

Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology

Volume 23, Issue 5, Page 41-47, 2024; Article no.AJEE.115003 ISSN: 2456-690X

Bio-Efficacy of Newer Insecticides on Major Insect Pests of Rice (Oryza sativa L.)

R.S. Meena ^{a*}, Puneet Kumar ^a and Prerana S.B. ^a

^a Department of Entomology and Agricultural Zoology, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221005, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJEE/2024/v23i5546

Open Peer Review History: This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/115003

Original Research Article

Received: 24/01/2024 Accepted: 28/03/2024 Published: 29/03/2024

ABSTRACT

Present study was carried out at the Farm of Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi during 2022-23. In order to study the bio-efficacy of insecticides; field experiment was laid with six treatments *viz.*, chlorantraniliprole 0.53%G @ 29.81 g a.i/ha,chlorantraniliprole 0.53%G@ 40.69 g a.i/ha, chlorantraniliprole 0.53%G@ 40.69 g a.i/ha, chlorantraniliprole 0.4G@ 40 g a.i/ha and fipronil 0.3G @75 g a.i/ha including the untreated control. In case of stem borer and leaf folder chlorantraniliprole 0.53%GR @39.75g a.i/haprovided significantly better control followed by chlorantraniliprole 0.53% GR @ 49.69g a.i/ha, Fipronil 0.30% GR@ 75g a.i/hachlorantraniliprole 0.53%G @ 29.81 g a.i/ha and Chlorantraniliprole 0.40% GR@ 49.69g a.i/ha. It was concluded that the Bio-efficacy of insecticidal treatments against major pests of paddy showed that chlorantraniliprole 0.53%G 39.5g a.i/ha was first best insecticidal treatment against both Lepidopteran insect pests of paddy.

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: radheento@gmail.com;

Keywords: Chlorantraniliprole; sucking pests; fipronil; etc.

1. INTRODUCTION

Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is a monocotyledonous crop, which belongs to the family Poaceae and genus Oryza. Among different insect pests, yellow stem borer (YSB), Scirpophaga incertulas (Walker) is the most destructive and widely distributed monophagous pest in the Indian subcontinent [1]. It has assumed the number one pest status. These insect pests infest all plant parts and in all growth of paddy and few also transmit viral diseases [2]. The rice leaf folder Cnaphalocrocis medinalis (Guenee). was previously considered a minor pest but in the last two decades, it has gained major pest status. The use of high quantities of nitrogenous fertilizers and the use of insecticides without proper dosage lead some minor pets to develop major pest status. The larvae fold the leaves and scrape the green tissues of the leaves and cause scorching and leaf drying. The yield loss caused by leaf folder was reported to the extent of 5 to 25% [3]. These two pests are major pests among lepidopterans infesting rice. The yellow stem borer S. incertulas (Walker) has assumed the number one pest status and attacks the crop at all growth stages. Yellow stem borer is distributed all over India and is regarded as the most dominating and destructive pest causing about 25-70% reduction in the yield and it causes 27.34% losses annually [4] Despite various chemical control measures, varietal resistance in rice has provided a highly practical approach to controlling insect problems. Attempts to control these pests with indiscriminate use of chemical methods have given rise to many problems viz. resurgence. insecticidal resistance and destruction of natural enemies [5,6]. The use of chemicals to control this pest is beyond the capacity of marginal farmers. In turn, many insecticidal combinations were used to manage pest problems. Judicious use of insecticides and alternation of chemicals with different modes of action is suggested to reduce insecticide resistance [7]. So, the newer insecticides with diversified modes of action against these pests will significantly play a vital role in insect resistance management.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field trial was set up between 24° 56' N Latitude and 82° 14' E to 83° 24' E Longitude in the Varanasi region using a Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications and nine treatments, including an untreated control, during the period from 23 SMW to 48 SMW in the Kharif season of 2022.To evaluate the bio-efficacy of certain insecticides against insect pests in rice crops and following crop production practices were followed to raise and maintain the crop as per package of practices. The soil in the research plot is sandy clay loam with a neutral pH. The experimental plot is a medium fertile land with assured irrigation and drainage facilities. In the present experiment, pests were monitored at regular intervals and when the pest population reached ETL (Economic Threshold Level), insecticides were applied as per the scheduled dates.

