

Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology

Volume 27, Issue 7, Page 471-479, 2024; Article no.JABB.118097 ISSN: 2394-1081

Population Dynamics of Spotted Stem Borer, *Chilo partellus* (Swinhoe) and Pink Stem Borer, *Sesamia inferens* (Walker) on Rabi Sorghum

S.K. Meena ^{a*} and V.K. Bhamare ^a

^a Department of Agricultural Entomology, College of Agriculture, Latur 413512, Maharashtra, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i71008

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/118097

Original Research Article

Received: 09/04/2024 Accepted: 13/06/2024 Published: 17/06/2024

ABSTRACT

During the rabi season, the peak populations of *Chilo partellus* and *Sesamia inferens* were observed under specific weather conditions: no rainfall, maximum temperatures of 32.8°C and 31.9°C, minimum temperatures of 16.7°C and 16.2°C, morning relative humidity of 75.83% and 81.47%, afternoon relative humidity of 43.5% and 48.1%, and wind speeds of 20 km/h and 19.7 km/h, respectively. Correlation analysis revealed that the larval population of *C. partellus* infesting *rabi* sorghum had a significantly positive correlation with morning relative humidity and a significantly negative correlation with wind speed. In contrast, the larval population of *S. inferens* showed a positive but non-significant correlation with rainfall, morning relative humidity, and afternoon relative humidity. By monitoring specific weather conditions, such as humidity and wind speed, and understanding their impact on pest populations, effective interventions can be designed to mitigate the damage caused by *C. partellus* and *S. inferens*. This knowledge is crucial for improving crop yields and ensuring sustainable agricultural practices.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: sharadkumarmeena01@gmail.com;

Cite as: Meena, S.K., and V.K. Bhamare. 2024. "Population Dynamics of Spotted Stem Borer, Chilo Partellus (Swinhoe) and Pink Stem Borer, Sesamia Inferens (Walker) on Rabi Sorghum". Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology 27 (7):471-79. https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i71008. Keywords: Population; significant; C. partellus; correlation; S. inferens; relative humidity.

1. INTRODUCTION

Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moen.), a crop from the grass family Poaceae, is a warm-climate species originally domesticated in Africa. It was first cultivated in regions of Ethiopia or Chad over 5,000 years ago and later spread to India and other countries [1]. Known as "the sugarcane of the desert" or "the camel among crops," sorghum is a C₄ plant capable of withstanding hot and drought-prone conditions, thriving under both rainfed and irrigated environments [2]. In India, sorohum is cultivated over an area of 4.09 million hectares, yielding 3.47 million tonnes with an average productivity of 849 kg per hectare [3]. Primarily grown under rainfed conditions. sorghum is cultivated during the kharif (rainy) and rabi (winter) seasons, predominantly in southern and central India [4-6]. Sorghum is susceptible to several insect pests, including the shoot fly (Atherigona soccata Rondani), stem borers (Chilo partellus Swinhoe and Sesamia Walker), inferens armyworms (Mythimna separata Walker and Spodoptera frugiperda J.E. Smith), aphids (Melanaphis sacchari Zehntner Rhopalosiphum maidis Fitch), and midae (Contarinia sorghicola Coquillett), head caterpillars (Helicoverpa armigera Hubner), hairy caterpillars (Orgyia sp., Olene mendosa Hubner, and Somena scintillans Walker), shoot bugs (Peregrinus maidis Ashmead), and the green (Nezara viridula Linnaeus) in stink bug Maharashtra. In sorghum fields, insect pests account for over 35% of crop losses, estimated at \$580 million annually in India [7]. Among the most severe pests are the shoot fly and stem borers (Chilo partellus Swinhoe and Sesamia inferens Walker), which pose significant threats in India [8].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment, consisting of forty-eight quadrats each measuring 2.70 x 3.00 m², was conducted to investigate the population dynamics of sorghum stem borers on *rabi* sorghum. The study took place at the Research Farm of the Department of Agricultural Entomology, College of Agriculture, Latur (MS) during the rabi season of 2020-2021. The popular sorghum variety ParbhaniMoti was sown with a 45 x 15 cm spacing across 48 quadrats, following the recommended agronomic practices outlined by VNMKV, Parbhani [9]. The experiment was conducted under pesticide-free conditions. Data on larval population fluctuations were recorded per quadrat. Larvae were collected from three quadrats separately twice durina each meteorological week, and the average number of larvae per guadrat was calculated by dividing the total number of larvae by three. Due to low larval counts, estimating the population per plant was impractical for statistical analysis. Statistical analysis of the data, including simple correlation and multiple regression, was performed using WASP 2.0 software developed by ICAR Research Complex, Goa, to examine the relationship between the larval population of stem borers weather and parameters.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Seasonal Occurrence of *Chilo partellus* (Swinhoe)

