Annual Research & Review in Biology

37(4): 20-29, 2022; Article no.ARRB.85413 ISSN: 2347-565X, NLM ID: 101632869

Anopheles gambiae Larval Development and Toxicity's Reduction of Conventional Agriculture Insecticides in the Laboratory Conditions

Henri Gabriel Tsila ^{a,b*}, Alvine Larissa Meyabeme Elono ^c, Patrick Akono Ntonga ^d, Timoléon Tchuinkam ^{a,b} and Mpoame Mbida ^a

 ^a Department of Animal Biology, Faculty of Science, University of Dschang, Biology and Applied Ecology Unit Research, P.O. Box 67, Dschang, Cameroon.
^b Department of Animal Biology, Faculty of Science, Vector Borne Infectious Diseases Laboratory, University of Dschang, P.O. Box 67, Dschang, Cameroon.
^c Forestry Department, Faculty of Agronomy and Agricultural Science, University of Dschang, P.O. Box 22, Dschang, Cameroon.
^d Department of Animal Organisms, Biology and Physiology of Animal Organisms Laboratory, University of Douala, P.O Box 24 157, Douala, Cameroon.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author HGT designed the research and conducted the study and assays. Author ALME co-directed the research work as well as the statistical analysis. Authors PAN, TT and MM did the proofreading of the work. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/ARRB/2022/v37i430499

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/85413

Original Research Article

Received 04 February 2022 Accepted 08 April 2022 Published 21 April 2022

ABSTRACT

Background: Vector control of Malaria is mainly made by using impregnated bed nets and insecticides pulverizations indoor or/and outdoor. Besides, appearance and development of resistance's phenomenon among mosquito populations to insecticides, constitute a significant obstacle this fighting.

Aims: To highlighting a neutralization phenomenon of three insecticides (methyl-parathion, dimethoate and cypermethrin) during development of the *Anopheles gambiae* s.s. larvae.

Methodology: Two setups followed one after the other were designed. In setup 1, four concentrations (with four replicates each) were freshly prepared and independently received a first



^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: tsilahenrigabriel@gmail.com;

batch of 100 first instars *An. gambiae* s.s. After emergence of adults from this first batch, the same test media were simply filtered and received a second batch of first instars larvae to make setup 2. Three endpoints were measured in this study: the duration of larval phase, the larval mortality, and the size of adults.

Results: The development duration and mortality of larvae decreased significantly at setup 2 with cypermethrin and methyl-parathion. Thus, the duration of larval stage decreased from 10.18 days at setup 1 to 7.84 days at setup 2 for 0.010 μ g/l (highest concentration) with cypermethrin and from 10.20 days at setup 1 to 8.27 days at setup 2 for 0.144 μ g/l (highest concentration) with methyl-parathion. The larval mortality dropped from 79.32 % at setup 1 to 12.00 % at setup 2 for the highest concentration of cypermethrin and from 76.42 % at setup 1 to 12.50 % at setup 2 for the highest concentration of methyl-parathion. While adults size significantly increased in setup 2. For males, wing's length increased from 3.28 mm at setup 1 to 3.49 mm at setup 2 for the highest concentration of methyl-parathion. In female, wing's length increased from 3.52 mm at setup 1 to 3.68 mm at setup 2 for the highest concentration of cypermethrin, from 3.31 mm at setup 1 to 3.49 mm at setup 1 to 3.68 mm at setup 2 for the highest concentration of cypermethrin.

Conclusion: This work shows that mosquito larvae, especially *An. gambiae* are able to modify breeding medium to improve its fitness during their development.

Keywords: Anopheles gambiae; larvae; toxicity; tolerance; life's traits.

1. INTRODUCTION

Malaria control constitutes one of the priorities of the World Health Organization (WHO). In the current state of research, the lack of vaccine against this disease, makes vector control the only collective prevention method [1]. In this use of insecticides is the most regard, widespread approach. So, as a vector of malaria and of other dangerous diseases, mosquitoes are mainly controlled by long-lasting insecticidal nets and Indoor and outdoor residual spraying [2]. Besides, appearance and development of resistance's phenomenon among mosauito populations to insecticides, constitute а significant obstacle in vector control [3]. Indeed, The WHO Global plan for insecticide resistance management in malaria vectors (GPIRM) was launched in 2012 to provide a comprehensive addressing this insecticide approach to resistance phenomenon to malaria control and its elimination [4].