All the insecticides were in granular form and were mixed with sand and applied by using hand gloves. To avid intermixing of the treatment, about 20 to 30cm false bund boundaries were prepared all around the plots. All insecticides were directed towards the bottom of the plant. To study the seasonal incidence and efficacy of insecticide, pest population was recorded in different phases i.e. before and after spray. In the case of yellow stem borer, at the vegetative stage number of dead hearts were counted whereas at the reproductive stage number of white ears were counted on 5 randomly selected hill in each treatment at 5th, 10th,15th day after spray. For counting the population of the rice leaf folder total number of affected leaves to that of the total number of leaves in each hill of individual treatment was recorded. The data for the leaf folder was collected on the 5th,10th,15th day after spray. Counts were taken on the number of dead hearts/white ears and the total number of tillers/panicles from 5 randomly selected hills. The damaged leaves and total leaves from 5 randomly selected hills were observed in each plot.

Percent Incidence of stem borer =

 $\frac{\textit{Number of dead hearts or white ears}}{\textit{total number of tiller or panicle}} X \ 100$

Per cent Incidence of leaf folder =

Number of damaged leaves total number of leaves X 100

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The field bio-efficacy of certain insecticides against major insect pests of rice during kharif 2022 was assessed. The crop received two sprays during the crop period according to ETL level of pest population. The pretreatment data was recorded one day prior to spraying and the post treatment data at 5TH, 10th, 15th day after each spray. The mean reduction in the population was calculated for analysis.

After the first spray, among all insecticidal treatments chlorantraniliprole 0.53%G @39.75 a.i/ha considerably reduced (4.56 dead hearts/5hills) the yellow stem borer population compared to the control (6.53 dead hearts/5hills). The most effective treatment was chlorantraniliprole 0.53%G @39.75g, which reported the lowest yellow stem borer population, ie.,5.1,4.6,4 dead hearts/5hills at 5, 10, 15 DAS respectively which was much better than all othertreatments followed by chlorantraniliprole 0.53%G @ 49.69 g a.i/ha i.e 5.3,5.01,4.3 dead hearts/5hills at 5, 10, 15 DAS respectively was found to be significantly superior to all other treatments followed bv fipronil 0.30%G 5.2,4.7,4.5 deadhearts/5hills at 5, 10, 15 DAS respectively. The least effective pesticide was chlorantraniliprole 0.40%GR @40g a.i/ha which showed mean 5.73 dead heart /5hills as compared to 6.53dead hearts/5hills in control. Similar result was obtained by Dhawan and Suri, [8] when they found that chlorantraniliprole@40g a.i/ha treated plot shown least population of stem borer.

Similar observations were also found in leaf folder affected paddy. Results of impact of insecticidal treatments against C. medinalis after first insecticidal application were, least population was observed in chlorantraniliprole 0.53%G @39.75g a.i/ha which showed 5.77% leaf damage as compared to control 8.87% leaf damage.it was found to be most effective treatment because it showed 5.8,5.56,5.97% damage at 5,10,15 DAS respectively, followed by chlorantraniliprole 0.53%G @ 49.69 g a.i/ha which showed 6.84,6.58,6.19% leaf damage and fipronil 0.30%GR showed 7.15, 6.94, 6.33% leaf damage at 5, 10, 15 DAS respectively. least effective among all was chlorantraniliprole 0.53%G @ 29.81 g a.i/ha which showed mean leaf damage of 7.10% damage as compared to control 8.87% leaf damage. These results are in agreement with Jaglan et al. [9] who confirmed the superiority of chlorantraniliprole 0.4%@40g over the other doses of chlorantraniliprole. They also found that chlorantraniliprole 0.4%GR was found below the limit of quantification ppm in rice seed grains, soil paddy straw and husk indicating its safety to humans.

