

Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology

Volume 43, Issue 9, Page 57-66, 2024; Article no.CJAST.123796 ISSN: 2457-1024 (Past name: British Journal of Applied Science & Technology, Past ISSN: 2231-0843, NLM ID: 101664541)

Monitoring Land Use and Land Cover Change Through Earth Observation Datasets and Metric Analysis in the Barabanki District, Uttar Pradesh, India

Akash Pal^{a++}, Mukesh Kumar^{a#*}, Shakti Suryavanshi^{b†}, Neeraj Kumar^{a++}, C. John Wesley^{a‡} and Deepak Lal^{a^}

 ^a Centre for Geospatial Technologies, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Science, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India.
 ^b Environmental Hydrology Division, National Institute of Hydrology (NIH) Roorkee, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/cjast/2024/v43i94430

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/123796

Original Research Article

Received: 17/07/2024 Accepted: 21/09/2024 Published: 24/09/2024

**PhD scholar;
#Associate Professor;
†Scientist 'C';
*Professor;
^Professor and Head;
*Corresponding author: E-mail: mukeshkumar.fo@gmail.com;

Cite as: Pal, Akash, Mukesh Kumar, Shakti Suryavanshi, Neeraj Kumar, C. John Wesley, and Deepak Lal. 2024. "Monitoring Land Use and Land Cover Change Through Earth Observation Datasets and Metric Analysis in the Barabanki District, Uttar Pradesh, India". Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology 43 (9):57-66. https://doi.org/10.9734/cjast/2024/v43i94430.

ABSTRACT

Land use and cover is the key environmental factors influencing the landscape. Changes in their composition are dynamic, widespread, and accelerating, driven primarily by natural phenomena and human activities. Timely and accurate information is a major challenge when assessing any landscape for future development. Remote sensing data, with its synoptic and multi-temporal characteristics, effectively bridges the gap by offering timely, accurate, and reliable information. The present study aimed to evaluate LULC change using Landsat satellite data within the Nindoora and Fatehpur blocks of Barabanki district, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh. The study area covers approximately 59,498.78 ha. Landsat scenes recorded on November 10, 2013, and October 15, 2023, were acquired from the USGS website and subjected to geometric and radiometric corrections prior to analysis. The on-screen visual interpretation technique was employed to classify the satellite data into various land cover classes. The analysis identified five primary land use and land cover (LULC) classes: Water Bodies, Crops, Trees, Bare Ground, Rangeland, and Built-up Areas. In year 2013 Cropland emerged as the dominant land cover, occupying 88.34% of the total area followed by Built-up areas constitute 8.83% of the land. Whereas in year 2023 cropland occupy approximately 86.47% and Built-up areas constitute 10.70% of the land. This study underscores the complex interplay between agricultural productivity and urban expansion in Barabanki. These insights are essential for guiding future urban and environmental planning efforts in the region, offering a critical foundation for developing strategies that support both economic development and environmental sustainability.

Keywords: Land use; landscape; LULC; image acquisitions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Changes in land use and land cover (LULC) within any landscape result from a combination of natural processes, such as erosion and climate shifts along with human activities like urbanization, deforestation, and infrastructure development [1]. Together, these factors change the environment, altering its ecological balance and land characteristics over time.

These transformations can have significant environmental repercussions, including biodiversity loss, soil degradation, altered water cycles, and changes in greenhouse gas emissions [2]. For instance, urban sprawl can lead to habitat fragmentation and increased runoff, while deforestation contributes to carbon emissions and loss of biodiversity [3]. Thus, monitoring and analyzing these changes is critical for informing policy decisions, managing natural resources, and implementing effective conservation strategies [4].