Mosquitoes reproduce in a wide variety of aquatic environments where larval stages develop. In agriculture, especially in marketgardening areas, water coming for example from watering, rains, drainage, and treatments of plants by insecticides, is collected in furrows and offers suitable breeding sites for mosquitoes [5]. Dilution of insecticides in these habitats, would put mosquito larvae in contact with active molecules of insecticides but would eliminate only susceptible individuals. Under effect of the selection pressure, resistant individuals develop adaptive mechanisms allowing to restore the balance of population [6]. Therefore, mosquitoes become increasingly resistant to insecticides as the same active molecules are used in both agricultural pest control and in public health. Many works in the world have reported the insecticide resistance among many species of malaria vectors [7,8,9,10 et 11]. In Cameroun, the work carried out by [12] in many cities, highlighted resistance of some populations of An. gambiae s.l. to DDT and pyrethroids. Sites concerned by this study were market-gardening in towns Mbalmayo and Yaounde, the agroindustrial area in Foumbot, and cotton zones in Garoua and Pitoa (Northern Cameroun). All these cultural activities use insecticides for crops protection. According to some reports [13] and [14], the risk of resistance appearance is a result of a combination of specific insecticides factors, insect's biology, and factors related to conditions of insecticides application. Thus, the origin of the resistance of anopheles' species that breed around or in agricultural areas, might be introduction of diluted insecticides in breeding sites via runoffs. This would be amplified by the misuse and/or overuse of insecticides in agricultural practices [15,16]. Variations in initial conditions of breeding sites are determinant in comprehension of adaptive mechanisms of mosquito bodies [6]. For an effective strategy of prevention of malaria transmission and management of insecticides, it is relevant to know all biological, biochemical and even ecological mechanisms involved in insecticide resistance appearance and its evolution [13].

Moreover, [17,18,12,19,20 and 21] highlighted an enzymatic activity which is responsible of the resistance of *An. gambiae* s.l. in several insecticide families. This leads us to the idea that the origin of mosquito tolerance to insecticides might have as one possible explanation previous exposure to sublethal concentrations of runoffs from agricultural areas.

The present work aims to investigating the phenomenon of neutralization of insecticide by the mosquito organism of *Anopheles gambiae*.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The work was conducted at the laboratory of the Biotechnology Centre of the University of Yaoundé I in Cameroon. Mosquito larvae used here came from a susceptible *An. gambiae* strain which was continuously bred in the laboratory for more than five years. Experimental conditions were: temperature between 26 and 30°C; relative humidity (RH) between 70 and 80%, and photoperiod L/D of 12/12.

2.1 Selection of Insecticides

The insecticides used during this study belong to three families: carbamates (methyl-parathion), organophosphate (dimethoate), and pyrethroids (cypermethrin). Their selection was based on their common exploitation in market-gardening agriculture in Cameroon. Data about these insecticides are given in Table 1.

2.2 Preparation of Test Concentrations

We did not use the dilution method of insecticides suggested by the manufacturer for mosquito control because, the situation simulated here was a consequence of runoffs from agriculture treatments. So, stock solutions of the above selected insecticides were prepared by diluting 1µl rough insecticide in 0.5 litre of spring water. From this initial stock solution, we prepared test concentrations as indicated in Table 2. These test concentrations were retained as a result of a screening test.

2.3 Test of Insecticides' Stability Under Experimental Conditions

The first test of this work concerning insecticide stability was very important because it permitted

to gauge the influence of environmental conditions in the efficiency of insecticides on larvae of *An. gambiae* s.s. during the experiment.

To realise the test of insecticides stability, we prepared four replicates of the highest concentration of each insecticide in buckets of 30 cm diameter, and we added only food in each. These buckets were exposed as such during 14 days. Then on the 15th day, we prepared again four new replicates per insecticide and both new prepared milieu (new treated buckets) and old ones (old treated buckets) received 100 first instar larvae each. We compared the 24-hours mortality of first instar mosquito larvae between new and old exposed buckets.

2.4 Reduction of Insecticides' Toxicity by An. gambiae s.s Larvae

2.4.1 Endpoints measured

Three endpoints were measured in this study: the duration of larval phase, the larval mortality, and the size of adults. These parameters are biological indicators of the harmonious development of mosquito larvae and are the first to be affected when environmental conditions deteriorate or improve [22,23].

The duration of the larval phase corresponds to the time of transformation of the 2/3 individuals into nymphs [24].

The larval mortality was given by comparing the number of first instar larvae introduced into the test medium and the number of pupae obtained.

Size of adult mosquitoes was measured on 60 individuals (30 males and 30 females) randomly picked up in each test medium. The method applied for the size measurements was that of [25], using length and width of wings. Length of wings corresponds to the distance separating its insertion point to body with fringe of silks of the distal end; whereas width was taken on median of wing. Before measurements, wings were removed from anopheline body by using two needles and a magnifying glass equipped with an ocular micrometer. Mean values from the 120 individuals (60 males and 60 females) were expressed in millimetre and for each test medium.