The first incidence of Chilo partellus on sorghum was recorded in the 52nd standard meteorological week (SMW) with an average of 2.00 larvae per quadrat. The population peaked at 5.66 larvae per guadrat during the 4th SMW. At this peak prevailing level. the population weather conditions were as follows: no rainfall, a maximum temperature of 32.8°C, a minimum temperature of 16.7°C, a morning relative humidity of 75.83%, an afternoon relative humidity of 43.5%, and a wind speed of 20 km/h (Table 1). The results of this investigation align with previous studies. Singh et al. [10] reported a maximum larval population of C. partellus on maize during the 31st SMW, with an average of 3.8 larvae per plant. Patel and Purohit [11] observed that the incidence of C. partellus on sorghum began in the fourth week of November (0.06 larvae per plant) and continued until the first week of February, peaking in the second and fourth weeks of December (0.15 larvae per plant). Divya et al. [12] found that the highest number of C. partellus larvae were recorded during the 40th SMW (30 larvae per 50 plants) in the kharif season and the 3rd SMW (19 larvae per 50 plants) in the rabi-summer season. Achiri et al. [13] noted two major peaks in the larval population of C. partellus on maize: one during the first growing season (March-June) and another at the beginning of the second season (June-September). growing Suresh Kumar Arivudainambi [14] reported and that the peak larval population of C. partellus on maize occurred in July during the kharif season, with a decline throughout the rabi season. Ram Kumar et al. [15] found that *C. partellus* on maize appeared in the 2nd week of August and peaked at 2.4 larvae per plant in the 38th SMW (3rd week of September, 2016).

3.2 Correlation between Weather Parameters and *C. partellus* Infestation

The results in respect of simple correlations between larval population of C. partellus infesting sorghum and weather parameters during rabiseason 2020-21 are presented in (Table 2). The data revealed that before noon relative humidity (r=0.533*) exhibited positively significant correlation with larval population of C. partellu sand wind speed(r=-0.549*) exhibited negatively significant correlation with larval population of C. partellus. However, maximum -0.185) temperature (r= and minimum temperature (r= -0.198) were negatively nonsignificant, while rainfall (r= 0.009) and afternoon relative humidity (r= 0.294) showed positive but non-significant correlation with larval population of C. partellus (Table.1).

The findings of the present investigation are consistent with those of Akshay Kumar et al. [10], who reported that maximum and minimum temperatures were negatively correlated with the dead heart percentage of Chilo partellus on maize, while relative humidity showed a positive correlation. Additionally, rainfall was positively correlated with dead heart percentage during 2015. Arshadet al. [16] noted that higher relative humidity caused marked fluctuations in the population dynamics of C. partellus on maize. Achiri et al. [13] found that temperature and humidity did not significantly affect the mean number of C. partellus larvae on maize during the first and second cropping seasons. Suresh Kumar and Arivudainambi [14] observed that the larval population of C. partellus was negatively correlated with maximum temperature and had an insignificant relationship with minimum temperature. They also found that increased relative humidity positively correlated with the larval population. Rainfall showed a significant positive correlation with larval population in Karimnagar, Medak, and Renga Reddy districts but was insignificant in Warangal district.

Ram Kumar et al. [15] reported a significant negative correlation between *C. partellus* larval

population on maize and maximum temperature and sunshine. Minimum temperature had a positive but non-significant effect, while relative humidity had a positive and highly significant effect on the stem borer population. Lekha et al. demonstrated significant negative [17] а correlation between C. partellus on sorghum and mean relative humidity (r= -0.94). Patel and Purohit [11] found that maximum, minimum, and average temperatures had a significant negative association with C. partellus on rabi sorghum, while humidity, rainfall, rainy days, sunshine hours, wind velocity, and evaporation showed no significant association. Dindor et al. [18] revealed that minimum temperature and wind velocity negatively impacted C. partellus infestation on maize, affecting damaged plants and the leaf injury scale. Zulfigar et al. that relative humidity [19] indicated and temperature significantly influenced the population of C. partellus on maize. Ahad et al. [20] found a positive correlation between the adult population of C. partellus and relative humidity.

