



Closing the Wealth Gap: A Two-Faceted Approach Theory

Ivaylo Angelov ^{a*}

^a *Department of Finance, Spanish-American Institute, United States of America.*

Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analysed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JEMT/2023/v29i41087

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/96735>

Original Research Article

Received: 09/12/2022

Accepted: 14/02/2023

Published: 08/03/2023

ABSTRACT

This work provides a comprehensive overview of income inequality, including its background, relevant data, and different theories and perspectives on the issue. The focus is to shed light on this complex topic and provide a deeper understanding of the various arguments and evidence regarding income inequality. Theories range from classical economics viewing it as a necessary component of growth to more recent perspectives highlighting negative impacts such as slower growth, reduced mobility, and increased poverty. The work will examine these theories in detail and provide an in-depth analysis.

Keywords: *Wealth Gap; income inequality; mobility; economics.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Income inequality refers to the unequal distribution of wealth and income among individuals and households within a given population. This issue has been the subject of intense debate among economists,

policy-makers, and the general public, with various theories and perspectives on its causes, consequences, and potential solutions. This work aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the issue of income inequality, including its background, relevant data and statistics, and the different theories and perspectives on the topic.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: ivayloangelove@gmail.com

This work aims to shed light on this complex issue and provide a deeper understanding of the different perspectives and arguments that exist on income inequality.

Existing theories and perspectives on income inequality range from classical economic ideas, which view inequality as a necessary component of economic growth, to more recent theories that highlight the negative impacts of inequality on economic outcomes, such as slower economic growth, reduced social mobility, and increased poverty [1-4]. This work will examine these theories and perspectives in detail, and provide an in-depth analysis of the various arguments and evidence of income inequality.

2. BACKGROUND

For centuries, income inequality has been a topic of interest for economists and policymakers [5-8]. The concept of income inequality refers to the unequal distribution of wealth and income among individuals and households within a population. It has been the subject of intense debate, with various theories.

Historically, income inequality has been a topic of interest for economists and policymakers, with some classical economists, such as Adam Smith and David Ricardo, arguing that income inequality was necessary for economic growth and prosperity [6]. The classical economics perspective results from market forces and is essential for economic growth. Proponents of this theory argue that the wealth generated at the top of the income distribution trickles down to the lower classes, improving their standard of living and contributing to overall economic growth.

In recent decades, however, income inequality has become a pressing issue in many countries, with some countries experiencing a rise in income inequality since the 1980s [6,8]. For example, in the United States, the top 1% of income earners have seen their share of total income increase from around 10% in the 1970s to over 20% in recent years [6].

This increase in income inequality has led to growing concerns about its potential negative impacts on economic outcomes, including slower economic growth, reduced social mobility, and increased poverty [5]. These concerns have shifted how income inequality is viewed, with more recent perspectives highlighting the negative impacts of inequality on economic outcomes [6].

Relevant data and statistics on income inequality include measures such as the Gini coefficient, a widely used statistic that measures the inequality of a distribution, with a value of 0 indicating perfect equality and a value of 1 indicating perfect inequality. Other measures of income inequality include the Lorenz curve, the top 1% income share, and the Palma ratio [5].

These data and statistics provide a comprehensive overview of the income inequality issue and help us understand the extent of the problem.

In conclusion, the issue of income inequality is complex and has been the subject of much debate and discussion among economists, policymakers, and the general public. It is crucial to comprehensively understand the background, context, and theories surrounding income inequality to develop effective policies and solutions to address this pressing issue [8].

3. EXISTING THEORIES

Income inequality is the unequal distribution of wealth, income, or both among individuals or households. Theories that attempt to explain the causes and consequences of income inequality include Neoclassical economics, Marxist theory, Structuralist theory, Institutional theory, and Behavioral theory.

Neoclassical economics explains that income inequality arises from differences in labor, skills, and capital market value. It argues that the market will lead to a fair distribution of income as those who are more productive and efficient earn more, while those who are less effective make less. However, this theory is criticized for not considering the role of power, discrimination, and institutional factors.

The Marxist theory posits that income inequality results from the exploitation of the working class by the owners of the means of production. The capitalist system is seen as inherently unequal, with the rich getting richer at the expense of the poor. This theory asserts that the owners of capital extract surplus value from workers' labor, leading to a concentration of wealth.

The structuralist theory believes that income inequality is caused by the unequal distribution of power and resources within society. The distribution of income is shaped by the political, economic, and social structures of a given society, as well as cultural beliefs and values.