Families of	Commercial	Concentrations	Active molecules	Body diffusion
Insecticides	names			
Carbamates	Pencap	240g/l	Methyl-parathion	Contact
organophosphates	Callidim	400g/l	dimethoate	Contanct and systemic
Pyrethroids	Cypercal	50g/l	cypermethrin	systemic

	Table 1. Data a	about conventional	agriculture	insecticides	selected
--	-----------------	--------------------	-------------	--------------	----------

Table 2. Prepar	ation of 0.5 I te	est concentrations	of the three	selected	insecticides	used i	n the
-		experimenta	al design				

Insecticides (named by their active molecule)	Test concentration to be prepared	Volume of the stock solution used
	2,5x10 ⁻³ µg/l	50 µl
Cypermethrin	5x10 ⁻³ µg/l	100 µl
	7.5x10 ⁻³ µg/l	150 µl
	1x10 ⁻² μg/Ϊ	200 µl
	1.2x10 ² µg/l	300 ml
Dimethoate	$2 \times 10^2 \mu g/l$	500 ml
	2.8x10 ² µg/l	700 ml
	3.6x10 ² µg/l	900 ml
Methyl-parathion	8.4x10 ⁻² μg/l	350 µl
	1.08x10 ⁻¹ µg/l	450 µl
	1.2x10 ⁻¹ µg/l	500 µl
	1.44x10 ⁻¹ µg/l	600 µl

2.4.2 Experimental design

- Setup 1: four replicates of all test concentrations (Table 2) were prepared and 100 larvae of first instar *An. gambiae* (batch 1) were exposed in each of them till getting pupae. Dead larvae were daily removed from the breeding buckets and counted for assessing mortality. Besides, the duration of larval development and the size of adults were measured.
- Setup 2: after pupation of all larvae in Setup 1, all media were filtered (using a sieve with fine meshes of 0.1 mm in order to avoid the pollution due to organic matter overload) and received again another batch of also 100 first instar An. gambiae larvae (batch 2) in each replicate. Then, to assess the neutralization phenomenon of and the acquisition insecticides of tolerance by larvae, we compared the endpoints (duration of larval three development, larval mortality, and the size adults) between the same of test concentrations of setup 1 and setup 2.

2.5 Statistical Analysis

The ANOVA test was performed to compare means of larval development duration and size of wings. If there was any difference, we realized the Tukey test for multiple comparison. We used also the Kruskal-Wallis in order to compare larvae mortality. If there was any difference, we realized the Wilcoxon test for multiple comparison. We also performed the Chi-square test to compare the data of the control with those of the tests' media. The software SPSS (Windows version 12.0) was used to perform the above-mentioned statistical analyses.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Insecticides Stability Under the Experimental Conditions

The results showed that there was no significant difference (in larval mortality between old treated buckets and new treated ones (Table 3) for cypermethrin (p = 0.90), dimethoate (p = 0.89) and methyl-parathion (p = 0.90) after 24 h.

3.2 Reduction of Insecticides' Toxicity by Larvae of *Anopheles gambiae*

Comparison of the results of insecticides treatments showed similar observations for cypermethrin and methyl-parathion. Indeed, larvae of *An. gambiae* s.s were in general more susceptible to cypermethrin and methyl-parathion than to dimethoate (much higher concentrations used for dimethoate, but comparable effects with the two other insecticides; Tables 4, 5, and 6). The duration of larval development was

significantly higher in setup 1 than in setup 2 (p < 0.0001 for cypermethrin; p < 0.0001 for methylparathion, regardless of the concentration concerned (Table 4 for cypermethrin and Table 5 for methyl-parathion). The same trend was observed for larval mortality (Table 4 for cypermethrin: p < 0.0001; Table 5 for methylparathion: p < 0.0001). Besides, the size of the wings of the adults significantly increased in setup 2 in comparison to setup 1 in both cypermethrin (p < 0.0001 for males; p < 0.0001 for males; p < 0.0001 for females).

Furthermore, and in general, the duration of larval development and larval mortality increased with insecticide's significantly concentration within setup 1 (Table 4 for cypermethrin and Table 5 for methyl-parathion). In contrast, in setup 2 these two parameters no longer varied significantly with increased concentrations of insecticide increased. The wings size of individuals was significantly smaller in setup 1 than in setup 2 for all concentrations of the two insecticides: this observation was true for both males and females. Concerning the wings size of adults within setup 1, their length decreased when the concentration of insecticide increased (Table 4 for cypermethrin and Table 5 for methyl-parathion). However, in setup 2, no significant difference was observed for the length of wing size whatever the concentration for both males and females.