It is a great challenge to understand the natural as well as human impact of change in landscaper and its function. Though, there are many methods to understand the change in landscape and its function [5]. However, the use of geospatial technologies provides an excellent platform to collect, store and analyse the change in land use and land cover with a realistic way, low cost, and at time [6,7]. The Landsat program, operational since 1972, has provided an unparalleled continuous record of the Earth's surface, making it a valuable resource for longterm environmental monitoring and LULC studies [8]. The enhanced capabilities of Landsat 8 have made it a critical asset for LULC change detection, particularly in areas undergoing rapid urbanization, deforestation, and agricultural [9]. Previous expansion studies have demonstrated the significance of land use and land cover change and its impact [10,11,12,13].

The study area is characterized by a complex interplay of anthropogenic and natural factors, making it a significant challenge for scientific community to understand the human impact on the natural landscape. Although the study area lies in the doab region between the Ganga and Yamuna rivers, the inhabitants of the district have historically faced challenges with agricultural practices due to flooding, waterlogging, and soil salinity. Therefore, monitoring Land Use Land Cover (LULC) changes in these regions is essential for providing timely data on flood and drought occurrences, as well as for effective water resource management and optimization of agricultural practices. This article focuses on detecting and analyzing LULC changes in Nindoora and Fatehpur block of Barabanki District by utilizing Landsat satellite imagery to assess change over ten years.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area

District Barabanki lies between latitudes 26° 30' North and 27° 19' North and longitudes 80° 58' East and 81° 55' East. It is surrounded by Faizabad district in the east, Gonda and Bahraich districts in the northeast, Sitapur district in the northwest, Lucknow district in the west, Rae Bareli district in the south, and Amethi district in the southeast. The river Ghaghra forms the northeastern boundary, separating Barabanki from Bahraich and Gonda. The area of the district is 389,150 ha. This area may vary from year to year due to slight changes in the course of the river Ghaghra, which can noticeably affect the overall area of the district. Barabanki is wellfed by the rivers Ghaghra, Gomti, and Kalyani with their tributaries for most of the year, though some dry out during the summer and cause flooding during the rainy season, the district forms part of the Gangetic Plain, known for its predominantly flat terrain and high fertility.

This region is notably impacted by seasonal flooding due to its proximity to the Ganges River system, which includes the Ghaghara River a major tributary that traverses Barabanki and significantly enhances its agricultural productivity. The district experiences a humid subtropical climate, characterized by intense heat during the summer months with temperatures often surpassing 40°C, a pronounced monsoon season, and cooler winters with temperatures ranging between 5°C and 20°C. These climatic and hydrological conditions underscore the district's environmental dynamics, influencing its land use and socio-economic activities.



Fig. 1. Location map of the study area

Satellite and Sensor	Date/year	Path/row	Band used	Spatial Resolution (m)
Landsat 8/OLI	21 th October 2013 & 30 th October 2023	143/41,144/41	2,3,4,5,6,7	30

Pal et al.; Curr. J. Appl. Sci. Technol., vol. 43, no. 9, pp. 57-66, 2024; Article no.CJAST.123796



Fig. 2. Flow diagram of methodology

2.2 Image Acquisitions and Pre-Processing

Ortho-rectified Landsat satellite images of month/year: 21st October 2013, 30th October 2023, were down loaded from USGS web portal (USGS: http://www.usgs.gov/in) and detailed sets specifications are data given in The image Table 1. is already been geometrically corrected, however, Top of Atmospheric (TOA) calibration for both the image was performed using the Landsat 8 user handbook to get the data free from any atmospheric attenuations. The Conversion of DN to reflectance was carried out using the formula as follows:

ρλ'= "MpQcal + Ap(1)

Where, $\rho\lambda$ '= TOA planetary reflectance, Mp=Band-specific multiplicative rescaling factor, Ap = Band-specific additive rescaling factor and Qcal = Quantized and calibrated standard product pixel values (DN).

TOA reflectance with a correction for the sun angle is given as eqn. (2):

 $\rho\lambda = [\rho\lambda'/\cos(\theta SZ)] + [\rho\lambda'/\sin(\theta SE)]$ (2)

Where, $\rho\lambda$ = TOA planetary reflectance, ΘSE = Local sun elevation angle. ΘSZ = Local solar zenith angle; ΘSZ = 90°- ΘSE . All the information is provided in the metadata.