3.3 Regression Studies of *C. partellus* infestation on *Rabi* Sorghum

Weather based multiple linear regression model was developed in respect of seasonal incidence of C. partellus(Y) as a dependent variable and weather parameters (B1 to B6) as independent variables and presented in (Table 2). The regression equation revealed that the various weather parameter shadpro found influence on seasonal incidence of C. partellu son sorghum. The coefficient of determination (R²) was 0.671 indicated different which that weather parameters contributed 67.1per centvariabilityin larvalpopulation of C. partellus.

3.4 Population Dynamics of Sesamia inferens (Walker)

The first incidence of *Sesamia inferens* on *rabi* sorghum was recorded in the 52nd standard meteorological week (SMW), with an average of 1.33 larvae per quadrat. The population reached its peak at 4.00 larvae per quadrat in the 3rd SMW. At this peak population level, the prevailing weather conditions were: no rainfall, a maximum temperature of 31.9°C, a minimum temperature of 16.2°C, a morning relative humidity of 81.47%, an afternoon relative humidity of 48.1%, and a wind speed of 19.7 km/h (Table 1).



Meena and Bhamare; J. Adv. Biol. Biotechnol., vol. 27, no. 7, pp. 471-479, 2024; Article no.JABB.118097

Fig. 1. Population dynamics of C. partellus and S. inferenson sorghum in relation to weather parameters during rabi season 2020-21

Month	Standard Meteorologial	Rainfall(mm)	Tem	peratue (°C)	R Hun	elative nidity(%)	Windspeed (Km perh)	Mean num Per c	ber of larvae Juadrat
	Weeks		Min.	Max.	Before	After	、 . ,	C.partellus	S.inferens
Nevember	40		17 5	20.4		noon	22.2	0	0
2020	40	-	17.5	29.4	79.4	64.5	22.3	0	0
December2020	49	-	13.1	31.3	67.4	39.5	21.6	0	0
	50	-	15.6	31.2	67.7	45.2	21.4	0	0
	51	-	12.2	29.5	74.4	42.4	20	0	0
	52	-	12.8	30.5	75.4	43.6	18.4	2.00	1.33
January2021	1	-	16.5	30.4	91.2	53.4	18.7	3.33	2.00
	2	1.00	16.86	31.9	82.53	51.5	19.9	4.00	2.66
	3	-	16.2	31.9	81.47	48.1	19.7	5.00	4.00
	4	-	16.7	32.8	75.83	43.5	20	5.66	2.00
	5	1.25	15.39	31.6	76.99	37.7	23.1	2.33	2.00
February2021	6	0.5	11.99	30.9	60.24	32.5	21.7	3.33	3.00
	7	-	15.44	32.9	65.86	36.3	24	2.00	0
	8	6.25	14.6	30.8	72.9	39.6	25.7	1.33	1.00
	9	-	18.49	36	48.81	24.8	26.1	0.33	2.00
March2021	10	-	23.4	37	42.48	25.8	26.1	0	0
	11	-	19.1	36.7	42.31	22.3	27.8	0	0
'r' valuesof <i>C.partellus</i>		0.009	-0.185	-0.198	0.533*	0.294	-0.549*		
'r' valuesof <i>S. inferens</i>		0.054	-0.107	-0.168	0.372	0.139	-0.401		

Table 1. Population dynamics of C. partellusand S. inferenson sorghum in relation to weather parameters during rabiseason 2020-21

Table 2. Multiple regression sofweather parameters with C.partellus and S. inferenson rabi sorghum