Tsila et al.; ARRB, 37(4): 20-29, 2022; Article no.ARRB.85413

About dimethoate, the duration of larval development did not significantly change between setups 1 and 2 (p = 0.24); but within setups, it significantly increased with the increase of concentrations (p < 0.0001; Table 6). Besides, the larval mortality significantly decreased from setup 1 to setup 2 (p < 0.0001; Table 6) while, an increase was observed with the increase of concentrations within setups (Table 6). So, in comparison with the two other insecticides (cypermethrin and methyl parathion), we observed in setup 2 a general concentrationdependent effect of the duration of larval development and larval mortality in dimethoate treatments (Table 6). The size of male and female adults did not vary in general (p = 0.41 for males, p = 0.39 for females: Table 6).

To conclude, the duration larval development and larval mortality were significantly higher in setup 1 (where freshly prepared test media received a first batch of An. gambiae s.s. larvae) than in setup 2 (where the same test media received a second batch of An. gambiae s.s. larvae after pupation of the first batch) for cypermethrin and methyl parathion. Still for these two insecticides, the size of adults of An. gambiae s.s. was significantly higher in setup 2 than in setup 1. Concerning dimethoate, larval mortality followed similar trends than observations made for cypermethrin and methyl parathion between setup 1 and setup 2.

Table 3. Comparison of the 24-hours larval mortality of An. gambiae between new and old
treated buckets with the highest concentrations of test insecticides; same letters in
superscript means no significant difference between treatments and different letters
expresses a significant difference with P<0.005

		Treatment type	Cypermethrine	Dimethoate	Methyl-parathion
Larval	Mortality	New treated buckets	76.12 ± 11.03 ^a	85.93 ± 1.73 ^b	62.56 ±8.76 ^c
(%)		old treated buckets	77.75 ± 7.5 ^a	86.50 ± 1.73 [⊳]	61.50 ± 6.65 [°]

Table 4. Variation of the duration of larval development, larval mortality and the length of the wings of adults between setups 1 and 2 for *An. gambiae* s.s. in cypermethrin treatments; same letters in superscript means no significant difference between treatments and different letters expresses a significant difference with P<0.005

Endpoints		Setups	Cypermethrin concentrations (µg/I)				
	Control	-	0,0025	0,005	0,0075	0,01	
Duration of	7.53 ± 0.12	1	9. 36 ± 0.09 ^a	9.77 ± 0.42 ^b	9.93 ± 0.30 ^b	10.18 ± 0.19 ^c	
larval		2	7.80 ±0.16 ^d	7.81 ± 0.16 ^d	7.79 ± 0.21 ^d	7.80 ± 0.26 ^d	
development							
(days)							
Larval mortality	2.50 ± 0.01	1	27.50 ± 5.01 ^e	36.28 ± 4.23 [†]	42.21 ± 2.88 ^g	79.32 ± 9.03 ^h	
(%)		2	13.20 ± 3.14 ′	13.41 ± 3.27 [']	13.90 ± 4.20 ′	14.09 ± 6.24 ′	
Male wing	$3,48 \pm 0,08$	1	3.26 ± 0,08 ^j	3.22 ± 0.10 ^k	3.21 ± 0.10 ^k	3.20 ± 0.07 ^k	
length (mm)		2	3.46 ± 0.06	3.46 ± 0.06	3.45 ± 0.07 ¹	3.44 ± 0.10	
Female wing	3,66 ± 0,08	1	3.55 ± 0.08 ^m	3.51 ± 0.14 ⁿ	3.49 ± 0.13 ⁿ	3.48 ± 0.10 ⁿ	
length (mm)		2	3.63 ± 0.07 ^p	3.61 ± 0.08 ^p	3.61 ± 0.09 ^p	3.60 ± 0.11 ^p	

Table 5. Variation of the duration of larval development, larval mortality and the length of wings of the adults between setups 1 and 2 for *An. gambiae* s.s. in methyl-parathion treatments; same letters in superscript means no significant difference between treatments and different letters expresses a significant difference with P<0.005