2.3 Classification of Land Use Land Cover

The LULC maps were prepared by using onscreen visual interpretation techniques based on interpretation key i.e. shape, size, tone, pattern, texture, association, and shadow. Interpretation key of different land cover classes of the study area has been shown in the Table 2. The interpreted polygon layers representing land cover classes for both time periods were overlaid to identify changes in land cover. This process involved comparing the spatial extent and boundaries of each class between the two periods. By overlaying these layers, areas of change were identified, and change statistics were calculated. This technique was found more efficient, convenient and reliable for mapping and detecting changes in small areas. The entire process was carried out using ArcGIS Pro software and flow diagram of shown in the Fig.2. Field visit has been conducted on 11th December 2022 and 23th June 2023 to understand the study area whereas 23th October 2023 field visit has been conducted to check the accuracy of the classified image (Fig.3).

Pal et al.; Curr. J. Appl. Sci. Technol., vol. 43, no. 9, pp. 57-66, 2024; Article no.CJAST.123796



Fig. 3. Field visit of study area

Table 2. Interpretation key used in this study

S. N	LU/LC Category	Tone	Size	Shape	Texture	Pattern	Association
1	Built up Land	bluish	Small to big	Irregular	Coarse &	Clustered to	Surrounded by agricultural lands, forest
		green			mottled	scattered	cover, wastelands, rivers, roads, rail, etc.
2	Waterbody	Bright red	Small to big	Regular to	Medium to	Contiguous to	Proximity to rivers/canals/streams and
	-	dull red		irregular	smooth	noncontiguous	settlements and lowland areas
3	Bare ground	Greenish	Small to big	Regular to	Medium to	Contiguous to	Amidst or near cropland
		blue		irregular	smooth	noncontiguous	
4	Cropland	Different	Varying in	Irregular	Smooth to	Contiguous to	With different agriculture types and
		tones of	size		medium	noncontiguous	species in undulating areas
		red			depending		
					on crown		
5	Rangeland	Red to	Varying in	Regular to	coarse	Contiguous to	Forest fringes and amidst forest areas
		Dark red	size	irregular		noncontiguous	
6	Tree clad areas	Red to	Varying in	Regular to	coarse	Contiguous to	Near villages and Forest areas
		Dark red	size	irregular		noncontiguous	

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The research conducted focused on detecting LULC changes in Barabanki district using GIS techniques to understand land cover patterns in the last ten years. The study aimed to understand the evolution of urban environments within the district over the decade. The findings revealed significant urban expansion along the district's peripheries, leading to substantial shifts in LULC patterns. This urban development has introduced notable diversity in land cover types, reflecting the impact of urbanization on the region's landscape.

3.1 Spatiotemporal analysis of land use and Land Cover Changes

The analysis highlights the region's LULC change over the past ten years, from 2013 to 2023. The LULC maps for both the years have been visually classified into six broad land use categories that is water bodies, crops, trees, bare ground, rangeland, and built-up areas.

In 2013, the area was predominantly agriculture land occupying 88.34% of the land, indicating

significant agricultural activity and potential for further development. Built-up areas accounted 8.83% of the study area which reflect moderate urbanization and ongoing infrastructural development. Rangeland and water bodies covered 1.01% and 0.98% of the area. respectively, supporting livestock and contributing to water resources. Tree cover and bare ground were minimal, representing 0.80% and 0.05% of the total area, indicating limited forested regions and stable land conditions.

In year 2023, the land use distribution has shifted slightly, with agricultural activities still dominant covering 86.47% of the land. Built-up areas increased to 10.70%, signifying significant urbanization and infrastructural growth. Tree cover expanded slightly to 1.05%, while rangeland and water bodies accounted for 0.76% and 1.02%, respectively, continuing to play crucial roles in biodiversity, livestock support, and water resource provision. The bare ground remained minimal, decreasing to just 0.01%, suggesting stable and well-managed land conditions. The area statistics for 2013 and 2023 are presented in Table 3 and classified maps were shown in Fig.4.



