products	53	159	49	98	18	18	275	2.29	Ш
4	Freshness of the products	50	150	54	108	16	16	274	2.28	IV
5	Cheaper price	59	177	36	72	25	25	274	2.28	V
6	Wide range / variety of products	55	165	35	70	30	30	265	2.21	VI
7	Flexibility of store timings	49	147	43	86	28	28	261	2.18	VII
8	Better product labeling and information	44	132	46	92	30	30	254	2.12	VIII
9	More convenient payment options	43	129	47	94	30	30	253	2.11	IX
10	Better inventory management	45	135	40	80	35	35	250	2.08	Χ
11	Discounts at the time of festivals	45	135	38	76	37	37	248	2.07	ΧI
12	Quality of the products	37	111	52	104	31	31	246	2.05	XII
13	Discount on MRP	42	126	41	82	37	37	245	2.04	XIII
14	Better product presentation and display	34	102	54	108	32	32	242	2.02	XIV
15	Personalized service	37	111	47	94	36	36	241	2.01	XV
16	One-stop-shop experience	35	105	45	90	40	40	235	1.96	XVI
17	Hygienic ambience	33	99	44	88	43	43	230	1.92	XVII
18	Good personalized shopping experience	33	99	43	86	44	44	229	1.91	XVIII

Table 6. Relationship between different income groups and their retail format choice using Chi-Square test (n=120)

S.No.	Income group (Rs.)	Retail format choice Organized formats Observed values	Expected values	Unorganized format Observed values	s Expected values
1	Less than 10,000	1	4.13	15	11.87
2	10,001 to 20,000	1	4.39	16	12.61
3	20,001 to 1,00,000	11	11.63	34	33.38
4	1 lakh to 2 lakh	6	5.43	15	15.58
5	More than 2 lakhs	12	5.43	9	15.58
Grand T	otal	31	31	89	89.00

*("p" value < 0.05 – Significant); (χ2) = 19.42

Table 7. Relationship between different income groups and their retail format choice using frequencies and percentages (n=120)

S.No.	Income groups	Retail format choice					
	(Rs.)	Organized formats	Percentage (%)	Unorganized formats	Percentage (%)	Grand Total	Percentage (%)
1	Less than 10,000	1	0.80	15	12.50	16	13.30
2	10,000 to 20,000	1	0.80	16	13.30	17	14.20
3	20,000 to 1,00,000	11	9.20	34	28.30	45	37.50
4	1 lakh to 2 lakh	6	5.00	15	12.50	21	17.50
5	More than 2 lakhs	12	10.00	9	7.50	21	17.50
	Grand Total	31	25.80	89	74.20	120	100.0

Table 8. SWOT analysis of organised retail formats (n=120)

S.No.	Statement		Agree (3)		Can't say (2)		agree	Total Weightage	Mean	Rank
		n	S	n	S	n	S	_		
1	Organized stores have wide range of product assortment catering to diverse customer needs	97	291	16	32	7	7	330	2.75	I
2	Organized stores have intense competition from unorganized retail formats and online grocery platforms	84	252	30	60	6	6	318	2.65	II
3	Organized stores are expanding to tier-2 and tier-3 cities	82	246	31	62	7	7	315	2.63	Ш
4	Limited presence in rural areas	82	246	27	54	11	11	311	2.59	IV
5	Organized stores have strong supply chain and efficient logistics	75	225	38	76	7	7	308	2.57	V
6	Organized stores invest in innovative technologies such as automated checkout systems	74	222	37	74	9	9	305	2.54	VI
7	Organized stores have strategic partnerships with local farmers/ producers for direct sourcing of fresh produce	69	207	41	82	10	10	299	2.49	VII
8	Organized stores can have potential disruptions in the supply chain due to unforeseen events or natural disasters	70	210	39	78	11	11	299	2.49	VIII
9	Organized stores introduce company's own products to enhance profitability	69	207	34	68	17	17	292	2.43	IX
10	Organized stores sell fresh and high-quality food products	65	195	39	78	16	16	289	2.41	Χ
11	Organized stores can have out-of-stock situations	68	204	33	66	19	19	289	2.41	ΧI
12	Organized stores have higher pricing compared to unorganized retail formats	60	180	45	90	15	15	285	2.38	XII

Table 9. SWOT analysis of unorganised retail formats (n=120)