Endpoints		Setups	Methyl-parathion concentrations (µg/l)				
	Control		0,084	0,108	0,12	0,144	
Duration of larval	7.53 ± 0.12	1	9.06 ± 0.12^{a}	9.16 ± 0.06 ^a	9.40 ± 0.06^{b}	10.20 ± 0.05 [°]	
development (days)		2	8.18 ± 0.11 ^d	8.21 ± 2.84 ^d	8.20 ± 0.23 ^d	8.27 ± 0.22 ^d	
Larval mortality (%)	2.50 ± 0.01	1	24.50 ± 4.43 ^e	33.20 ± 2.14^{f}	38.21 ± 5.87 ^g	76.42 ± 11.03 ^h	
		2	$11.00 \pm 3.74^{+1}$	10.75 ± 4.78 ⁱ	12.97 ± 4.20 ⁱ	$13.5 \pm 6.24^{\circ}$	
Male wing length (mm)	$3,48 \pm 0,08$	1	3.28 ± 0.09^{10}	3.26 ± 0.09^{10}	3.22 ± 0.10 ^k	3.21 ± 0.09 ^k	
		2	3.48 ± 0.07	3.50 ± 0.09	3.48 ± 0.08	3.49 ± 0.11	
Female wing length (mm)	3,66 ± 0,08	1	3.59 ± 0.11 ^m	3.56 ± 0.14 ^m	3.50 ± 0.13 ⁿ	3.49 ± 0.10 ⁿ	
		2	3.63 ± 0.10 ^p	3.61 ± 0.07 ^p	3.62 ± 0.07 ^p	3.60 ± 0.06 ^p	

Table 6. Variation of the duration of larval development, the larval mortality and the length of wings of the adults between setups 1 and 2 for *An. gambiae* s.s. in diméthoate treatment; same letters in superscript means no significant difference between treatments and different letters expresses a significant difference with P<0.005

Endpoints		Setups	Dimethoate concentrations (µg/I)				
_	Control		120	200	280	360	
Duration of larval	7.53 ± 0.12	1	9.13 ± 0.36 ^a	9.53 ± 0.37^{b}	$9.75 \pm 0.15^{\circ}$	$10.08 \pm 0.11^{\circ}$	
development (days)		2	9.08 ± 0.22^{a}	9.49 ±0.19 ^b	9.71 ± 0.11 ^c	$10.03 \pm 0.10^{\circ}$	
Larval mortality (%)	2.50 ± 0.01	1	33.50 ± 3.69 ^g	$44,50 \pm 8.18^{h}$	$53.50 \pm 8.34^{\circ}$	56.25 ±1.70 ¹	
		2	32.75 ± 4.52^{g}	34.00 ± 3.56^{k}	39.25 ± 5.90^{k}	46.00 ± 5.71^{1}	
Male wing length (mm)	$3,48 \pm 0,08$	1	3.26 ± 0.11^{m}	$3,24 \pm 0.12^{m}$	$3,22 \pm 0.10^{m}$	$3,22 \pm 0.12^{m}$	
		2	3.28 ± 0.12^{m}	3.25 ± 0.10^{m}	3.23 ± 0.09^{m}	3.24 ± 0.09^{m}	
Female wing length	3,66 ± 0,08	1	$3.55 \pm 0.15^{\circ}$	3.54 ± 0.11^{r}	3.53 ± 0.15^{r}	3.54 ± 0.17^{r}	
(mm)		2	3.56 ± 0.15^{r}	3.52 ± 0.10^{r}	3.55 ± 0.14^{r}	3.55 ± 0.18^{r}	

4. DISCUSSION

In the experiment about insecticides stability, the comparison of the results between old treated buckets (that received food and insecticides during fifteen days before receiving mosquito larvae) and new treated ones (that received food and larvae immediately after their preparation) showed no significant difference between both treatments for the larval mortality. This result revealed that the efficiency of insecticides in this study was not degraded neither by food, nor by the other environmental conditions during the test period (at least for two weeks). This is very important because it permitted to correlate any change in the effectiveness of insecticides with the presence of An. gambiae larvae in the environment for further work.

In the experiment about reduction insecticides' toxicity, the duration of the larval development and the larval mortality decreased while the size of adults increased in setup 2 compared to setup 1. The results of the stability experiment revealed that environmental conditions did not affect the efficiency of the tested insecticides on An. gambiae s.s. larvae. Therefore, the less susceptibility of larvae observed in setup 2 might be explained by the ability of the first batch of larvae of An. gambiae of setup 1 to have neutralized a part of active molecules of insecticides in test media through metabolic interactions, as described by [26]. Indeed, many studies have shown a metabolic resistance of mosquitoes induced by xenobiotics such as insecticides. For An. gambiae particularly, it was shown that CYP6Z1 [27], CYP6M2, CYP6P3, CYP6P4, CYP6Z3, CYP9K1, GSTD1-6, GSTD1-4 [28] are able to metabolize DDT: while CYP6P3 [29,22], CYP6M2 [22], CYP6P4, CYP6Z3, CYP9K1, GSTD1-6, and GSTD1-4 [28] are involved in the metabolism of pyrethroids. authors [30,31,32] have Some even demonstrated that increased mosquito resistance to a specific insecticide, reflects a high activity of detoxification enzymes toward that insecticide. So, the metabolic capacity of insecticides by mosquitoes, as a consequence of a detoxification activity of enzymes like monooxygenases (families of CYP4, CYP6, CYP9 genes with cvtochrome P), glutathione-S-transferase (GSTs), and esterases [33,18,12,34,35,28,36], might justify the neutralization of insecticides by mosquito larvae of setup 1, leading to better performances of larvae in setup 2. Thus, insecticides like permethrin, cypermethrin or DDT