Fig. 4 LULC maps of 2013 and 2023

Land use	2013		2023	2023		
	Area (ha)	Area (%)	Area (ha)	Area (%)		
Crop	52559.30	88.34	51449.9	86.47		
Tree	474.35	0.80	622.22	1.05		
Range land	601.50	1.01	449.35	0.76		
Water	582.14	0.98	605.53	1.02		
Bare Ground	30.43	0.05	3.86	0.01		
Built Area	5251.06	8.83	6367.93	10.70		
Total	59498.78	100	59498.78	100		

Table 3. Area statistics of different land use/land cover classes of different years

Table 4. Change matrix on land cover class between the years 2013 and 2023

				2023				
		Crop	Tree	Rangeland	Water	Bare Ground	Built Area	Total
	Crop	49877.51	242.435	228.3453	206.8745	0.550896	2791.525	53347.24067
N	Tree	100.286	275.6649	11.03351	2.155372	0.020097	41.20949	430.369364
	Range	245.2482	82.5202	175.9332	5.961516	2.96264	76.60007	589.225826
	land							
	Water	151.5952	1.14915	0.290364	359.3065	0	17.75478	530.095994
0	Bare	12.17057	0	1.901985	4.46338	0.323112	8.507906	27.366953
ω	Ground							
	Built Area	885.8549	17.74094	26.05635	25.26087	0	3619.092	4574.005055
	Total	51272.66487	619.5102	443.5607	604.0221	3.856745	6554.689246	59498.78386

Pal et al.; Curr. J. Appl. Sci. Technol., vol. 43, no. 9, pp. 57-66, 2024; Article no.CJAST.123796



Fig. 5 Bar chart of statistical results of LULC classification of 2013 and 2023

Table 4 presents the land cover change matrix based on the classified data for the years 2013 and 2023, emphasizing the transitions and stability across various land use categories during this study period. The total area initially classified as cropland class (53,347.24 ha) underwent significant transformations over the decade. Specifically, 242.43ha transitioned to tree land, 228.34ha to rangeland, 206.87ha to water bodies, 0.55ha to bare ground, and 2,791.52ha to built-up areas. Consequently, the cropland area decreased to 49,877.51ha. Tree land class, originally covering 430.36 ha, also experienced considerable changes. Of this, 100.29ha were converted to cropland, 11.03ha to rangeland, 2.15ha to water bodies, 0.02ha to bare ground, and 41.21ha to built-up areas, reducing the tree land area to 275.66 ha. In Range land class, which initially covered 589.22ha, saw notable transitions. A total of 245.24ha was converted to cropland. 82.52ha to tree land, 5.96ha to water bodies, 2.96 ha to bare ground, and 76.60 ha to built-up areas, resulting in a reduced rangeland area of 175.93ha. bodies Similarly, water class, originally encompassing 530.09ha, underwent significant changes. Of this, 151.59 ha were converted to cropland, 1.14 ha to tree land, 0.29 ha to rangeland, and 17.75ha to built-up areas. As a result, the area designated as water bodies decreased to 359.31ha. Bare ground class, initially covering 27.36 ha, experienced marked transitions. Specifically, 12.10ha were converted to cropland, 1.90 ha to rangeland, 4.46 ha to water bodies, and 8.50 ha to built-up areas, leaving only 0.32 hectares as bare ground. Finally, the built-up area class, which originally spanned 4,574.00ha, also underwent substantial changes. A total of 885.85ha was converted to cropland, 17.74ha to tree land, 26.05 ha to rangeland, and 25.26ha to water bodies, leading to a reduction of the built-up area to 3.619.09 ha.