Multiple regressions of weather parameters with C.partelluson rabi sorghum							
Weather parameters	Reg.coeffic	SE	Ttest	T table (0.05)			
	ients	(b)					
	(b)						
Rainfall(mm)(B1)	0.221	0.317	0.698	2.262			
Maximum temperature(°C)(B2)	1.315	0.707	1.860	2.262			
Minimum temperature(°C)(B3)	-0.375	0.408	-0.919	2.262			
Before noon relative humidity(%)(B4)	0.127	0.083	1.529	2.262			
Afternoon relative humidity(%)(B5)	0.029	0.133	0.218	2.262			
Windspeed (kmper h) (B6)	-0.400	0.331	-1.210	2.262			
Intercept(a) =-35.694							
Coefficient of determination (RSquare	e) =0.671						
Multiple Correlation Coefficient (R) =0.81	9StandardError =	1.440					
Theregressionequationworked outisasfol	low.						
Y= -35.694 + (0.221) x B1 + (1.315) x B2	2 + (-0.375) x B3 +	· (0.127) :	x B4 + (0.0	029) xB5 +(-0.400) x			
D0 +1.440							
Multiple regressions of weather paran	neters with S.infe	renson r	<i>abi</i> sorgh	um			
Multiple regressions of weather paran Weather parameters	neters with <i>S.infe</i> Reg.coeffic	<u>rens</u> on <u>r</u> SE	<i>abi</i> sorgh T test	um T table(0.05)			
Multiple regressions of weather paran Weather parameters	neters with <i>S.infe</i> Reg.coeffic ients(b)	renson r SE (b)	<i>abi</i> sorgh T test	um T table(0.05)			
Multiple regressions of weather paran Weather parameters Rainfall(mm)(B1)	neters with S.infe Reg.coeffic ients(b) 0.141	renson r SE (b) 0.281	abi sorgh T test	T table(0.05)			
Multiple regressions of weather paran Weather parameters Rainfall(mm)(B1) Maximumtemperature(°C)(B2)	neters with S.infer Reg.coeffic ients(b) 0.141 0.593	renson r SE (b) 0.281 0.627	abi sorgh T test 0.500 0.946	T table(0.05) 2.262 2.262			
Multiple regressions of weather paran Weather parameters Rainfall(mm)(B1) Maximumtemperature(°C)(B2) Minimumtemperature(°C)(B3)	neters with S.infer Reg.coeffic ients(b) 0.141 0.593 -0.137	renson r SE (b) 0.281 0.627 0.362	abi sorgh T test 0.500 0.946 -0.378	T table(0.05) 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262			
Multiple regressions of weather paran Weather parameters Rainfall(mm)(B1) Maximumtemperature(°C)(B2) Minimumtemperature(°C)(B3) Beforenoonrelative humidity(%)(B4)	neters with S.infer Reg.coeffic ients(b) 0.141 0.593 -0.137 0.075	renson r SE (b) 0.281 0.627 0.362 0.073	abi sorgh T test 0.500 0.946 -0.378 1.019	T table(0.05) 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262			
Multiple regressions of weather paran Weather parameters Rainfall(mm)(B1) Maximumtemperature(°C)(B2) Minimumtemperature(°C)(B3) Beforenoonrelative humidity(%)(B4) Afternoonrelativehumidity(%)(B5)	neters with S.infer Reg.coeffic ients(b) 0.141 0.593 -0.137 0.075 -0.024	renson r SE (b) 0.281 0.627 0.362 0.073 0.118	abi sorgh T test 0.500 0.946 -0.378 1.019 -0.206	T table(0.05) 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262			
Multiple regressions of weather paran Weather parameters Rainfall(mm)(B1) Maximumtemperature(°C)(B2) Minimumtemperature(°C)(B3) Beforenoonrelative humidity(%)(B4) Afternoonrelativehumidity(%)(B5) Windspeed (kmper h) (B6)	neters with S.infer Reg.coeffic ients(b) 0.141 0.593 -0.137 0.075 -0.024 -0.252	renson r SE (b) 0.281 0.627 0.362 0.073 0.118 0.293	abi sorgh T test 0.500 0.946 -0.378 1.019 -0.206 -0.859	T table(0.05) 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262			
Multiple regressions of weather paran Weather parameters Rainfall(mm)(B1) Maximumtemperature(°C)(B2) Minimumtemperature(°C)(B3) Beforenoonrelative humidity(%)(B4) Afternoonrelativehumidity(%)(B5) Windspeed (kmper h) (B6) Intercept(a) =-14.330	neters with S.infer Reg.coeffic ients(b) 0.141 0.593 -0.137 0.075 -0.024 -0.252	renson r SE (b) 0.281 0.627 0.362 0.073 0.118 0.293	abi sorgh T test 0.500 0.946 -0.378 1.019 -0.206 -0.859	T table(0.05) 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262			
Multiple regressions of weather paran Weather parameters Rainfall(mm)(B1) Maximumtemperature(°C)(B2) Minimumtemperature(°C)(B3) Beforenoonrelative humidity(%)(B4) Afternoonrelativehumidity(%)(B5) Windspeed (kmper h) (B6) Intercept(a) =-14.330 Coefficient of determination (RSquare	neters with S.infer Reg.coeffic ients(b) 0.141 0.593 -0.137 0.075 -0.024 -0.252 e) =0.433	renson r SE (b) 0.281 0.627 0.362 0.073 0.118 0.293	abi sorgh T test 0.500 0.946 -0.378 1.019 -0.206 -0.859	T table(0.05) 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262			
Multiple regressions of weather paran Weather parameters Rainfall(mm)(B1) Maximumtemperature(°C)(B2) Minimumtemperature(°C)(B3) Beforenoonrelative humidity(%)(B4) Afternoonrelativehumidity(%)(B5) Windspeed (kmper h) (B6) Intercept(a) =-14.330 Coefficient of determination (RSquare Multiple Correlation Coefficient (R) =0.65	neters with S.infer Reg.coeffic ients(b) 0.141 0.593 -0.137 0.075 -0.024 -0.252 e) =0.433 c8StandardError =	renson r SE (b) 0.281 0.627 0.362 0.073 0.118 0.293	abi sorgh T test 0.500 0.946 -0.378 1.019 -0.206 -0.859	T table(0.05) 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262			
Bo + 1.440 Multiple regressions of weather param Weather parameters Rainfall(mm)(B1) Maximumtemperature(°C)(B2) Minimumtemperature(°C)(B3) Beforenoonrelative humidity(%)(B4) Afternoonrelativehumidity(%)(B5) Windspeed (kmper h) (B6) Intercept(a) =-14.330 Coefficient of determination (RSquare Multiple Correlation Coefficient (R) =0.65 The regression equation worked outisas	neters with S.infer Reg.coeffic ients(b) 0.141 0.593 -0.137 0.075 -0.024 -0.252 e) =0.433 58StandardError = follow.	renson r SE (b) 0.281 0.627 0.362 0.073 0.118 0.293	abi sorgh T test 0.500 0.946 -0.378 1.019 -0.206 -0.859	T table(0.05) 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262			
Bo + 1.440 Multiple regressions of weather param Weather parameters Rainfall(mm)(B1) Maximumtemperature(°C)(B2) Minimumtemperature(°C)(B3) Beforenoonrelative humidity(%)(B4) Afternoonrelativehumidity(%)(B5) Windspeed (kmper h) (B6) Intercept(a) =-14.330 Coefficient of determination (RSquare Multiple Correlation Coefficient (R) =0.65 The regression equation worked outisas Y=-14.330 + (0.141) x B1 + (0.593) x B2	neters with S.infer Reg.coeffic ients(b) 0.141 0.593 -0.137 0.075 -0.024 -0.252 e) =0.433 i8StandardError = follow. + (-0.137) x B3 +	renson r SE (b) 0.281 0.627 0.362 0.073 0.118 0.293 1.277 (0.075) x	abi sorgh T test 0.500 0.946 -0.378 1.019 -0.206 -0.859	T table(0.05) 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 2.262 024) xB5 +(-0.254) x			