Tsila et al.; ARRB, 37(4): 20-29, 2022; Article no.ARRB.85413

can induce overexpression of the CYPs genes [37,38,39,40,41,19,22,42]. It is an example of intra-generational adaptive variation or phenotypical plasticity [6]. According to [43], the phenotype of an individual is optimal only for limited range of environmental conditions. In order to adapt to variations of their biotope, body able to develop strategies is to adjust their phenotype according to the new conditions.

Another result of the present study was the differential and pesticide-dependant responses of the duration of larval development and the size of adults to insecticides exposure. In fact, and only in cypermethrin and methyl-parathion treatments (on the contrary to dimethoate), a significant difference was observed for the two mentioned endpoints between setup 1 and setup 2. These two insecticides were also effective on larvae of An. gambiae s.s. at much lower concentrations than dimethoate. This means that the intensity of the enzymatic activity of detoxification would be proportional to the effectiveness of the insecticide.

5. CONCLUSION

This work shows that *An. gambiae* larvae are able to modify their living environment in the direction that is favourable to them. In the case of the present work, it is the presence of the insecticides that is the main disturbing element. Although we were not able to determine the concentrations of insecticides used before launching the setup 2, but we made an effort to find out the stability of these insecticides under the conditions and within the timeframe of our work. This is why we can affirm that the improvement of the life traits of *An. gambiae* observed in the second phase of our work is linked to the improvement of the larvae.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The datasets used during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully thank the members of VBID-LABEA (Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases Unit of the Laboratory of Biology and Applied Ecology).

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Mouchet J, Baudon J, Carnevale P. Means of fight and strategies. Paludism: (ED) Danis, M. & Mouchet J., Ellipse/UREF. Maketing/Ellipse (ED.) Paris. 1991;35-58.
- 2. W.H.O. Global report on insecticide resistance in Malaria vectors: 2010-2016; 2018.
- Guillet P, Chandre F, Mouchet J. The use of insecticides in public health: state and perspectives. Med. Badly. Inf. 1997; 27:552-557.
- W.H.O. Global plan for insecticide resistance management in malaria vectors. Geneva, Switzerland: Publication of the World Health Organization. 2012;13:132.
- Meyabeme Elono AL, Liess M, Duquesne S. Invertebrate communities improve the efficiency of the biological pesticide *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. *israelensis* (Bti) in mosquito control: A case study in Cameroon, Central Africa. Int J. Ecol Ecosolut. 2016;3(3):30-39.
- Issartel MJ. Adaptation of underground fauna to the low temperatures: ecological mechanisms and stakes. Thesis of Doctorate, University Claude Bernard. 2007;(Lyon 1):164.
- Ranson H, Abdallah H, Badolo A, Guelbeogo WM, Kerah-Hinzoumbé C, Yangalbé-Kalnoné E. Insecticide resistance in *Anopheles gambiae*: data from the first year of a multi-country study highlight the extent of the problem. Malar. J. 2009;8:299.
- Reimer L, Fondjo E, Patchoke S, Diallo B, Lee Y, Ndjemai HM, Atangana J, Traore SF, Lanzaro G, Cornel AJ. Relationship between kdr mutation and resistance to pyrethroid and DDT insecticides in natural populations of *Anopheles gambiae*. J. Med. Entomol. 2015;45(2):260–6.
- Yahouédo GA, Cornelie S, Djègbè I, Ahlonsou J, Aboubakar S, Soares C. Dynamics of pyrethroid resistance in malaria vectors in southern Benin following a large-scale implementation of vector control intervention. Parasit. Vect. 2016;9:385.
- 10. Zoh DD, Ahoua Alou LP, Toure M, Pennetier C, Camara S, Traore DF. et al.

The current insecticide resistance status of *Anopheles gambiae* (s.l.) (Culicidae) in rural and urban areas of Bouaké, Côte d'Ivoire. Parasit Vect. 2018;11:118.