In the second spray, among all insecticidal treatments chlorantraniliprole 0.53%G @ 39.75 g considerably reduced (3.93 dead a.i/ha hearts/5hills) the yellow stem borer population compared to the control (6.67 dead hearts/5hills). Effective treatment was chlorantraniliprole 0.53%G @39.75g, which reported the lowest vellow stem borer population, ie.,4.8,4,3dead hearts/5hills at 5, 10, 15 DAS respectively found the best among which was all othertreatments followed by chlorantraniliprole 0.53%G @ 49.69 g a.i/ha i.e, 5,4.5,4 deadhearts/5hills at 5, 10, 15 DAS respectively was found to be significantly.

superior to all other treatments. followed by fipronil 0.30% GR 5.2,4.7,4.2 deadhearts/5hillsat 5, 10, 15 DAS respectively. Chlorantraniliprole 0.40%GR@40 g a.i/ha was found least effective among all which showed mean 5.167 dead hearts / 5hills as compared to 6.6 dead hearts /hill in control.Impact of insecticidal treatments against C. medinalis after second insecticidal application were, least population was observed in chlorantraniliprole 0.53%G @ 39.75 g a.i/ha treated field which showed 3.70% mean leaf damage as compared to control 8.10%(mean) leaf damage. It was found to be most effective treatment because it showed 3.82,3.74,3.56% leaf damage at 5,10,15 DAS respectively, followed by chlorantraniliprole 0.53%G @ 49.69 g a.i/ha which showed 5.96,5.73,5.39%leaf fipronil 0.30%GR showed damage and 6.2,6.02,5.49% leaf damage at 5, 10, 15 DAS respectively. Least effective among all was chlorantraniliprole 0.40%G @40g a.i/ha which showed mean leaf damage of 7.5% damage as compared to control 8.1% leaf damage.

The efficacy of two sprays was compared using pooled data. The incidence of paddy yellow stem borer was reduced dramatically by all of the insecticides that were tested. It indicated that the average deadhearts before spray were in range of 5.3 to 6.5 deadhearts/plant. chlorantraniliprole 0.53%G @39.75g a.i/ha was found most significant treatment throughout the experimentation period i.e.,4.8,4,3 dead hearts/5hills at 5, 10, 15 DAS respectively after second spray. The next better treatments were chlorantraniliprole 0.53%G @ 49.69 g a.i/ha and fipronil 0.30%GR which were equally effective. Followed by chlorantraniliprole 0.53%G @ 29.81 Whereas a.i/ha the insecticide g chlorantraniliprole 0.40%G @ 39.75 g a.i/ha was comparatively least effective against paddy vellowstem borer.

Treatments	Dose (g a.i/ha)	Mean %WE/5hills one	Mean percent DH per 5 hills at different days after 1 st insecticidal spray				
		day before spray	5 DAS	10 DAS	15 DAS	Overall Mean	
Chlorantraniliprole 0.53% GR	29.81	6.3*	5.8	5.6	5.1	5.5	
		(14.54)**	(13.94)	(13.69)	(13.05)	(13.56)	
Chlorantraniliprole 0.53% GR	39.75	5.3	5.1	4.6	4	4.56	
		(13.31)	(13.05)	(12.38)	(11.54)	(12.33)	
Chlorantraniliprole 0.53% GR	49.69	5.4	5.3	5.01	4.3	4.87	
		(13.44)	(13.31)	(12.93)	(11.97)	(12.75)	
Fipronil 0.30% GR	75	5.5	5.2	4.7	4.5	4.80	
		(13.56)	(13.18)	(12.52)	(12.25)	(12.66)	
Chlorantraniliprole 0.40% GR	40	6.5	6.3	5.7	5.2	5.73	
		(14.77)	(14.54)	(13.81)	(13.18)	(13.85)	
Control	-	6.8	6.4	6.5	6.7	6.53	
		(15.12)	(14.65)	(14.77)	(15)	(14.81)	
SE (m) +/-		-	0.173	0.175	0.157	-	
C.D. at 5%		-	0.553	0.554	0.500	-	

Table 1. Effect of insecticidal treatments against S. incertulus after 1st spray

*Mean of 4 replications, ** Figures in paranthesis are angular transformed values, DH = Dead heart