The bar chart (Fig 5) displays the statistical results of the LULC classification for the years 2013 and 2023. This visual representation highlights the distribution and changes in different land cover categories over the ten years. Each bar represents a specific LULC category, showing the total area covered by that category in both 2013 and 2023. This chart illustrates the magnitude of land cover transitions, emphasizing which transitions involve significant changes in area. For instance, the "Crops to Crops" transition indicating that the crop area has either remained stable or decrease

significantly over time. Other transitions, such as "Built Area - Water" and "Bare Ground -Rangeland," exhibit minimal changes. This type of analysis can be integral to a broader study on land use dynamics, environmental changes, or agricultural expansion. By focusing on specific land cover changes, the chart provides insights into the evolving patterns of land use, which is essential for effective planning and policy-making in areas like agriculture, urban development, and conservation.

4. CONCLUSION

The study reported that landscape predominantly characterized by extensive agricultural activity, with crop production covering more than 86% of the area across both datasets. The results reveal that Landsat data using on screen visual interpretation with extensive field visit produce acceptable classified maps. The minimal coverage of rangeland, water bodies, and tree cover points to a landscape that is heavily utilized for agriculture, with limited natural areas remaining. The presence of these natural features is insufficient to support substantial biodiversity or to provide ample ecological services. This observation underscores the need for integrating sustainable land management practices to balance agricultural expansion with preservation. ecological Ensurina that environmental considerations are incorporated into land use planning will be essential for maintaining ecological health and resource sustainability. Understanding these distributions is crucial for planning and managing land resources effectively, ensuring that agricultural and urban expansion does not come at the expense of environmental sustainability.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

 Song XP, Hansen MC, Stehman SV, Tucker CJ. Characterizing recent trends in global forest loss. Earth's Future. 2018;6(1):120-136.

- Turner BL, Lambin EF, Reenberg A. The emergence of land change science for global environmental change and sustainability. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2010;104 (52): 20666-20671.
- 3. DeFries RS, Eshleman KN. Land-use change and hydrologic processes: A major focus for the future. Hydrological Processes. 2004;18(11):2183-2186.
- 4. Pettorelli N, Jenkins CN, Carranza ML. The role of remote sensing in monitoring land cover change. Ecological Indicators. 2014;38:66-74.
- Lillesand T, Kiefer RW, Chipman J. Remote sensing and image interpretation (7th ed.). Wiley; 2015.
- Jensen JR. Introductory digital image processing: A remote sensing perspective. Pearson Education. 2015.
- Cohen WB, Goward SN. Landsat's role in ecological applications of remote sensing. BioScience. 2004;54(6):535-545.
- Roy DP, Wulder MA, Loveland TR, Woodcock CE, Allen RG, Anderson MC, Zhu Z. Landsat-8: Science and product vision for terrestrial global change research. Remote Sensing of Environment. 2014; 145:154-172.
- 9. Sharma S, Singh A. Impact of agricultural expansion on land use and soil quality in

uttar pradesh. Journal of Environmental Management, 2020;260: 110156.

 Kumar M, Denis DM, Singh SK, Szabó S, Suryavanshi S. Landscape metrics for assessment of land cover change and fragmentation of a heterogeneous watershed. Remote Sensing Applications: Society and Environment. 2018;10: 1-12. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/i.rsase.20

Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsase.20 18.04.002

- Patra T, Dutta D, Kundu A, Kumar M, Hossain SS, Chattoraje KK. Evolution of opencast mines in the raniganj coalfield (India): An assessment through multitemporal satellite data. Journal of the Geological Society of India. 2022;98(3):387-394. Available: https://doi.org/10.1007/s12594-022-1990-5
- Kulkarni K, Vijaya PA. Mapping forests using an imbalanced dataset. J. Inst. Eng. India Ser. B, 2022, 103(6), 1987–1994. Available:https://doi.org/10.1007/s40031-022-00790-y
- Kulkarni K, Vijaya PA. A majority voting ensemble approach for LULC classification of satellite images. J. Inst. Eng. India Ser. B. 2023;104(2):327–333. Available:https://doi.org/10.1007/s40031-023-00865-4

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/123796