- Safi NHZ, Ahmadi AÁ, Nahzat S, Warusavithana S, Safi N, Valadan R, 11. Shemshadian A, Sharif M, Enayati A, Hemingway J. Status of insecticide Biochemical resistance and its and molecular mechanisms in Anopheles (Diptera: Culicidae) stephensi from Afghanistan. Malar. J. 2019;18:249.
- Etang J, Manga L, Toto JC, Guillet P, Fondjo E, Chandre F. Spectrum of metabolic-based resistance to DDT and pyrethrinoids in *Anopheles gambiae* s.l. populations from Cameroon. J. Vect. Ecol. 2007b;32(1):123-133.
- Diabaté A, Baldet T, Chandre F, Guiguemdé RT, Brengues C, Guillet P, Hemingway J, Hougard JM. First carryforward of the kdr change in *Anopheles gambiae* M form from Burkina-Faso, West Africa. Parasit. 2002;44:157-158.
- Yadouleton A, Asidi A, Djouaka R, Braima J, Agossou C, Akogbeto MC. Development of vegetable farming: A cause of the emergence of insecticide resistance in populations of *Anopheles gambiae* in urban areas of Benin. Malar. J. 2009; 8:103.
- Nkya T, Poupardin R, Laporte F, Akhouayri I, Mosha F, Magesa S. Impact of agriculture on the selection of insecticide resistance in the malaria vector *Anopheles gambiae*: A multigenerational study in controlled conditions. Parasit. Vect. 2014;7:1–12.
- Feyereisen R. Insect cytochrome P450. In Comprehensive Molecular Insect Science, ed. LI Gilbert, K latrou, SS Gill, Amsterdam, Neth.: Elsevier BV. 2005;4:1– 77.
- 17. Chouaïbou M, Simard F, Chandre F, Pond J, Darriet F, Hougard JM. Efficacity of bifenthrin-impregnated bednets against *Anopheles funestus* and pyrethroid resistant *Anopheles gambiae* in North Cameroon. Badly J. 2006;5:77.
- Djouaka R, Bakare A, Bankole H, Doannio H, Coulibaly JO, Kossou H, Tamo M, Basene H, Akogbeto MC, Ranson H, Hemingway J, Strode C. Expression of the cytochrome P_{450s}, CYP6P3 and CYP6M2 are significantly elevated in multiple pyrethroid resistant populations of

Anopheles gambiae s.s. from Southern Benin and Nigeria. Biol. Medic. Cent. Gen. 2008;9:538.

- 19. Wondji CS, Irving HJ, Morgan NF, Lobo FH, Collins RH, Hunt M, Coetzee M, Hemingway J, Ranson H. Two duplicated P450 genes are associated with pyrethroid resistance in *Anopheles funestus*, has major malaria. Vect. Gen. Res. 2009; 19:452-459.
- 20. Edi CV, Djogbenou L, Jenkins AM, Regna K, Muskavitch MA, Poupardin R, et al. CYP6 P450 enzymes and ACE-1 duplication produce extreme and multiple insecticide resistance in the malaria mosquito *Anopheles gambiae*. PLoS Genet. 2014;10:e1004236.
- Stica C, Jeffries CL, Irish SR, Barry Y, Camara D, Yansane I, Kristan M, Walker T, Messenger LA. Characterizing the molecular and metabolic mechanism of insecticide resistance in *Anopheles gambiae* in Faranah, Guinea. Malar. J. 2019;18:44.
- 22. Tsila HG, Messi J, Foko Dadji GA. Adaptative responses of *Anopheles gambiae* in crowding larvae conditions in laboratory. Asi Journ. Biologic. Scien. 2011;4(3):259-265.
- 23. Tsila HG, Foko Dadji GA, Messi J, Tamesse JL, Wabo Pone J. Effect of the larval habitat depth on the fitness of the malaria-vector mosquito, *Anopheles gambiae* s. s. Journ. Parasitol. Vect. Biol. 2015;7(7):151-155.
- 24. Dempster JP. The analysis dated obtained by regular sampling of Animal insect population. J. of Anim. Ecol. 1961;30:429-432
- 25. Lyimo EO, Takken W, Koella JC. Effect of rearing temperature and larval density on larval survival, age at pupation and adult size of *Anopheles gambiae*. Ent. Exp. Ann. 1992;63:265-271.
- 26. Poupardin R. Interaction's genesenvironment at the mosquitos and their impact on resistance to insecticides. University of Grénoble. Thesis. 2011;275.
- Antonio-Nkondjio C, Sonhafouo-Chiana N, Ngadjeu CS, Doumbe-Belisse P, Talipouo A, Djamouko-Djonkam L, Kopya E, Bamou R, Awono-Ambene P, Wondji CS. Review of the evolution of insecticide resistance in main malaria vectors in Cameroon from 1990 to 2017. Parasit. Vect. 2017;10:472.
- 28. Müller P, Donnelley MJ, Ranson H. transcription profiling of has recently

colonised pyrethroid resistant *Anopheles gambiae* strain from Ghana. Biol. Medic. Cent. Gen. 2007;8:36.