S.No.	Factor		Agree		Can't say		gree	Total Weightage	Mean	Rank
		n	S	n	S	n	S	_		
1	Unorganized retail stores build strong customer relationships	76	228	26	52	18	18	298	2.48	
2	Unorganized retail stores have limited product variety when compared to organized formats	70	210	38	76	12	12	298	2.48	II
3	Unorganized retail stores suffer from changing consumer preferences and evolving dietary trends	66	198	42	84	12	12	294	2.45	III
4	Unorganized retail stores have increasing competition from organized retail chains and e-commerce platforms	70	210	32	64	18	18	292	2.43	IV
5	Unorganized retail stores suffer from potential impact of government regulations	65	195	40	80	15	15	290	2.42	V
6	Unorganized retail stores have limited adoption of technology	69	207	31	62	20	20	289	2.41	VI
7	Unorganized retail stores have deep understanding of the local market	59	177	47	94	14	14	285	2.38	VII
8	Unorganized retail stores have collaboration with local producers and farmers	61	183	43	86	16	16	285	2.38	VIII
9	Unorganized retail stores have difficulty in adoption of technology	58	174	45	90	17	17	281	2.34	IX
10	Unorganized retail stores have higher profits due to lower operational cost	60	180	37	74	23	23	277	2.31	Χ
11	Unorganized retail stores have integration of technology for online ordering	48	144	42	84	30	30	258	2.15	ΧI

3.8 SWOT Analysis of Unorganized Retail Formats

In the SWOT analysis of unorganized retail formats (Table 9), the primary strength is their ability to build strong customer relationships due to their proximity and personalized service. However, a notable weakness is their limited product variety compared to organized formats, stemming from smaller spaces and potential difficulty for customers in finding desired products. An opportunity highlighted is the collaboration with local producers and farmers, allowing unorganized stores to offer fresh, local produce a competitive advantage over organized formats. The main threat identified is the challenge of adapting to changing consumer preferences and dietary trends, which can be a struggle for unorganized retail formats that may be slower to change, risking customer loss to organized retail alternatives [13].

4. CONCLUSION

The 120 respondents in Tirupati city exhibited a moderate perception of both organized and unorganized retail formats. Notably, individuals with higher incomes tended to prefer organized formats, possibly due to the comprehensive onestop shopping experience they offer. Key factors influencing retail choices included a variety of products, better presentation, and product quality for organized formats, while more bargaining opportunities, locally sourced products, and affordability were crucial for unorganized formats. Organized retail formats were observed to have strengths like a broad product range and expansion opportunities to tier-2 and tier-3 cities [14]. However, they faced weaknesses such as limited rural presence and competition from unorganized retail and online platforms. Unorganized formats boasted strengths like strong customer relationships and collaborations local producers but grappled limitations in product variety and the challenge of adapting to changing consumer preferences.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Atulkar S, Kesari B. A review of customer preference towards organized retail

- stores. IRC'S International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Social and Management Sciences. 2014;2(3):10-12.
- Pradeep KD. Store ambience 2. choice consumer of retail store Management format. Journal of Practice. 2019;4(1):2456in 1509.
- Rajesh KY, Verma M. Consumer preferences towards retail stores for food and grocery in evolving retail market. International Letters of Social Humanistic Sciences. 2015;(60):102-111.
- 4. Tazyn R. Organized retail industry in India

 Opportunities and challenges.
 International Journal of Research in
 Finance & Marketing. 2012;2(2):8294.
- Chawla J, Agrawal R, Sharma B. A comparative study of unorganized and organized retailing in India. Department of Management Studies, JC Bose University of Science & Technology, YMCA, Faridabad, Haryana. India. 2019; 6(3):18-20.
- Bhatt JD, Thaker NM. Food retailing in India: An overview. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2019;9(1):115-11.
- 7. Kumar P. Effect of customer's demographics on retail format choice and interaction: A study on retail sector in India. International Journal of Research-Granthaalayah. 2017;5(1): 316-331.
- 8. Chandrachud S, Nagarajan R.Emerging trends and changing pattern of Indian retail. International Journal of Economic Research. 2017;14(10):1-6.
- Hiremath S, Prashant C, Ansumalini P. Retail marketing strategies: A study on changing preferences of customers towards retail formats. Presidency Journal of Management Thought and Research. 2022;11(1):2229-5275.
- Gulati P, Jain N, Jain C. A study on consumer satisfaction in retail stores. South India Journal of Social Sciences. 2022;22(1):0972-8945.
- Vivek MC, Sahana S, Patil KKR. Consumer preferences for retail formats: An empirical assessment. Indian Journal of Extension Education. 2020;56(3):84-87.
- Somasekhar G. Key retail store attributes determining shoppers'

- perception and satisfaction: an empirical study of organised retail stores located in Tirupati, A.P. International Journal of Multi- disciplinary. 2018;59-60.
- 13. Rani SVF, Jayalakshmi S, Gururaj AD. Statistical analysis on consumer's perception towards consumption of green products with special reference to Chennai
- city. International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology. 2018; 9(11):333-340.
- 14. Amrita S. A study on consumer buying behaviour towards branded retail outlets in India. International Journal of Advanced Research and Development. 2016;1(6): 25-28.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/115501