The institutional theory highlights the role of institutions and norms in shaping income distribution. Institutions such as the state, the market, and the family set the rules and standards that govern economic activity and significantly impact the distribution. Changes in institutional structures and norms can lead to income distribution changes.

Behavioral theory argues that income distribution is shaped by individual behavior and decision-making. Individuals make decisions about education, occupation, and investments based on their beliefs, values, and preferences, which affect their income. This theory emphasizes human agency and decision-making in shaping income distribution.

4. A TWO-FACETED APPROACH THEORY

My economic theory addresses economic inequality with a unique approach. The persistent wealth gap despite efforts by governments and various organizations can be attributed to the existence of two distinct groups of people. One group comprises well-educated individuals with steady jobs but limited career advancement opportunities, while the other comprises those with limited education and a lack of entrepreneurial drive.

This highlights the shortcomings of existing solutions to economic inequality.

To address this issue, we need targeted solutions for each group. For those with an educational background, we need to focus on fostering entrepreneurship skills and encouraging them to take on more responsibility in their careers or businesses. This is exemplified by successful immigrant entrepreneurs in the US who leveraged their education and entrepreneurial spirit.

For clear path toward entrepreneurship or employment can help them participate in the economy and add value.

In conclusion, solving economic inequality requires a practical and tailored approach for each group, rather than a one-size-fits-all solution.

Articulate and defend your perspective or theory on income inequality, including the evidence and reasoning that support your argument.

My theory argues that income inequality is a crucial incentive for economic growth and

innovation. Individuals and firms situated on the lower end of the income graph will be motivated to work hard and invest in their businesses to reap significant rewards and potentially grow in the income scale. This, in turn, drives innovation, productivity, and economic growth, leading to higher standards of living for all members of society.

My perspective on income inequality is that it provides a crucial incentive for economic growth and innovation. Numerous studies show a positive correlation between income inequality and economic development, with countries with higher income inequality having higher rates of entrepreneurship, innovation, and economic growth. The reasoning behind this perspective is that income inequality creates incentives for individuals and firms to take risks and invest in their businesses, leading to increased innovation and productivity, which drives economic growth and leads to higher standards of living for all members of society.

5. IMPLICATIONS

This perspective argues that efforts to reduce income inequality through progressive taxation and transfer programs may undermine economic growth by reducing incentives for work and investment. Instead, it suggests that policymakers should create an environment that promotes economic growth, such as reducing regulations and taxes, to ensure individuals and firms have the incentives and resources they need to innovate and succeed.

6. POTENTIAL CRITICISM

Critics' impact on society, including reduced social mobility and increased poverty, may contribute to economic instability. Additionally, some may argue that this perspective overlooks the distributional effects of economic growth and that it is important to consider how the benefits of economic growth are distributed across society, not just overall economic growth.

In conclusion, the Incentives and Economic Growth perspective highlight income inequality's positive impact on economic growth and innovation. However, it also recognizes this perspective's potential criticisms and limitations and acknowledges the importance of considering the distributional effects of economic growth and the impacts of income inequality in the broader society.

The evidence for this perspective comes from numerous studies that have found a positive

correlation between income inequality and economic growth. For example, countries with higher levels of income inequality tend to have higher levels of entrepreneurship and innovation, and higher rates of economic growth.

The reasoning behind this perspective is that income inequality incentivizes individuals and firms to take risks, invest in their businesses, and strive for success. This results in increased growth and leads to higher standards of living for all members of society.

From this perspective, efforts to reduce income inequality, such as progressive taxation and transfer by reducing incentives for work and investment. Instead, this perspective argues that policymakers should focus on creating an environment that promotes economic growth, such as reducing regulations and taxes, to ensure that individuals and firms have the incentives and resources they need to innovate and succeed.

In conclusion, the Incentives and Economic Growth perspective argue that income inequality is a major advantage for the economy, as it provides the incentives necessary for innovation, productivity, and economic growth. According to this perspective, efforts to reduce income inequality, rather than reducing income differences.

7. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

Analyze income inequality and the broader economy. Address potential criticisms or objections to your argument. The view that income inequality can drive economic growth and promote innovation and entrepreneurship is based on the idea that rewards and incentives encourage investment, risk-taking, and entrepreneurship. This perspective also supports the free market principles, where individuals and firms are free to pursue their interests.

However, this perspective has significant weaknesses, as it can result in a widening wealth gap, leading to poverty, unemployment, and crime. Additionally, income inequality can reduce social mobility, making it harder for individuals from lower-income backgrounds to improve their economic situation. Another criticism is that it may lead to market failures, such as monopolies, market power, and exploitation, which can negatively affect the broader economy.