The findings of the present investigation align with those of Suresh Kumar and Arivudainambi [14], who reported that the larval population of Sesamiainferens on maize was low during the kharif season and peaked in January during the rabi season, with larval populations ranging from 0.80-4.12, 0.60-4.20, and 0.40-4.20 larvae per plant in Karimnagar, Medak, and Warangal, respectively. Sharma et al. [21] observed that the larval population of S. inferens on maize increased after the 45th SMW, reached its maximum during the 49th SMW, and then declined until the 7th SMW. Reuolin and Soundararaian [22] recorded the larval population of S. inferens on rice during the 11th SMW (first fortnight of March). Umesh Kumar et al. [23] noted peak larval populations of S. inferens on maize (6.17 and 6.93 larvae per plant) in the third week of August (34th SMW) during the kharif seasons of 2016 and 2017, respectively. Sanjay Kumar et al. [24] found that the peak infestation period of S. inferens on maize was observed at 70 days after sowing (DAS), with an average of 22.38 pinholes per plant. Deole et al. [25] reported that the larval population on maize peaked at 13.81 and 18.56 larvae per plant in the 12th and 11th SMW during the spring seasons of 2013-14 and 2014-15, respectively. Deole [26] observed maximum activity of *S. inferens* larvae and adults during the second and third weeks of March (11th and 12th SMW). Singh and Kular [27] revealed that the maximum incidence of *S. inferens* in a rice-wheat cropping system occurred in September-October (2.76-4.17 per cent), with smaller peaks observed in December and February.