- 29. Suwanchaichinda C, Brattsten LB. Effects of exposure to pesticides one carbaryl toxicity and cytochrome P450 activities in *Aedes albopictus* larvae (Diptera: Culicidae). Pest. Biochem. Physiol. 2001;70:63-73.
- Suwanchaichinda C, Brattsten LB. Induction of microsomal cytochrome P450s by car-leachate compounds, habitat components of *Aedes albopictus* mosquito larvae. Fil. Ins. Biochem. Physiol. 2002;49:71-79.
- 31. Boyer S, David JP, Rey D, Lemperiere G, Ravanel P. Response of *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) larvae to three xenobiotic exposures: Larval tolerance and detoxifying enzyme activities. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 2006;25:470-476.
- 32. Feyereisen R. Insect P ₄₅₀ enzyme. Ann. Rev. Ent. 1999;44:507-533.
- 33. Müller P, Chouaibou M, Pignatelli P, Etang J, Walker ED, Donnelly MJ, Simard F, Pyrethroid tolerance Ranson Н. is associated with elevated expression of antioxidants and agricultural practice in Anopheles arabiensis sampled from an area of cotton fields in Northern Cameroon. Mol. Ecol. 2008a;17:1145-1155.
- 34. Namountougou M, Diloma Soma D, Balboné M, Kaboré DA, Kientega M, Hien A, et al. Monitoring Insecticide Susceptibility in *Aedes Aegypti* Populations from the Two Biggest Cities, Ouagadougou and Bobo-Dioulasso, in Burkina Faso: Implication of Metabolic Resistance. Tropical Medicine and Infectious diseases. 2020;5;84.
- Chiu HT, Wen ZM, Rupasinghe SG, Schuler MA. Comparative molecular modeling of *Anopheles gambiae* CYP6Z1, has mosquito able P450 of metabolizing DDT. Proced. Nat. Acad. Sci., the USA. 2008;105:8855-8860.
- Ranasinghe C, Headlam M, Hobbs AA. Induction of the mRNA for CYP6B2, has pyrethroid inducible P450 cytochrome, in Helicoverpa will armigera (Hubner) by dietary monoterpenes. Fil. Ins. Biochem. Physiol. 1997;34:99-109.
- Brandt AM, Scharf J, Pedra HF, Holmes G, Dean A, Kreitman M, Pittendrigh BR. Differential expression and induction of two Drosophila cytochrome P450 genes near

Tsila et al.; ARRB, 37(4): 20-29, 2022; Article no.ARRB.85413

the Rst (2) DDT locus. Ins. Mol. Biol. 2002;11:337-341.

- Zhu YC, Snodgrass GL. Cytochrome P450 CYP6X1 DNA and mRNA expression levels in three strains of the tarnished seedling bug *Lygus lineolaris* (Heteroptera: Miridae) having different susceptibilities to pyrethroid insecticidal. Ins. Mol. Biol. 2003;12:39-49.
- 39. Nikou D, Ranson H, Hemingway J. An adult-specific CYP6 P450 gene is overexpressed in pyrethroids resistant strain of the Malaria vector, *An. gambiae*. Gen. 2003;318:91-102.
- 40. Willoughby L, Chung H, Lumb C, Robin C, Batterham P, Daborn PJ. With comparison of *Drosophila melanogaster* detoxification gene induction responses for six insecticides, caffeine and phenobarbital. Inse. Biochem. Mol. Biol. 2006;36:934-942.
- 41. Bamou R, Kopya E, Nkahe LD, Menze BD, Awono-Ambene P, Tchuinkam T, Njiokou Antonio-Nkondijo C. F, Wondji CS, prevalence Increased of insecticide resistance in Anopheles coluzzii populations in the city of Yaounde', Cameroon and influence on pyrethroidonly treated bed net efficacy. Parasit. 2021:28:8.
- 42. Vontas JG, Small GJ, Hemingway J. Gluthatione-S-transferase as antioxidant defense agents confer pyrethrinoid resistance in *Nilaparvarta lugens*. Biochem. J. 2001;357:65-72.
- 43. Ghalambor CK, McKay K, J, Carroll SP, Reznick DN. Non-adaptive Adaptive versus phenotypic plasticity and the potential for contemporary adaptation in new environments. Funct. Ecol. 2007;21:394-407.

© 2022 Tsila et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/85413