In conclusion, while the perspective that income inequality can drive economic growth and

promote innovation and entrepreneurship has its strengths, it also has significant weaknesses that need to be considered. To address the negative impacts of income inequality, it may be necessary to implement policies that balance the free market principles with measures that promote greater equality and social mobility.

An alternative perspective to this view is that income inequality harms economic growth. This perspective argues that when income is concentrated in the hands of a few, it creates a lack of purchasing power among the majority of the population. This, in turn, reduces demand for goods and services, which can lead to slower economic growth. Moreover, income inequality can lead to reduced investment in education, health, and infrastructure, which can negatively impact the economy's long-term competitiveness and growth potential.

Additionally, income inequality can result in increased political instability and conflict, which can create an unfavorable environment for business and investment. For example, in extreme cases, wealth concentration can lead to social unrest and violent conflict, which can undermine the stability of countries and regions. Furthermore, income inequality can reduce social trust and cooperation, which can negatively affect economic growth by decreasing the level of trust in government and financial institutions, reducing the quality of public goods, and creating barriers to economic cooperation.

The perspective that income inequality harms economic growth highlights the importance of addressing this issue through policies and programs that promote greater equality and social mobility. This may include measures such as progressive taxation and investment in education and training enterprises. Income inequality is essential for promoting fairness and social justice supporting sustained economic growth and development.

8. CONCLUSION

Summarize the main points of your perspective or theory and its contributions to the existing knowledge and debates on income inequality. Provide final thoughts on the issue and the potential for future research.

In conclusion, the Incentives and Economic Growth perspective on income inequality argue that income inequality serves as a crucial incentive for economic growth and innovation,

leading to higher standards of living for all members of society. This perspective is based on evidence that shows a positive correlation between income inequality and economic growth, and the reasoning that income inequality provides the necessary incentives for work, investment, and innovation.

However, this perspective also acknowledges the potential negative consequences of income inequality, such as broader wealth gaps, reduced social mobility, and market failures. These trade-offs suggest the need for a nuanced and balanced approach to addressing the issue of income inequality.

In terms of contributions to existing knowledge and debates on income inequality, this perspective highlights the importance of considering the role of incentives in economic growth and the potential trade-offs of income inequality, and the need for policies that balance the promotion of economic growth with the reduction of income inequality.

In terms of future research, there is potential to explore further the relationship between income inequality and economic growth, as well as the possible consequences of income inequality for different segments of society. Additionally, there is potential to examine alternative approaches to addressing the issue of income inequality, such as policies aimed at promoting equality of opportunity, rather than equality of outcomes.

In conclusion, the Incentives and Economic Growth perspective provide valuable insights into the issue of income inequality and its potential consequences for the economy. It highlights the need for a nuanced and balanced approach to addressing this issue and the importance of considering the role of incentives in economic growth and innovation.

Moreover, income inequality, such as technological progress, globalization, and changes in the labor market, to design effective policies to mitigate its negative impacts.

Finally, an essentially complete, or comprehensive explanation of income inequality and its impacts. It should be combined with other

theories and perspectives to gain a more complete understanding of the issue. Further research and analysis are needed to understand better the complex interplay of factors contributing to income inequality and its consequences. Growth perspectives are a valuable contribution to the ongoing debates and discussions on income inequality and its implications for the economy.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Darity Jr W, Hamilton D, Paul M, Aja A, Price A, Moore A, Chiopris C. What we get wrong about closing the racial wealth gap. Samuel DuBois Cook Center on Social Equity and Insight Center for Community Economic Development. 2018;1(1):1-67.
2. Kuebler M. Closing the wealth gap: A review of racial and ethnic inequalities in homeownership. *Sociology Compass*. 2013;7(8):670-85.
3. Kasinger C, Braunheim L, Beutel M, Brähler E. Closing the "happiness gap" by closing the wealth gap: the role of wealth on life satisfaction between east and west-Germans. *Journal of Public Health*. 2022;1:1-0.
4. Williamson V. Closing the racial wealth gap requires heavy, progressive taxation of wealth. *Brookings Institution*, December. 2020;9.
5. Akerlof GA, Kranton RE. Economics and identity. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*. 2000;115(3):715-753.
6. Bowles S. *Microeconomics: Behavior, Institutions and Evolution*. Princeton University Press; 2004.
7. Karl Marx. (1867/1967). *Capital, Volume I: A Critical Analysis of Capitalist Production*. Moscow: Progress Publishers.
8. Sen A. *Poverty and famines: An essay on entitlement and deprivation*. Oxford University Press; 1981.

© 2023 Angelov; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/96735>