3.5 Correlation between Weather Parameters and *S. inferens* Infestation

The results in respect of simple correlations between larval population of *S. inferens* infesting sorghum and weather parameters during

rabiseason 2020-21 are presented in Table. 1. The data evidenced that the rainfall (r = 0.054). before noon relativehumidity (r= 0.372) and afternoon relative humidity (r= 0.139) exhibited positive butnon-significant correlation with larval population of S. inferens. While. maximumtemperature (r= -0.107), minimum temperature (r= -0.168) and wind speed (r= -0.401)showed negative non-significant correlation with S.inferens larval population.

3.6 Regression Studies of *S. inferens* Infestation on Rabi Sorghum

Weather based multiple line regression model was developed in respect of seasonal incidence of *S. inferens*(Y) as a dependent variable and weather parameters(B1to B6)as independent variables and presented in Table 2. The regression equation revealed that the various weather parameter shad profound influence on seasonal incidence of *S. inferenson* sorghum. The coefficient of determination (R²) was 0.433 which indicated that different weather parameters contributed 43.3 percent variability inlarval population of *S. inferens*.

4. CONCLUSION

The study investigated the relationship between weather conditions and the populations of Chilopartellus and Sesamiainferens on rabi sorghum. C. partellus was significantly affected by morning humidity (positively) and wind speed (negatively). S. inferens showed a positive but non-significant correlation with rainfall and humidity. Understanding these correlations helps predict pest outbreaks and plan effective interventions. improvina crop vields and promoting sustainable agriculture. This information is valuable for farmers, agricultural services, researchers, and policymakers in developing precise pest management strategies.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as ChatGPT have been only used for writing (only manuscript language) and no any artificial data produced during manuscript preparation by using AI tool explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology.

Details of the AI usage are given below:

1. ChatGPT based on the GPT-4 architecture.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Rosentrater Kurt A, Evers AD. Introduction to cereals and pseudocereals andtheir production. In: Kurt A Rosentrater, AD Evers (eds), Wood head Publishing Series in Food Science, Technology and Nutrition, Kent's Technology of Cereals (Fifth Edition), Wood head Publishing. 2018;1-76. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08D.
- SrinivasaRao P, Umakanth AV, Belum Reddy VS, Dweikat I, Bhargava S, Kumar CG, Braconnier S,Patil JV. Sweet sorghum: genetics, breeding and commercialization. In: BP Singh (Ed) Biofuel Crops: Production, Physiology and Genetics. 2013;172-198.
- FAOSTAT; 2020. Available:http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#da ta/QC.
- Emeasor KC, Dioka UJ. Control of maize stem borer (*Busseolafusca* F.) Infestation Using Extracts of Carica papaya and Cymbopogoncitratus and Furadan. Journal of Experimental Agriculture International. 2019;29(5):1–9.

Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/JEAI/201 9/45920

 Hussain M, Ali MY, Umer M, Ejaz N, Bilal M, Salim MA, Noushahi HA, Atta B,Rizwan M. Study of paddy stem borers population dynamics and influencing environmental factors through light trap. Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science. 2019;2(4):1– 10.

> Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/ajrcs/201 8/v2i430035

- Amit Kumar AK, Misra AK, Paidi 6. Satyanarayana PS, Jitendra Kumar JK. Population dynamics and management of yellow stem borer (Scirpophaga Walker) incertulas with insect sexpheromone trap.
- Reddy KVS, Zehr UB. Novel strategies for overcoming pests and diseases in India (Symposia papers 3.7). In: T. Fischer, N. Turne, and J. Angus, et al. (eds.), New Directions for a Diverse Planet:

proceedings of the 4th International Crop Science Congress. Gosford, NSW, Australia. The Regional Institute Ltd. 2004; 1-8.