Table 2. Effect of insecticidal treatments against S. incertulus after 2nd spray

Treatments	Dose (g a.i/ha)	Mean %DH/5hills one	Mean percent DH per 5 hills at different days after 2 nd insecticidal spray				
		day before spray	5 DAS	10 DAS	15 DAS	Overall Mean	
Chlorantraniliprole 0.53% GR	29.81	5.96*	5.4	4.9	4.5	4.933	
		(14.13)**	(13.34)	(12.79)	(12.25)	(12.83)	
Chlorantraniliprole 0.53% GR	39.75	5.23	4.8	4	3	3.933	
		(13.22)	(12.66)	(11.54)	(9.97)	(11.44)	
Chlorantraniliprole 0.53% GR	49.69	5.42	5	4.5	4	4.500	
		(13.46)	(12.92)	(12.25)	(11.54)	(12.25)	
Fipronil 0.30% GR	75	5.87	5.2	4.7	4.2	4.700	
		(14.02)	(13.18)	(12.52)	(11.83)	(12.52)	
Chlorantraniliprole 0.40% GR	40	6.23	5.8	5	4.7	5.167	
		(14.45)	(13.94)	(12.92)	(12.52)	(13.14)	
Control	-	5.9	6.5	6.8	6.7	6.667	
		(14.06)	(14.77)	(15.12)	(15.00)	(14.96)	
SE (m) +/-		-	0.148	0.156	0.159	-	
C.D. at 5%		-	0.431	0.499	0.507	-	

Treatments	Dose	Mean %DH/5hills one day	Mean percent DH per 5 hills at different days after 1 st insecticidal spray				
	(g a.i/ha)	before spray	5 DAS	10 DAS	15 DAS	Overall Mean	
Chlorantraniliprole 0.53% GR	29.81	7.8	7.6	6.9	6.8	7.10	
		(16.22)	(16)	(15.23)	(15.12)	(15.45)	
Chlorantraniliprole 0.53% GR	39.75	7.9	5.8	5.56	5.97	5.77	
		(16.32)	(13.94)	(13.64)	(14.14)	(13.90)	
Chlorantraniliprole 0.53% GR	49.69	8.1	6.84	6.58	6.19	6.53	
		(16.54)	(15.16)	(14.84)	(14.41)	(14.81)	
Fipronil 0.30% GR	75	8.6	7.15	6.94	6.33	6.80	
		(17.05)	(15.51)	(15.27)	(14.57)	(15.12)	
Chlorantraniliprole 0.40% GR	40	9.2	8.07	7.8	7.37	7.74	
		(17.66)	(16.50)	(16.22)	(15.75)	(16.15)	
Control	-	9.6	9.35	9.08	8.2	8.87	
		(18.05)	(17.80)	(17.54)	(16.64)	(17.33)	
SE (m) +/-	-	-	0.266	0.273	0.189	-	
C.D. at 5%	-	-	0.85	0.871	0.603	-	

Table 3. Effect of insecticidal treatments against *C. medinalis* after 1st spray

Table 4. Effect of insecticidal treatments against *C. medinalis* after 2nd spray

Treatments	Dose	Mean %leaf damage/5hills one	Mean percent DH per 5 hills at different days after 2 nd insecticidal spray			
	(g a.i/ha)	day before spray	5 DAS	10 DAS	15 DAS	Overall Mean
Chlorantraniliprole 0.53% GR	29.81	6.9	6.68	6.49	6.10	6.42
		(15.23)	(14.98)	(14.76)	(14.30)	
Chlorantraniliprole 0.53% GR	39.75	6.1	3.82	3.74	3.56	3.70
		(14.30)	(11.27)	(11.15)	(10.88)	
Chlorantraniliprole 0.53% GR	49.69s	6.5	5.96	5.73	5.39	5.6
		(14.77)	(14.13)	(13.85)	(13.42)	
Fipronil 0.30% GR	75	6.9	6.20	6.02	5.49	5.9
		(15.23)	(14.42)	(14.20)	(13.55)	
Chlorantraniliprole 0.40% GR	40	8.1	7.87	7.64	7.19	7.5
		(16.54)	(16.29)	(16.05)	(15.55)	
Control	-	8.6	8.58	8.34	7.60	8.1
		(17.05)	(17.03)	(16.79)	(16.00)	
SE (m) +/-	-	-	0.208	0.202	0.187	-
C.D. at 5%	-	-	0.664	0.644	0.597	-