- Meti P, Sreenivas AG, Prakash K, Jat ML, Venkateshalu Prabhuraj A, Manjunath N, Singh YK. Population dynamics of shoot fly and stem borer of maize under conservation agriculture system. Journal of Experimental Zoology. 2014;17(2):563-566.
- 9. Anonymous. Cultivation practices of crops. Krishi Dainandini, VNMKV, Parbhani. 2018;103-120.
- 10. Akshay Kumar, Singh RS, Kripa Shankar, Vikrant Devendra Singh. Population dynamics of major insect-pest and natural enemies on maize crop. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science. 2020;9(2):1299-1307.
- 11. Patel DR, Purohit MS. Effect of different weather parameters on population of stem borer, *Chilo partellus* Swinhoe infesting rabi sorghum. International Journal of Economic Plants. 2016;3(1):001-003.
- Divya K, Marulasiddesha KN, Krupanidhi K, Sankar M. Population dynamics of spotted stem borer, *Chilo partellus* (Swinhoe) and its interaction with natural enemies in sorghum. Indian Journal of Science and Technology. 2009;3(1):70-74.
- Achiri AD, Atakan E, Pehlivan S. Seasonal fluctuations and development ofdegreeday models for *Chilo partellus* (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) in maizefields in the Mediterranean region. European Journal of Entomology. 2020;117:68-75.
- 14. Suresh Kumar RS, Arivudainambi S. Population dynamics of insect pest on popular maize hybrids. Life Science Archives. 2018;4(4):1417-1422.
- 15. Ram Kumar, Tanweer Alam, Mukherjee Udayan. Studies on seasonal incidence of *Chilo partellus* (Swinhoe) on maize with relation to abiotic factors. Journal of Experimental Zoology. 2017;20(2):1075-1078.
- Arshad M, Rasib KZ, Ali G, Amanat T, Munir A, Riaz S. Effect of weather parameters on pest dynamics of maize in summer at district Bahawalnagar (Pakistan). Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Sciences. 2021;14(1):195-203.
- 17. Lekha Swami H, Sapna, Jat SK. Seasonal incidence of insect pests in sorghum.

Trends in Biosciences. 2017;10(48):9649-9651.

- Dindor MU, Bharpoda TM, Patel BH, Chaudhary RI, Patel RJ. Population dynamics and influence of weather factors on stem Borer, *Chilo partellus* (Swinhoe) infesting maize. Ecology, Environment and Conservation. 2020;22(4):1983-1986.
- Zulfikar MA, Sabari MA, Raza MA, Hamza A, Hayat A, Khan A. Effect of temperature and relative humidity on the population dynamics of some insect pests of maize. Pakistan Journal of life and Social Sciences. 2010;8(1):16-18.
- Ahad I, Bhagat RM, Ahmad H, Monobrullah M. Population dynamics of maize stem borer, *Chilo partellus* Swinhoe in Upper Himalayas of Jammu region. Journal of Bioscience. 2008;16: 137-138.
- Sharma H, Jaglan MS, Yadav SS. Population dynamics of pink stem borer, Sesamiainferens(Walker) on maize as influenced by weather conditions. Journal of Applied and Natural Science. 2017; 9(4):1975-1980.
- 22. Reuolin SJ, Soundararajan RP. Study on impact of weather parameters on the incidence of stem borer complex on rice. Journal of Entomological Research. 2019; 43(4):487-490.
- Umesh Kumar, Singh DV, Sachan SK, Singh G, Singh G, Yadav RB. Studies on seasonal incidence of (Walker) on maize with relation to abiotic factors. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7(4):2564-2566.
- 24. Sanjay Kumar, Deole S, Yadu YK, Dash D. Incidence of pink stem borer and natural enemies in different sowing dates of maize. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2017;6(5):1316- 1320.
- 25. Deole S, Dubey VK, Rana DK. Population dynamics of pink stem borer on maize crop and its correlation with prevailing abiotic parameters. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017;6(8):3006-3015.
- 26. Deole S. Studies on pink stem borer, Sesamia inferens Walker of maize, Zea mays L. with particular reference to neonate larval behaviour and its management (Doctoral Dissertation). Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur (MP), India; 2016.

27. Singh B, Kular JS. Influence of abiotic factors on population dynamics of pink stem borer Sesamia inferens Walker in

rice-wheat cropping system of India. Journal of Wheat Research. 2015;7(2):23-28.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/118097