Meena et al.; Asian J. Env. Ecol., vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 41-47, 2024; Article no.AJEE.115003



Fig. 1. Bioefficacy of overall mean field bioefficacy of insecticidal treatments on S.incertulas



Fig. 2. Bioefficacy of different insecticidal treatments on C. Medinalis

Similar pattern of results were also observed in case of paddy leaf folder incidence and percentage of damage significantly reduced in chlorantraniliprole 0.53%G @39.75g a.i/ha treated plots which showed 3.70% mean leaf damage after second spray. Chlorantraniliprole 0.53%G @ 49.69 g a.i/ha and fipronil

0.30%GR which were equally effective. Butchlorantraniliprole 0.40%G @40 g a.i/ha was least effective (7.5% leaf damage) in controlling leaf folder population among all insecticides. S Hurali et. al. [10] also found chlorantraniliprole 0.40%GR to check effectiveness against yellow stem borer and leaf folder but they found the mixture of chlorantraniliprole + fipronil GR @ 135.37 g a.i./ha. The infestation of both pests was effectively checked by the application of chlorantraniliprole + fipronil GR @ 135.37 g a.i./ha and proved to be the most effective treatment (1.21% dead heart/hill and 1.01% leaf folder/ hill).

4. CONCLUSION

It was concluded that the Bio-efficacy of insecticidal treatments against major pests of paddy showed that chlorantraniliprole 0.53%G 39.75g a.i/ha was first best insecticidal treatment against both Lepidopteran insect pests of paddy. It also suit well for leaf folder.Fipronil can be incorporated in integrated pest management practices as it showed persistent toxic effects and gave an effective control for all the major pests of paddy and also improved the yield. Alternatively, chlorantraniliprole 0.53%G 49.69g a.i/ha also be used both for effective management of chewing and sucking pests like stem borer and leaf folder.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Forssell S. The emerging international rice market-a case of diversification, consumer preferences and protection; 2008.
- Pathak MD, and Khan ZR. Insect pests of rice, Manila, Phillipines. International Rice Research Institute; 1994.

- Kulgagod SD, Hegade M, Nayak GV, Vastrad AS, Hugar PS, and Basavanagud K. Evaluation of insecticide and biorational against yellow stem borer and leaf folder in rice crop. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural science. 2011;24(2):244-246.
- 4. Pasulu IC, Krishnaiah NV, Kotti G, Varma NRG. IPM in rice mitr. 2002;45-55.
- Barad BD, Parmar KK, Thorat SS, Mohapatra AR. Bio-efficacy of some insecticides against hoppers in rice. Pesticide Research Journal. 2023;35(1): 74-9.
- Sah SB, Gupta RN, Kumar S, Mandal SK. Efficacy of certain insecticides and bio pesticides against rice stem borer in Bihar. Annals of Plant Protection Sciences. 2023; 31(2):57-60.
- 7. Mehrotra KN. Pesticide resistance in insects; Indian Scenario. In Pest Management and Pesticides. 1992;17-27.
- Suri KS. Bioefficacy of some insecticides against rice stemborers and leaffolder infesting rice in Punjab. Pesticide Research Journal. 2011; 23(2): 190-193.
- Jaglan MS, Chaudhary OP, Ahlawat S, & Yadav J. Bio-efficacy of chlorantraniliprole 0.4% GR against *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* and *Scirpophaga incertulas* in rice. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2023;93(2):157-162.
- 10. Hurali S, Prasad PR, Shiralli H, Gowdar SB, Mahanta shiva yogayya K, Masthanareddy BG. Evaluation of efficacy of new combi insecticides against lepidopteran pests of rice and its impact on natural enemies. Journal of Experimental Zoology India. 2023;26(1).

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/115003